

MGRCM2028 & EFIM20015: Project Management

Project management resources for both undergraduate and PGT units.

View Online



1

Maylor H. Project management. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmllex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

2

Maylor H. Project management. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmllex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

3

Overdue and over Budget, over and over Again. Published Online First:
9AD.http://gdc.galegroup.com/gdc/artemis/NewspapersDetailsPage/NewspapersDetailsWindow?disableHighlighting=false&displayGroupName=DVI-Newspapers&docIndex=1&source=&prodId=ECON&mode=view&limiter=&display-query=TX+Overdue+%23%23and%23%23+over+Budget%2C+over+%23%23and%23%23+over+Again%E2%80%99&contentModules=&action=e&sortBy=&windowstate=normal&currPage=1&dviSelectedPage=&scanId=&query=TX+Overdue+and+over+Budget%2C+over+and+over+Again%E2%80%99&search_within_results=&p=ECON&catId=&u=univbri&displayGroups=&documentId=GALE%7CGP4100353399&activityType=BasicSearch&failOverType=&commentary=

4

Atkinson R. Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. International Journal of Project Management 1999;**17**:337-42. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(98)00069-6

5

Morris P. Reconstructing Project Management Reprised: A Knowledge Perspective. *Project Management Journal* 2013;**44**:6–23. doi:10.1002/pmj.21369

6

Cicmil S, Williams T, Thomas J, et al. Rethinking Project Management: Researching the actuality of projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2006;**24**:675–86. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.08.006

7

Hodgson DE, Cicmil S. *Making projects critical*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2006.

8

Crawford L, Hobbs B, Turner JR. Aligning Capability with Strategy: Categorizing Projects to do the Right Projects and to do Them Right. *Project Management Journal* 2006;**37**:38–50. doi:10.1177/875697280603700205

9

Maylor H, Vidgen R, Carver S. Managerial Complexity in Project-Based Operations: A Grounded Model and Its Implications for Practice. *Project Management Journal* 2008;**39**:S15–26. doi:10.1002/pmj.20057

10

Elder-Vass D. *The causal power of social structures: emergence, structure and agency*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010.

11

Kim J. Making sense of emergence. *Philosophical Studies* 1999;**95**:3–36. doi:10.1023/A:1004563122154

12

Marras A. Emergence and reduction: Reply to Kim. Synthese 2006;**151**:561–9.
doi:10.1007/s11229-006-9026-z

13

The future is bright, the future is... you! Project <https://www.apm.org.uk/project/>

14

Conditions for Project Success.
<https://www.apm.org.uk/resources/find-a-resource/conditions-for-project-success/>

15

Association for Project Management. APM body of knowledge. Seventh edition. Princes Risborough, Buckinghamshire: : Association for Project Management 2019.
<https://www.apm.org.uk/body-of-knowledge/>

16

Winch GM. Three domains of project organising. International Journal of Project Management 2014;**32**:721–31. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.012

17

Sydow J, Lindkvist L, DeFillippi R. Project-Based Organizations, Embeddedness and Repositories of Knowledge: Editorial. Organization Studies 2004;**25**:1475–89.
doi:10.1177/0170840604048162

18

Garel G. A history of project management models: From pre-models to the standard models. International Journal of Project Management 2013;**31**:663–9.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2012.12.011

19

Padalkar M, Gopinath S. Are complexity and uncertainty distinct concepts in project management? A taxonomical examination from literature. *International Journal of Project Management* 2016;**34**:688–700. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.02.009

20

Daniel PA, Daniel C. Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:184–97. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.004

21

NHS IT system one of 'worst fiascos ever', say MPs - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-24130684>

22

House of Commons - The National Programme for IT in the NHS: an update on the delivery of detailed care records systems - Public Accounts Committee.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmpubacc/1070/107002.htm>

23

John Carvel. NHS risks £20bn white elephant, say auditors. *Guardian Published Online First*: 16 June 2006.
<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2006/jun/16/egovernment.politics>

24

Cresswell K, Sheikh A. The NHS Care Record Service (NHS CRS): recommendations from the literature on successful implementation and adoption. *Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics* 2009;**17**:153–60. doi:10.14236/jhi.v17i3.730

25

Ahola T, Ruuska I, Artto K, et al. What is project governance and what are its origins? *International Journal of Project Management* 2014;**32**:1321–32. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.09.005

26

Weick KE. Making sense of the organization: Vol. 2: The impermanent organization. Chichester, U.K.: : Wiley

27

Britain's engineering reputation goes down the tube. The Economist Published Online First: 20181208.<https://www.economist.com/britain/2018/12/08/britains-engineering-reputation-goes-down-the-tube>

28

Gupta SK, Gunasekaran A, Antony J, et al. Systematic literature review of project failures: Current trends and scope for future research. Computers & Industrial Engineering 2019; **127**:274–85. doi:10.1016/j.cie.2018.12.002

29

Krusi, Markus¹Whitty, Stephen Jonathan¹. The Practitioner's Tapestry: Revealing the epistemological diversity to project management knowledge. The Practitioner's Tapestry: Revealing the epistemological diversity to project management knowledge 2019;**7**:196–226. doi:10.19255/JMPM02010

30

Wysocki RK. Effective project management: traditional, agile, extreme, hybrid. Eighth edition. Indianapolis, IN: : Wiley 2019.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5747804>

31

Kerzner H. Project management: case studies. Fourth edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: : John Wiley & Sons, Inc 2013.

