

MGRCM2028 & EFIM20015: Project Management

Project management resources for both undergraduate and PGT units.

View Online



1.

Maylor H. Project Management. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmlex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

2.

Maylor H. Project Management. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmlex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

3.

Overdue and over Budget, over and over Again. Published online 9AD.
http://gdc.galegroup.com/gdc/artemis/NewspapersDetailsPage/NewspapersDetailsWindow?disableHighlighting=false&displayGroupName=DVI-Newspapers&docIndex=1&source=&prodId=ECON&mode=view&limiter=&display-query=TX+Overdue+%23%23and%23%23+over+Budget%2C+over+%23%23and%23%23+over+Again%E2%80%99&contentModules=&action=e&sortBy=&windowstate=normal&currPage=1&dviSelectedPage=&scanId=&query=TX+Overdue+and+over+Budget%2C+over+and+over+Again%E2%80%99&search_within_results=&p=ECON&catId=&u=univbri&displayGroups=&documentId=GALE%7CGP4100353399&activityType=BasicSearch&failOverType=&commentary=

4.

Atkinson R. Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. International Journal of Project Management. 1999;17(6):337-342. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(98)00069-6

5.

Morris P. Reconstructing Project Management Reprised: A Knowledge Perspective. *Project Management Journal*. 2013;44(5):6-23. doi:10.1002/pmj.21369

6.

Cicmil S, Williams T, Thomas J, Hodgson D. Rethinking Project Management: Researching the actuality of projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2006;24(8):675-686. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.08.006

7.

Hodgson DE, Cicmil S. *Making Projects Critical*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2006.

8.

Crawford L, Hobbs B, Turner JR. Aligning Capability with Strategy: Categorizing Projects to do the Right Projects and to do Them Right. *Project Management Journal*. 2006;37(2):38-50. doi:10.1177/875697280603700205

9.

Maylor H, Vidgen R, Carver S. Managerial Complexity in Project-Based Operations: A Grounded Model and Its Implications for Practice. *Project Management Journal*. 2008;39(1_suppl):S15-S26. doi:10.1002/pmj.20057

10.

Elder-Vass D. *The Causal Power of Social Structures: Emergence, Structure and Agency*. Cambridge University Press; 2010.

11.

Kim J. Making sense of emergence. *Philosophical Studies*. 1999;95(1/2):3-36. doi:10.1023/A:1004563122154

12.

Marras A. Emergence and reduction: Reply to Kim. *Synthese*. 2006;151(3):561-569. doi:10.1007/s11229-006-9026-z

13.

The future is bright, the future is... you! Project. <https://www.apm.org.uk/project/>

14.

Conditions for Project Success. <https://www.apm.org.uk/resources/find-a-resource/conditions-for-project-success/>

15.

Association for Project Management. APM Body of Knowledge. Seventh edition. Association for Project Management; 2019. <https://www.apm.org.uk/body-of-knowledge/>

16.

Winch GM. Three domains of project organising. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2014;32(5):721-731. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.012

17.

Sydow J, Lindkvist L, DeFillippi R. Project-Based Organizations, Embeddedness and Repositories of Knowledge: Editorial. *Organization Studies*. 2004;25(9):1475-1489. doi:10.1177/0170840604048162

18.

Garel G. A history of project management models: From pre-models to the standard models. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2013;31(5):663-669. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2012.12.011

19.

Padalkar M, Gopinath S. Are complexity and uncertainty distinct concepts in project management? A taxonomical examination from literature. *International Journal of Project*

Management. 2016;34(4):688-700. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.02.009

20.

Daniel PA, Daniel C. Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. International Journal of Project Management. 2018;36(1):184-197. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.004

21.

NHS IT system one of 'worst fiascos ever', say MPs - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-24130684>

22.

House of Commons - The National Programme for IT in the NHS: an update on the delivery of detailed care records systems - Public Accounts Committee.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmpubacc/1070/107002.htm>

23.

John Carvel. NHS risks £20bn white elephant, say auditors. Guardian. Published online 16 June 2006. <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2006/jun/16/egovernment.politics>

24.

Cresswell K, Sheikh A. The NHS Care Record Service (NHS CRS): recommendations from the literature on successful implementation and adoption. Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics. 2009;17(3):153-160. doi:10.14236/jhi.v17i3.730

25.

Ahola T, Ruuska I, Artto K, Kujala J. What is project governance and what are its origins? International Journal of Project Management. 2014;32(8):1321-1332.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.09.005

26.

Weick KE. Making Sense of the Organization: Vol. 2: The Impermanent Organization. Wiley

27.

Britain's engineering reputation goes down the tube. The Economist. Published online 20181208.

<https://www.economist.com/britain/2018/12/08/britains-engineering-reputation-goes-down-the-tube>

28.

Gupta SK, Gunasekaran A, Antony J, Gupta S, Bag S, Roubaud D. Systematic literature review of project failures: Current trends and scope for future research. Computers & Industrial Engineering. 2019;127:274-285. doi:10.1016/j.cie.2018.12.002

29.

Krusi, Markus¹Whitty, Stephen Jonathan¹. The Practitioner's Tapestry: Revealing the epistemological diversity to project management knowledge. The Practitioner's Tapestry: Revealing the epistemological diversity to project management knowledge. 2019;7(Issue 3):196-226. doi:10.19255/JMPM02010

30.

Wysocki RK. Effective Project Management: Traditional, Agile, Extreme, Hybrid. Eighth edition. Wiley; 2019.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5747804>

31.

Kerzner H. Project Management: Case Studies. Fourth edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2013.

32.

Milos

✓

evic

,

D, Patanakul P, Srivannaboon S. Case Studies in Project, Program, and Organizational Project Management. Wiley

33.

Verzuh E. The Fast Forward MBA in Project Management. 5th ed. Wiley; 2016.

34.

Morris PWG, Pinto JK,
So

derlund J. The Oxford Handbook of Project Management. Oxford University Press; 2011.

35.

Lock D. Naked Project Management: The Bare Facts. Ashgate Publishing Ltd; 2013.

36.

Lientz BP. Project Management: A Problem-Based Approach. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

37.

Tuttle S. Illustrating PRINCE2: Project Management in Real Terms. IT Governance Pub; 2012.

38.

Nicholas JM, Steyn H. Project Management for Business, Engineering, and Technology: Principles and Practice. 3rd ed. Elsevier Butterworth Heinemann

39.

Kerzner H. Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling. Eleventh edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2013.

40.

PRINCE2 overview.

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/j.ctt5hh70p.7.pdf?refreqid=excelsior%3A0df09d2c93fcca2>

92d25438b58e842db

41.

APM /INCOSEUK Systems Thinking SIG. Systems Thinking for Portfolio, Programme and Project Managers. Published online 16AD.
<https://www.apm.org.uk/media/25516/systems-thinking-for-portfolio-programme-and-project-managers-v10.pdf>

42.

Jackson MC. Critical Systems Thinking and the Management of Complexity: Responsible Leadership for a Complex World. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2019.

43.

Jackson MC. Systems Thinking: Creative Holism for Managers. John Wiley & Sons

44.

Jackson MC. Critical Systems Thinking and the Management of Complexity: Responsible Leadership for a Complex World. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2019.

45.

Mawby D, Stupples D. Systems thinking for managing projects. IEMC-2002: 2002 IEEE International Engineering Management Conference : Managing technology for the new economy : St John's College, Cambridge, UK, 18-20 August, 2002 : proceedings.:344-349. doi:10.1109/IEMC.2002.1038455

46.

George Ellis. Project Management in Product Development : Leadership Skills and Management Techniques to Deliver Great Products. Elsevier Science & Technology; 2015.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=4003784>

47.

Belbin Team Roles. <https://www.belbin.com/about/belbin-team-roles/>

48.

Maylor H. Project Management. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2html/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

49.

Atkinson R, Crawford L, Ward S. Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2006;24(8):687-698. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011

50.

Turner JR, Cochrane RA. Goals-and-methods matrix: coping with projects with ill defined goals and/or methods of achieving them. *International Journal of Project Management*. 1993;11(2):93-102. doi:10.1016/0263-7863(93)90017-H

51.

Flyvbjerg B, Bruzelius N, Rothengatter W. *Megaprojects and Risk: An Anatomy of Ambition*. Cambridge University Press; 2003. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107050891

52.

Carlile PR. A Pragmatic View of Knowledge and Boundaries: Boundary Objects in New Product Development. *Organization Science*. 2002;13(4):442-455. doi:10.1287/orsc.13.4.442.2953

53.

Dougherty D. Interpretive Barriers to Successful Product Innovation in Large Firms. *Organization Science*. 1992;3(2):179-202. doi:10.1287/orsc.3.2.179

54.

Cicmil S, Hodgson D. New Possibilities for Project Management Theory: A Critical Engagement. *Project Management Journal*. 2006;37(3):111-122.
doi:10.1177/875697280603700311

55.

Florice S, Michela JL, Piperca S. Complexity, uncertainty-reduction strategies, and project performance. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2016;34(7):1360-1383.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.11.007

56.

Zwikael O, Chih YY, Meredith JR. Project benefit management: Setting effective target benefits. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(4):650-658.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.002

57.

Simard M, Aubry M, Laberge D. The utopia of order versus chaos: A conceptual framework for governance, organizational design and governmentality in projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(3):460-473. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.003

58.

RIBA Plan of Work 2013. <https://www.ribaplanofwork.com/>

59.

The NAO Guide to Initiating Successful Projects. Published online 2011.
https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/NAO_Guide_Initiating_successful_projects.pdf

60.

Jennings W. Executive Politics, Risk and the Mega-Project Paradox. In: *Executive Politics in Times of Crisis*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2012:239-263.

61.

Atkinson R, Crawford L, Ward S. Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2006;24(8):687-698. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011

62.

Maylor H. *Project Management*. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5173571>

63.

Daniel E, Daniel PA. Megaprojects as complex adaptive systems: The Hinkley point C case. *International Journal of Project Management*. Published online June 2019. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.05.001

64.

Williams T, Eden C, Ackermann F, Tait A. The Effects of Design Changes and Delays on Project Costs. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*. 1995;46(7):809-818. https://linker2.worldcat.org/?date=1995-07-01&rft.content=fulltext&rft.institution_id=132607&linktype=best&spage=809&title=Journal+of+the+Operational+Research+Society%2C+The&localstem=https%3A%2F%2Fbris.idm.oclc.org%2Flogin%3Furl%3D&atitle=The+Effects+of+Design+Changes+and+Delays+on+Project+Costs&jHome=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.jstor.org%2Fjournal%2Fjoperresesoci&provider=jstor&pkgName=archive&rft.oclcnum=5549630755&linkclass=to_article&aurlast=Williams&issue=7&jKey=joperresesoci&rft_id=info%2Fsid%3Aoclc.org%2FWCL&eissn=1476-9360&volume=46&linkScheme=jstor&itemnum=10.2307%2F2583965&issn=0160-5682&rft.order_by=preference

65.

Rodrigues AG, Williams TM. System dynamics in project management: assessing the impacts of client behaviour on project performance. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*. 1998;49(1):2-15. doi:10.1057/palgrave.jors.2600490

66.

Eden C, Williams T, Ackermann F, Howick S. The role of feedback dynamics in disruption and delay on the nature of disruption and delay (D&D) in major projects. *Journal of the*

Operational Research Society. 2000;51(3):291-300. doi:10.1057/palgrave.jors.2600919

67.

Love PED, Mandal P, Smith J, Li H. Modelling the dynamics of design error induced rework in construction. Construction Management and Economics. 2000;18(5):567-574. doi:10.1080/014461900407374

68.

The imperial units error that downed a multi-million-dollar Mars probe | New Scientist. <https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg24332500-200-the-imperial-units-error-that-downed-a-multi-million-dollar-mars-probe/>

69.

Fink L, Pinchovski B. It is about time: Bias and its mitigation in time-saving decisions in software development projects. International Journal of Project Management. 2020;38(2):99-111. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2020.01.001

70.

Feylizadeh MR, Mahmoudi A, Bagherpour M, Li DF. Project crashing using a fuzzy multi-objective model considering time, cost, quality and risk under fast tracking technique: A case study. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems. 2018;35(3):3615-3631. doi:10.3233/JIFS-18171

71.

Wang N, Wei K, Sun H. Whole Life Project Management Approach to Sustainability. Journal of Management in Engineering. 2014;30(2):246-255. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000185

72.

ELIEZER, OREN1 orenel@post.jce.ac.ilDROR, SHUKI2 dror@braude.ac.il. QFD Methodology for LINKING PROJECT SUCCESS FACTORS: to Outcomes in a Specific Business Case. QFD Methodology for LINKING PROJECT SUCCESS FACTORS: to Outcomes in a Specific Business Case. Published online 2018:40-49. doi:10.19255/JMPM01504

73.

ROMERO, ALEJANDRO¹ romero-torres.alejandro@uqam.ca PARÉ, MAGALIE² KHEMICI, NASSIM². The HOT POTATO GAME roles and responsibilities for realizing IT project benefits. The HOT POTATO GAME roles and responsibilities for realizing IT project benefits. Published online 2017:72-79.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=125356361&site=ehost-live>

74.

Leach LP. Critical Chain Project Management. Third edition. Artech House; 2014.

75.

✓ Milos

, evic

D, Martinelli RJ. Project Management Toolbox. Second edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2015.

76.

Norman ES, Brotherton SA, Fried RT. Work Breakdown Structures: The Foundation for Project Management Excellence. John Wiley & Sons; 2011.

77.

Maylor H. Project Management. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2html/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

78.

Kutsch E, Hall M. Deliberate ignorance in project risk management. International Journal of Project Management. 2010;28(3):245-255. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2009.05.003

79.

Kutsch E, Hall M. Intervening conditions on the management of project risk: Dealing with uncertainty in information technology projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2005;23(8):591-599. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2005.06.009

80.

Van Os A, Van Berkel F, De Gilder D, Van Dyck C, Groenewegen P. Project risk as identity threat: explaining the development and consequences of risk discourse in an infrastructure project. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2015;33(4):877-888. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.016

81.

Flyvbjerg B, Bruzelius N, Rothengatter W. *Megaprojects and Risk: An Anatomy of Ambition*. Cambridge University Press; 2003. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107050891

82.

Chapman C. Key points of contention in framing assumptions for risk and uncertainty management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2006;24(4):303-313. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.01.006

83.

Jaafari A. Management of risks, uncertainties and opportunities on projects: time for a fundamental shift. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2001;19(2):89-101. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(99)00047-2

84.

Zuo F, Zhang K. Selection of risk response actions with consideration of secondary risks. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(2):241-254. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.11.002

85.

Qazi A, Quigley J, Dickson A, Kirytopoulos K. Project Complexity and Risk Management (ProCRiM): Towards modelling project complexity driven risk paths in construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2016;34(7):1183-1198. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.05.008

86.

Van Os A, Van Berkel F, De Gilder D, Van Dyck C, Groenewegen P. Project risk as identity threat: explaining the development and consequences of risk discourse in an infrastructure project. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2015;33(4):877-888.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.016

87.

Wang SQ, Dulaimi MF, Aguria MY. Risk management framework for construction projects in developing countries. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2004;22(3):237-252.
doi:10.1080/0144619032000124689

88.

Martin Schieg. Risk management in construction project management. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*. 7(2):77-83.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/16111699.2006.9636126?src=recsys>

89.

David Hillson. When is a Risk not a Risk.
<https://www.who.int/management/general/risk/WhenRiskNotRisk.pdf>

90.

David Hillson. What is risk? Towards a common definition.
<http://risk-doctor.com/pdf-files/def0402.pdf>

91.

Nicolas Bouleau. To understand risk, use your imagination | *New Scientist*. Published online 22AD.
<https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg21028186-400-to-understand-risk-use-your-imagination/>

92.

Ward S, Chapman C. Transforming project risk management into project uncertainty management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2003;21(2):97-105. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(01)00080-1

93.

Daniel PA, Daniel C. Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(1):184-197. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.004

94.

Wied M, Koch-Ørvad N, Welo T, Oehmen J. Managing exploratory projects: A repertoire of approaches and their shared underpinnings. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2020;38(2):75-84. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.12.002

95.

Lima PF de A, Verbano C. Project Risk Management Implementation in SMEs: A Case Study from Italy. *Journal of technology management & innovation*. 2019;14(1):3-10. doi:10.4067/S0718-27242019000100003

96.

Miller R, Lessard D. Understanding and managing risks in large engineering projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2001;19(8):437-443. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(01)00045-X

97.

Raftery J. *Risk Analysis in Project Management*. 1st ed. E & FN Spon

98.

Stewart RW, Fortune J. Application of systems thinking to the identification, avoidance and prevention of risk. *International Journal of Project Management*. 1995;13(5):279-286. doi:10.1016/0263-7863(95)00024-K

99.

Raydugin Y. Project Risk Management: Essential Methods for Project Teams and Decision Makers. Wiley; 2013.

100.

Kim Heldman , and Kim Heldman. Project Manager's Spotlight on Risk Management. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2005.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=267263>

101.

Willumsen P, Oehmen J, Stingl V, Geraldi J. Value creation through project risk management. International Journal of Project Management. 2019;37(5):731-749.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.01.007

102.

Taroun A. Towards a better modelling and assessment of construction risk: Insights from a literature review. International Journal of Project Management. 2014;32(1):101-115.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.03.004

103.

Ackermann F, Eden C, Williams T, Howick S. Systemic risk assessment: a case study. Journal of the Operational Research Society. 2007;58(1):39-51.
doi:10.1057/palgrave.jors.2602105

104.

Akintoye AS, MacLeod MJ. Risk analysis and management in construction. International Journal of Project Management. 1997;15(1):31-38. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(96)00035-X

105.

Xia N, Zou PXW, Griffin MA, Wang X, Zhong R. Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. International Journal of Project Management. 2018;36(5):701-715.

doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.006

106.

Farooq MU, Thaheem MJ, Arshad H. Improving the risk quantification under behavioural tendencies: A tale of construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(3):414-428. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.004

107.

Chapman C. Project risk analysis and management—PRAM the generic process. *International Journal of Project Management*. 1997;15(5):273-281. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(96)00079-8

108.

Zhang H. A redefinition of the project risk process: Using vulnerability to open up the event-consequence link. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2007;25(7):694-701. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2007.02.004

109.

Guo F, Chang-Richards Y, Wilkinson S, Li TC. Effects of project governance structures on the management of risks in major infrastructure projects: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2014;32(5):815-826. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.001

110.

Raz T, Michael E. Use and benefits of tools for project risk management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2001;19(1):9-17. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(99)00036-8

111.

Maylor H. *Project Management*. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5173571>

112.

Acebes F, Pajares J, Galán JM, López-Paredes A. A new approach for project control under uncertainty. Going back to the basics. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2014;32(3):423-434. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.08.003

113.

Bryde D, Unterhitzenberger C, Joby R. Conditions of success for earned value analysis in projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(3):474-484. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.002

114.

The imperial units error that downed a multi-million-dollar Mars probe | *New Scientist*. Published online 2AD.
<https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg24332500-200-the-imperial-units-error-that-downed-a-multi-million-dollar-mars-probe/>

115.

Zuo F, Zhang K. Selection of risk response actions with consideration of secondary risks. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(2):241-254. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.11.002

116.

Rozenes S, Vitner G, Spraggett S. Project Control: Literature Review. *Project Management Journal*. 2006;37(4):5-14. doi:10.1177/875697280603700402

117.

Jones stephen. Agile and Earned Value.
<https://www.apm.org.uk/media/1190/agile-and-earned-value.pdf>

118.

Milos

evic

D, Martinelli RJ. *Project Management Toolbox*. Second edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc;

2015.

119.

Maylor H. Project Management. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmllex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

120.

Mirko Sokovic. Basic Quality Tools in Continuous Improvement Process. Strojnicki Vestnik. 2009;55(5).
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299050377_Basic_Quality_Tools_in_Continuous_Improvement_Process

121.

Shenhar AJ, Dvir D, Levy O, Maltz AC. Project Success: A Multidimensional Strategic Concept. Long Range Planning. 2001;34(6):699-725. doi:10.1016/S0024-6301(01)00097-8

122.

Atkinson R. Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. International Journal of Project Management. 1999;17(6):337-342. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(98)00069-6

123.

Jepsen AL, Eskerod P. Stakeholder analysis in projects: Challenges in using current guidelines in the real world. International Journal of Project Management. 2009;27(4):335-343. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2008.04.002

124.

Friedman AL, Miles S. Developing Stakeholder Theory. Journal of Management Studies. 2002;39(1):1-21. doi:10.1111/1467-6486.00280

125.

Sutterfield JS, Friday-Stroud SS, Shivers-Blackwell SL. A Case Study of Project and Stakeholder Management Failures: Lessons Learned. *Project Management Journal*. 2006;37(5):26-35. doi:10.1177/875697280603700504

126.

Xia N, Zou PXW, Griffin MA, Wang X, Zhong R. Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(5):701-715. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.006

127.

Newcombe R. From client to project stakeholders: a stakeholder mapping approach. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2003;21(8):841-848. doi:10.1080/0144619032000072137

128.

Jing Yang. Exploring critical success factors for stakeholder management in construction projects. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*. 15(4):337-348. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3846/1392-3730.2009.15.337-348?src=recsys>

129.

Karlsen JT. Project Stakeholder Management. *Engineering Management Journal*. 2002;14(4):19-24. doi:10.1080/10429247.2002.11415180

130.

Rowlinson S, Cheung YKF. Stakeholder management through empowerment: modelling project success. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2008;26(6):611-623. doi:10.1080/01446190802071182

131.

Bryson JM. What to do when Stakeholders matter. *Public Management Review*. 2004;6(1):21-53. doi:10.1080/14719030410001675722

132.

Olander S, Landin A. A comparative study of factors affecting the external stakeholder management process. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2008;26(6):553-561. doi:10.1080/01446190701821810

133.

Olander S. Stakeholder impact analysis in construction project management. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2007;25(3):277-287. doi:10.1080/01446190600879125

134.

LOVE PED, MANDAL P, LI H. Determining the causal structure of rework influences in construction. *Construction Management and Economics*. 1999;17(4):505-517. doi:10.1080/014461999371420

135.

Love PED, Li H. Quantifying the causes and costs of rework in construction. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2000;18(4):479-490. doi:10.1080/01446190050024897

136.

Love PED, Edwards DJ. Forensic project management: The underlying causes of rework in construction projects. *Civil Engineering and Environmental Systems*. 2004;21(3):207-228. doi:10.1080/10286600412331295955

137.

Cherns AB, Bryant DT. Studying the client's role in construction management. *Construction Management and Economics*. 1984;2(2):177-184. doi:10.1080/01446198400000016

138.

Bresnen MJ, Haslam CO. Construction industry clients: A survey of their attributes and project management practices. *Construction Management and Economics*. 1991;9(4):327-342. doi:10.1080/01446199100000026

139.

Lehtinen J, Aaltonen K. Organizing external stakeholder engagement in inter-organizational projects: Opening the black box. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2020;38(2):85-98. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.12.001

140.

ljs

L, Tuominen K. *Quality in Project Management: ISO 10006 : Self-Assessment Work Book*. Benchmarking Ltd; 2005.

141.

Gunsteren LA van, Binnekamp R, Graaf RP de. *Stakeholder-Oriented Project Management: Tools and Concepts*. Vol v. 6. IOS Press; 2011.

142.

Friedman AL, Miles S. *Stakeholders: Theory and Practice*. Oxford University Press; 2006.

143.

Maylor H. *Project Management*. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmllex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

144.

Hall M. DBFO and the supply chain. Published online 2009.
https://uob-my.sharepoint.com/:b:/g/personal/eclaf_bristol_ac_uk/EWHDI Fn23U1PkqdCnKbYg5sBfpmSLXYKCDKRM77UT-OORw?e=cxz6Ds

145.

Hall M, Holt R, Graves A. Private finance, public roads: configuring the supply chain in PFI

highway construction. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*. 2000;6(3-4):227-235. doi:10.1016/S0969-7012(00)00018-6

146.

Cui C, Liu Y, Hope A, Wang J. Review of studies on the public-private partnerships (PPP) for infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(5):773-794. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.004

147.

Wang Y, Cui P, Liu J. Analysis of the risk-sharing ratio in PPP projects based on government minimum revenue guarantees. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(6):899-909. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.007

148.

You J, Chen Y, Wang W, Shi C. Uncertainty, opportunistic behavior, and governance in construction projects: The efficacy of contracts. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(5):795-807. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.002

149.

Wang Y, Cui P, Liu J. Analysis of the risk-sharing ratio in PPP projects based on government minimum revenue guarantees. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(6):899-909. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.007

150.

Ning Y. Impact of quality performance ambiguity on contractor's opportunistic behaviors in person-to-organization projects: The mediating roles of contract design and application. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(4):640-649. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.008

151.

Cui C, Liu Y, Hope A, Wang J. Review of studies on the public-private partnerships (PPP) for infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(5):773-794. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.004

152.

Benítez-Ávila C, Hartmann A, Dewulf G, Henseler J. Interplay of relational and contractual governance in public-private partnerships: The mediating role of relational norms, trust and partners' contribution. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(3):429-443. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.005

153.

Thomé AMT, Scavarda LF, Scavarda A, Thomé FES de S. Similarities and contrasts of complexity, uncertainty, risks, and resilience in supply chains and temporary multi-organization projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2016;34(7):1328-1346. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.10.012

154.

Davies A, Dodgson M, Gann D. Dynamic Capabilities in Complex Projects: The Case of London Heathrow Terminal 5. *Project Management Journal*. 2016;47(2):26-46. doi:10.1002/pmj.21574

155.

Moodley K, Smith N, Preece CN. Stakeholder matrix for ethical relationships in the construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2008;26(6):625-632. doi:10.1080/01446190801965368

156.

Taggart M, Koskela L, Rooke J. The role of the supply chain in the elimination and reduction of construction rework and defects: an action research approach. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2014;32(7-8):829-842. doi:10.1080/01446193.2014.904965

157.

Taggart M, Koskela L, Rooke J. The role of the supply chain in the elimination and reduction of construction rework and defects: an action research approach. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2014;32(7-8):829-842. doi:10.1080/01446193.2014.904965

158.

Osipova E, Eriksson PE. How procurement options influence risk management in construction projects. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2011;29(11):1149-1158. doi:10.1080/01446193.2011.639379

159.

Raisbeck P, Duffield C, Xu M. Comparative performance of PPPs and traditional procurement in Australia. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2010;28(4):345-359. doi:10.1080/01446190903582731

160.

Akintoye A. Design and build: a survey of construction contractors' views. *Construction Management and Economics*. 1994;12(2):155-163. doi:10.1080/01446199400000021

161.

Anumba CJ, Evbuomwan NFO. Concurrent engineering in design-build projects. *Construction Management and Economics*. 1997;15(3):271-281. doi:10.1080/014461997373006

162.

Ling FYY, Kerh SH. Comparing the Performance of Design-Build and Design-Bid-Build Building Projects in Singapore. *Architectural Science Review*. 2004;47(2):163-175. doi:10.1080/00038628.2004.9697040

163.

Bresnen M, Marshall N. Partnering in construction: a critical review of issues, problems and dilemmas. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2000;18(2):229-237. doi:10.1080/014461900370852

164.

LAM EWM, Chan APC, Chan DWM. Potential Problems of Running Design-build Projects in

Construction. HKIE Transactions. 2003;10(3):8-14. doi:10.1080/1023697X.2003.10667915

165.

Shakar Reddy, M. Raja1 rajashakarmalae@gmail.comJigeesh, Nasina2 jigeeshn@gmail.comKumar, Prabhu3 prabsjntu@yahoo.co.in. The Integration of Project Management with Supply Chain Management in Indian Pharmaceutical Projects. The Integration of Project Management with Supply Chain Management in Indian Pharmaceutical Projects. 2017;14(Issue 4):61-70. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=127233938&site=ehost-live>

166.

Tang Y, Chen Y, Hua Y, Fu Y. Impacts of risk allocation on conflict negotiation costs in construction projects: Does managerial control matter? International Journal of Project Management. 2020;38(3):188-199. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2020.03.002

167.

Bing L, Akintoye A, Edwards PJ, Hardcastle C. The allocation of risk in PPP/PFI construction projects in the UK. International Journal of Project Management. 2005;23(1):25-35. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2004.04.006

168.

Loosemore M, McCarthy CS. Perceptions of Contractual Risk Allocation in Construction Supply Chains. Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice. 2008;134(1):95-105. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1052-3928(2008)134:1(95)

169.

Melese Y, Lumbreras S, Ramos A, Stikkelman R, Herder P. Cooperation under uncertainty: Assessing the value of risk sharing and determining the optimal risk-sharing rule for agents with pre-existing business and diverging risk attitudes. International Journal of Project Management. 2017;35(3):530-540. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.11.007

170.

Ng A, Loosemore M. Risk allocation in the private provision of public infrastructure. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2007;25(1):66-76. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.06.005

171.

Shi C, Chen Y, You J, Yao H. Asset Specificity and Contractors' Opportunistic Behavior: Moderating Roles of Contract and Trust. *Journal of Management in Engineering*. 2018;34(5). doi:10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000632

172.

Wang W, Chen Y, Zhang S, Wang Y. Contractual Complexity in Construction Projects. *Project Management Journal*. 2018;49(3):46-61. doi:10.1177/8756972818770589

173.

Xiang P, Zhou J, Zhou X, Ye K. Construction Project Risk Management Based on the View of Asymmetric Information. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 2012;138(11):1303-1311. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0000548

174.

Zaghloul R, Hartman F. Construction contracts: the cost of mistrust. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2003;21(6):419-424. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(02)00082-0

175.

Zhang S, Zhang S, Gao Y, Ding X. Contractual Governance: Effects of Risk Allocation on Contractors' Cooperative Behavior in Construction Projects. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 2016;142(6). doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001111

176.

Turner JR. *Contracting for Project Management*. Ashgate Pub; 2007.

177.

Andreas Opelt, , Boris Gloger, , Wolfgang Pfarl, , and Ralf Mittermayr. Agile Contracts : Creating and Managing Successful Projects with Scrum. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2013. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=1191572>

178.

Wang Y. Evolution of public-private partnership models in American toll road development: Learning based on public institutions' risk management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2015;33(3):684-696. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.006

179.

Maylor H. Project Management. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5173571>

180.

Shore B. Systematic biases and culture in project failures. *Project Management Journal*. 2008;39(4):5-16. doi:10.1002/pmj.20082

181.

Flyvbjerg, Bent. Design by Deception: The Politics of Megaproject Approval. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2238047

182.

Carton AM, Cummings JM. A Theory of Subgroups in Work Teams. *Academy of Management Review*. 2012;37(3). <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=76609308&site=ehost-live>

183.

Drach-Zahavy A, Somech A. From an Intrateam to an Interteam Perspective of Effectiveness: The Role of Interdependence and Boundary Activities. *Small Group Research* . 2010;41(2):143-174. doi:10.1177/1046496409356479

184.

Phua FTT. The antecedents of co-operative behaviour among project team members: an alternative perspective on an old issue. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2004;22(10):1033-1045. doi:10.1080/01446190310001649092

185.

Brunet M. Governance-as-practice for major public infrastructure projects: A case of multilevel project governing. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2019;37(2):283-297. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.02.007

186.

Bjorvatn T, Wald A. Project complexity and team-level absorptive capacity as drivers of project management performance. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(6):876-888. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.05.003

187.

Winch GM. Three domains of project organising. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2014;32(5):721-731. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.012

188.

Aubry M, Lavoie-Tremblay M. Rethinking organizational design for managing multiple projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(1):12-26. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.05.012

189.

Bakker RM. Taking Stock of Temporary Organizational Forms: A Systematic Review and Research Agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*. 2010;12(4):466-486. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2370.2010.00281.x

190.

Miterev M, Mancini M, Turner R. Towards a design for the project-based organization. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2017;35(3):479-491. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.12.007

191.

Chizhik AW, Shelly RK, Troyer L. Intragroup Conflict and Cooperation: An Introduction. *Journal of Social Issues*. 2009;65(2):251-259. doi:10.1111/j.1540-4560.2009.01599.x

192.

Jehn KA. A Multimethod Examination of the Benefits and Detriments of Intragroup Conflict. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 1995;40(2). doi:10.2307/2393638

193.

Jehn KA. A Qualitative Analysis of Conflict Types and Dimensions in Organizational Groups. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 1997;42(3). doi:10.2307/2393737

194.

Sutterfield, J. Scott¹Friday-Stroud, Shawnta S.¹Shivers-Blackwell, Sheryl L.¹. How NOT to Manage a Project: Conflict Management Lessons Learned from a DOD Case Study. *Journal of Behavioral & Applied Management*. 2007;8(Issue 3):218-238.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=26768057&site=ehost-live>

195.

Wall JA, Callister RR. Conflict and Its Management. *Journal of Management*. 1995;21(3):515-558. doi:10.1177/014920639502100306

196.

Liu JYC, Chen HG, Chen CC, Sheu TS. Relationships among interpersonal conflict, requirements uncertainty, and software project performance. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2011;29(5):547-556. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.04.007

197.

Arditi D, Nayak S, Damci A. Effect of organizational culture on delay in construction. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2017;35(2):136-147.

doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.10.018

198.

Lloyd Fletcher, Sturdy A. The Subjectivity of Boundary Spanning – Composite Boundaries in Project Working.

[https://research-information.bristol.ac.uk/en/publications/the-subjectivity-of-boundary-spanning--composite-boundaries-in-project-working\(7d572c51-231e-44a6-b9e4-67cca3eae718\).html](https://research-information.bristol.ac.uk/en/publications/the-subjectivity-of-boundary-spanning--composite-boundaries-in-project-working(7d572c51-231e-44a6-b9e4-67cca3eae718).html)

199.

Dibble R, Gibson CB. Crossing team boundaries: A theoretical model of team boundary permeability and a discussion of why it matters. *Human Relations*. 2018;71(7):925-950. doi:10.1177/0018726717735372

200.

You really can fool some of the people, all of the time. *The Economist*. Published online 31AD.

<https://www-economist-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/international/2019/10/31/you-really-can-fool-some-of-the-people-all-of-the-time>

201.

Simard M, Aubry M, Laberge D. The utopia of order versus chaos: A conceptual framework for governance, organizational design and governmentality in projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018;36(3):460-473. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.003

202.

Stingl V, Geraldi J. Errors, lies and misunderstandings: Systematic review on behavioural decision making in projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2017;35(2):121-135. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.10.009

203.

UDDIN, SHAHADAT1 shahadat.uddin@sydney.edu.au. SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS in Project Management. *SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS in Project Management*. Published

online 2017:106-113. doi:10.19255/JMPM01310

204.

Oswald A, Köhler J, Schmitt R. Project Management at the Edge of Chaos. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2018. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-48261-2

205.

Maylor H. Project Management. 4th ed. Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2html/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

206.

Augustine S, Payne B, Sencindiver F, Woodcock S. Agile project management. Communications of the ACM. 2005;48(12):85-89. doi:10.1145/1101779.1101781

207.

Hodgson D, Cicmil S. The other side of projects: the case for critical project studies. International Journal of Managing Projects in Business. 2008;1(1):142-152. doi:10.1108/17538370810846487

208.

Winter M, Smith C, Morris P, Cicmil S. Directions for future research in project management: The main findings of a UK government-funded research network. International Journal of Project Management. 2006;24(8):638-649. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.08.009

209.

Highsmith JA. Agile Project Management: Creating Innovative Products. Addison-Wesley
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&jid=3C85&site=ehost-live>

210.

Swan J, Scarbrough H, Newell S. Why don't (or do) organizations learn from projects? Management Learning. 2010;41(3):325-344. doi:10.1177/1350507609357003

211.

Patanakul P, Kwak YH, Zwikael O, Liu M. What impacts the performance of large-scale government projects? International Journal of Project Management. 2016;34(3):452-466. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.12.001

212.

Duffield SM, Whitty SJ. Application of the Systemic Lessons Learned Knowledge model for Organisational Learning through Projects. International Journal of Project Management. 2016;34(7):1280-1293. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.07.001

213.

Love PED, Teo P, Ackermann F, et al. Reduce rework, improve safety: an empirical inquiry into the precursors to error in construction. Production Planning & Control. 2018;29(5):353-366. doi:10.1080/09537287.2018.1424961

214.

Morris P. Reconstructing Project Management Reprised: A Knowledge Perspective. Project Management Journal. 2013;44(5):6-23. doi:10.1002/pmj.21369

215.

Pinto JK, Winch G. The unsettling of "settled science:" The past and future of the management of projects. International Journal of Project Management. 2016;34(2):237-245. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.07.011

216.

Cicmil S, Williams T, Thomas J, Hodgson D. Rethinking Project Management: Researching the actuality of projects. International Journal of Project Management. 2006;24(8):675-686. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.08.006

217.

Thomas J. Problematising Project Management. In: Making Projects Critical. Palgrave Macmillan; 2006:90-107.

218.

The National Programme for IT in the NHS: an update on the delivery of detailed care records systems - Public Accounts Committee.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmpubacc/1070/107002.htm>

219.

Ralph P. The two paradigms of software development research. Science of Computer Programming. 2018;156:68-89. doi:10.1016/j.scico.2018.01.002

220.

Heaton KM, Skok W, Kovala S. Learning Lessons from Software Implementation Projects: An Exploratory Study. Knowledge and Process Management. 2016;23(4):293-306.
doi:10.1002/kpm.1525

221.

Koskela L, Ferrentelli A, Niiranen J, Pikas E. Epistemological Explanation of Lean Construction. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management. 145(2).
<https://ascelibrary.org/doi/full/10.1061/%28ASCE%29CO.1943-7862.0001597>

222.

Daniel PA, Daniel C. Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. International Journal of Project Management. 2018;36(1):184-197. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.004

223.

Adelakun O, Garcia R, Tabaka T, Ismail R. Hybrid Project Management: Agile with Discipline. In: International Conference on Information Resources Management (CONF-IRM) . ; 5AD.
<https://aisel.aisnet.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1013&context=confirm2017>

224.

Alter R. Brexit and the moon landing from a project complexity perspective: A comparative case study. *Case Studies in Business and Management*. 2018;5(2).
doi:10.5296/csbm.v5i2.13484

225.

MIRZAEI, MARYAM¹ Mmirzaei@unitec.ac.nzMABIN, VICTORIA². Agile Project Management and Public Policy Development Projects: A case study from New Zealand. *Agile Project Management and Public Policy Development Projects: A case study from New Zealand*. 2017;15(Issue 1):59-75.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=126200163&site=ehost-live>

226.

Sanchez, Felipe¹Bonjour, Eric²Micaëlli, Jean-Pierre³Monticolo, Davy⁴. A Step for Improving THE TRANSITION BETWEEN TRADITIONAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT TO AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Using a Project Management Maturity Model. *A Step for Improving THE TRANSITION BETWEEN TRADITIONAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT TO AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Using a Project Management Maturity Model*. 2019;7(Issue 1):102-119.
doi:10.19255/JMPM01906

227.

HENRIKSEN, ANDRE¹ andre.henriksen@uit.noPEDERSEN, SVEN ARNE R.² sven.arne.r.pedersen@uit.no. A qualitative case study on AGILE PRACTICES AND PROJECT SUCCESS in agile software projects. *A qualitative case study on AGILE PRACTICES AND PROJECT SUCCESS in agile software projects*. Published online 2017:62-73.
doi:10.19255/JMPM01306

228.

Gharaibeh, Hani¹. Improving Project Team Learning in Major Projects -- A Case Study Comparison. *Improving Project Team Learning in Major Projects -- A Case Study Comparison*. 2011;9(Issue 2):1-13.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=110267984&site=ehost-live>

229.

Chen, Edward T.1 edwardchen@uml.edu. EMERGING TRENDS IN PROJECT MANAGEMENT: EXPEDITING BUSINESS. Published online 2015:1-13.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=116281798&site=ehost-live>

230.

Keinz P, Hiennerth C, Gemünden HG, Killen CP, Sicotte H. Special issue: Managing open and user innovation by projects: Sensing, seizing and transforming. International Journal of Project Management. Published online February 2021. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2021.02.001

231.

Project Management Institute. Agile Project Management: Essentials from the Project Management Journal. J. Wiley & Sons; 2013.

232.

Morris PWG. Reconstructing Project Management. John Wiley & Sons; 2013.

233.

Silvius G, Schipper R, Van den Brink J. Sustainability in Project Management. Ashgate Pub; 2012.

234.

University Of Roskilde. Project Management Theory Meets Practice. Samfundslitteratur; 2015.

235.

Kerzner H. Using the Project Management Maturity Model: Strategic Planning for Project Management. Third edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2019.

236.

Rad PF, Levin G. Achieving Project Management Success Using Virtual Teams. J. Ross Publishing, Incorporated; 2014.

237.

Grisham TW. International Project Management: Leadership in Complex Environments. Wiley; 2010.

238.

Perrin R. Real World Project Management: Beyond Conventional Wisdom, Best Practices, and Project Methodologies. John Wiley & Sons

239.

Cobb CG. Making Sense of Agile Project Management: Balancing Control and Agility. Wiley

240.

Aramo-Immonen H, Vanharanta H. Project management: The task of holistic systems thinking. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing. 2009;19(6):582-600.
doi:10.1002/hfm.20185

241.

Kim H. Pries , and Jon M. Quigley. Scrum Project Management. Taylor & Francis Group; 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=589930>

242.

John Goodpasture. Project Management the Agile Way : Making It Work in the Enterprise. J. Ross Publishing; 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=3319473>

243.

Jamie Lynn Cooke. Everything You Want to Know about Agile : How to Get Agile Results in a Less-Than-Agile Organization. IT Governance Ltd; 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=918799>

244.

Peter W. G. Morris. Reconstructing Project Management. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2013. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=1152686>

245.

Atkinson R, Crawford L, Ward S. Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. International Journal of Project Management. 2006;24(8):687-698. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011

246.

Britain's engineering reputation goes down the tube. The Economist. Published online 20181208.
<https://www.economist.com/britain/2018/12/08/britains-engineering-reputation-goes-down-the-tube>

247.

Britain's government tries to trim the £56bn cost of HS2. The Economist. Published online 20190815.
<https://www.economist.com/britain/2019/08/15/britains-government-tries-to-trim-the-ps56bn-cost-of-hs2>

248.

Louise Butcher. High Speed 2 (HS2) Phase 2a.
<https://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN07082>

249.

HS2: 12 arguments for and against - BBC News. Published online 24AD.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/magazine-24159571>

250.

Government announces independent review into HS2 programme - GOV.UK. Published online 21AD.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/government-announces-independent-review-into-hs2-programme>

251.

Andrew Haylen. High Speed 2: the business case, costs and spending. Published online 27AD. <https://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/CBP-8601>

252.

High Speed 2 - Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High_Speed_2

253.

High Speed Two (HS2) Limited - GOV.UK.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/high-speed-two-limited>

254.

House of Lords - The Economics of High Speed 2 - Economic Affairs Committee.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201415/ldselect/ldeconaf/134/13404.htm>

255.

HS2 - What is it and how can my business benefit? | GBCC.
<https://www.greaterbirminghamchambers.com/research-campaigning/hot-topics/hs2>

256.

HS2 direct lines 'could bring £1.4bn of benefits' - The Transport Network. Published 9AD.
<https://www.transport-network.co.uk/HS2-direct-lines-could-bring-14bn-of-benefits/16147>

257.

John Stone. HS2 is the only option for Britain's railways | The Independent. Published online 31AD.
<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/hs2-logistics-financial-benefit-controversy-a8937936.html>

258.

HS2 make or break decision looms | Railnews | Today's news for Tomorrow's railway. Published online 21AD.
<https://www.railnews.co.uk/news/2019/08/21-hs2-make-or-break-decision.html>

259.

HS2: Review to examine costs and benefits of rail project - BBC News. Published online 21AD. <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-49420332>

260.

HS2 will serve wealthier passengers and deliver more benefits to London than the North | New Economics Foundation.
<https://neweconomics.org/2019/03/hs2-will-serve-wealthier-passengers-and-deliver-more-benefits-to-london-than-the-north>

261.

High Speed 2 home page. <https://www.hs2.org.uk/>

262.

Simon Jenkins. Boris Johnson should have the guts to kill HS2 | Simon Jenkins. Guardian. Published online 28 August 2019.
<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2019/aug/28/boris-johnson-hs2-disastrous-rail-scheme>

263.

HS2 costs and benefits: a search for clear evidence | Letters. Guardian. Published online 25 August 2019.
<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2019/aug/25/hs2-costs-and-benefits-a-search-for-clear-evidence>

264.

Lords: HS2 needs new costs and benefits assessment | International Railway Journal.
Published 16AD.

<https://www.railjournal.com/passenger/high-speed/lords-hs2-costs-benefits-assessment/>

265.

Munro A. HS2 railway, UK – why the country needs it. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Transport. Published online 23 November 2018:1-9.

doi:10.1680/jtran.18.00040

266.

Net zero: HS2 review to examine environmental benefits - Energy Live News.

<https://www.energylivenews.com/2019/08/21/net-zero-hs2-review-to-examine-environmental-benefits/>

267.

Payne S. The benefits of HS2 are becoming harder to discern. Financial Times. Published online 26AD. <https://www.ft.com/content/6c3981be-90bd-11e8-bb8f-a6a2f7bca546>

268.

Plimmer G. Why HS2 rail line is way over budget and badly delayed. Financial Times. Published online 19AD.

<https://www.ft.com/content/cf3ff750-d92a-11e9-8f9b-77216ebe1f17>

269.

Pros and Cons of High Speed Rail HS2 | Economics Help.

<https://www.economicshelp.org/blog/3088/economics/pros-and-cons-of-high-speed-rail-hs2/>

270.

HS2 benefits 'substantially undervalued' amid rising costs | News | Railway Gazette.

Published online 3AD.

<https://www.railwaygazette.com/uk/hs2-benefits-substantially-undervalued-amid-rising-costs/54475.article>

271.

STOP HS2 - The national campaign against High Speed Rail 2. <http://stophs2.org/>

272.

Sweet R. HS2's moment of truth. Construction Research and Innovation. 2019;10(3):54-59. doi:10.1080/20450249.2019.1654235

273.

The Case Against HS2 - High Speed 2 Action Alliance.

<http://www.hs2actionalliance.org/case-against-hs2/>

274.

Unlocking the benefits of HS2 | Rail Engineer.

<https://www.railengineer.co.uk/2019/04/04/unlocking-the-benefits-of-hs2/>

275.

HS2 in balance as government reviews rail scheme's costs and benefits | News | Architects Journal.

<https://www.architectsjournal.co.uk/news/hs2-in-balance-as-government-reviews-rail-schemes-costs-and-benefits/10044140.article>

276.

What benefits will the HS2 Railway Network bring? – RG Group.

<https://rg-group.co.uk/what-benefits-will-the-hs2-railway-network-bring/>

277.

Why archaeologists love HS2. The Economist. Published online 20181201.
<https://www.economist.com/britain/2018/12/01/why-archaeologists-love-hs2>

278.

House of Lords - Rethinking High Speed 2 - Economic Affairs Committee. Published online 16AD. <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201719/ldselect/ldeconaf/359/35902.htm>

279.

HS2 should happen despite rising cost, says review - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50388738>

280.

HS2 review criticised by deputy chair of panel - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50280270>

281.

HS2 route: How much will the rail scheme cost? - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-16473296>

282.

HS2's ballooning budget was 'covered up' - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-49482701>

283.

HS2 benefits hugely underestimated - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/topics/cgdwvpvk35zt/hs2>

284.

HS2 costs out of control, says review's deputy chair - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50995116>

285.

Rowena Mason. Cancelling HS2 would fail new Tory voters, Boris Johnson told. Guardian. Published online 7 January 2020.
<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jan/07/cancelling-hs2-would-fail-new-tory-voters-boris-johnson-told>

286.

HS2 phase 2 civils design costs rise 50% | Construction Enquirer.
<https://www.constructionenquirer.com/2019/12/20/hs2-phase-2-civils-design-cost-rises-50/>

287.

HS2 revises environmental policy document.
<https://www.endsreport.com/article/1668736/hs2-revises-environmental-policy-document>

288.

HS2 Ltd denies watering down environmental pledges - The Transport Network.
<https://www.transport-network.co.uk/HS2-Ltd-denies-watering-down-environmental-pledges/16361>

289.

HS2: Give me the facts, says Shapps - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51171249>

290.

Christian Wolmar. HS2 is a calamity, but it would be politically toxic for Boris Johnson to scrap it | Christian Wolmar. Guardian. Published online 21 January 2020.
<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/jan/21/boris-johnson-hs2-scrap-invest-buses>

291.

Gwyn Topham. Can the troubled HS2 project get back on track? Guardian. Published online

20 January 2020.

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jan/20/can-the-troubled-hs2-project-get-back-on-track>

292.

Gwyn Topham. HS2 costs could rise to £106bn or more, warns government review.

Guardian. Published online 20 January 2020.

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jan/20/hs2-costs-government-review-west-midlands-manchester-leeds>

293.

Use HS2 billions to help West Midlands and Staffordshire commuters - expert | Express & Star.

<https://www.expressandstar.com/news/transport/2020/01/27/use-hs2-billions-to-help-west-midlands-and-staffordshire-commuters-expert/>

294.

Whistleblowers say public have been 'deceived' over cost of HS2: Wessex FM - News.

<https://www.wessexfm.com/news/national/3032238/whistleblowers-say-public-have-been-deceived-over-cost-of-hs2/>

295.

HS2: Scrapping troubled rail link could cost £12bn, say reports | The Independent.

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/hs2-cost-scrap-railway-london-birmingham-manchester-leeds-a9302126.html>

296.

HS2 risks misjudged from the start, says watchdog - BBC News.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51223101>

297.

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Report.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/>

298.

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Report.
<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/>

299.

Government underestimated HS2 "complexity and risk", says National Audit Office.
<https://www.newstatesman.com/spotlight/transport/2020/01/government-underestimated-hs2-complexity-and-risk-says-national-audit>

300.

HS2: Six reasons why the rail route is so expensive - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51415590>

301.

Boris Johnson to repay the north by approving HS2 | News | The Times.
<https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/boris-johnson-to-repay-north-by-approving-hs2-vwbgfjpdj>

302.

North and Midlands most opposed to HS2, survey reveals | News | Building.
<https://www.building.co.uk/news/north-and-midlands-most-opposed-to-hs2-survey-reveals/5104118.article>

303.

Oakervee review calls for HS2 Ltd to be stripped of Euston project. Financial Times.
<https://www.ft.com/content/d8399f72-4744-11ea-aeb3-955839e06441>

304.

HS2: Government to give high-speed rail line the go-ahead - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51443421>

305.

Kate Proctor. HS2 to be given go-ahead by government despite fierce opposition. Guardian . Published online 11 February 2020.

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/feb/11/government-to-give-hs2-go-ahead-despite-fierce-opposition-boris-johnson-high-speed-rail>

306.

HS2: UK in talks with China over construction of high-speed line - BBC News.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51512831>

307.

Jennings W. Executive Politics, Risk and the Mega-Project Paradox. In: Executive Politics in Times of Crisis. Palgrave Macmillan; 2012:239-263.

308.

Flyvbjerg B, Bruzelius N, Rothengatter W. Megaprojects and Risk: An Anatomy of Ambition. Cambridge University Press; 2003. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107050891

309.

HS2 and the Chinese: What now? | Comment | Building.

<https://www.building.co.uk/hs2-and-the-chinese-what-now/5104354.article>

310.

HS2 | Curzon Street landowners could claim millions after court ruling - New Civil Engineer. <https://www.newcivilengineer.com/latest/hs2-curzon-street-landowners-could-claim-millions-after-court-ruling-18-02-2020/>

311.

HS2 'perfect example' of broken funding system, claims finance chief - New Civil Engineer. <https://www.newcivilengineer.com/latest/hs2-perfect-example-of-broken-funding-system-claims-finance-chief-25-02-2020/>

312.

Birmingham MPs call for Boris Johnson to deliver the 'promised benefits of HS2' for Brummies - Birmingham Live. Published online 17AD.

<https://www.birminghammail.co.uk/news/midlands-news/birmingham-mps-call-boris-johnson-16929116>

313.

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Press release.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/press-release/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/>