32

Milos

evic

D, Patanakul P, Srivannaboon S. Case studies in project, program, and organizational project management. Hoboken, N.J.: : Wiley

33

Verzuh E. The Fast Forward MBA in Project Management. 5th ed. Wiley 2016.

34

Morris PWG, Pinto JK,
So

derlund J. The Oxford handbook of project management. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

35

Lock D. Naked Project Management: the Bare Facts. Farnham: : Ashgate Publishing Ltd 2013.

36

Lientz BP. Project management: a problem-based approach. Houndmills, Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

37

Tuttle S. Illustrating PRINCE2: project management in real terms. Ely, Cambridgeshire, U.K.: : IT Governance Pub 2012.

38

Nicholas JM, Steyn H. Project management for business, engineering, and technology: principles and practice. 3rd ed. Amsterdam: : Elsevier Butterworth Heinemann

39

Kerzner H. Project management: a systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling. Eleventh edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: : John Wiley & Sons, Inc 2013.

40

PRINCE2 overview.

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/j.ctt5hh70p.7.pdf?refreqid=excelsior%3A0df09d2c93fcca292d25438b58e842db>

41

APM /INCOSEUK Systems Thinking SIG. Systems Thinking for Portfolio, Programme and Project Managers.

16AD.<https://www.apm.org.uk/media/25516/systems-thinking-for-portfolio-programme-and-project-managers-v10.pdf>

42

Jackson MC. Critical systems thinking and the management of complexity: responsible leadership for a complex world. Hoboken, NJ: : John Wiley & Sons, Inc 2019.

43

Jackson MC. Systems thinking: creative holism for managers. Chichester, West Sussex: : John Wiley & Sons

44

Jackson MC. Critical systems thinking and the management of complexity: responsible leadership for a complex world. Hoboken, NJ: : John Wiley & Sons, Inc 2019.

45

Mawby D, Stupples D. Systems thinking for managing projects. IEMC-2002: 2002 IEEE International Engineering Management Conference : Managing technology for the new economy : St John's College, Cambridge, UK, 18-20 August, 2002 : proceedings;:344-9. doi:10.1109/IEMC.2002.1038455

46

George Ellis. Project Management in Product Development : Leadership Skills and Management Techniques to Deliver Great Products. Elsevier Science & Technology 2015. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=4003784>

47

Belbin Team Roles. <https://www.belbin.com/about/belbin-team-roles/>

48

Maylor H. Project management. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010. https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmllex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

49

Atkinson R, Crawford L, Ward S. Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. International Journal of Project Management 2006;**24**:687–98. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011

50

Turner JR, Cochrane RA. Goals-and-methods matrix: coping with projects with ill defined goals and/or methods of achieving them. International Journal of Project Management 1993;**11**:93–102. doi:10.1016/0263-7863(93)90017-H

51

Flyvbjerg B, Bruzelius N, Rothengatter W. Megaprojects and risk: an anatomy of ambition. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107050891

52

Carlile PR. A Pragmatic View of Knowledge and Boundaries: Boundary Objects in New Product Development. Organization Science 2002;**13**:442–55. doi:10.1287/orsc.13.4.442.2953

53

Dougherty D. Interpretive Barriers to Successful Product Innovation in Large Firms. *Organization Science* 1992;**3**:179–202. doi:10.1287/orsc.3.2.179

54

Cicmil S, Hodgson D. New Possibilities for Project Management Theory: A Critical Engagement. *Project Management Journal* 2006;**37**:111–22. doi:10.1177/875697280603700311

55

Florice S, Michela JL, Piperca S. Complexity, uncertainty-reduction strategies, and project performance. *International Journal of Project Management* 2016;**34**:1360–83. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.11.007

56

Zwikael O, Chih Y-Y, Meredith JR. Project benefit management: Setting effective target benefits. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:650–8. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.002

57

Simard M, Aubry M, Laberge D. The utopia of order versus chaos: A conceptual framework for governance, organizational design and governmentality in projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:460–73. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.003

58

RIBA Plan of Work 2013. <https://www.ribaplanofwork.com/>

59

The NAO Guide to Initiating Successful Projects. 2011. https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/NAO_Guide_Initiating_successful_projects.pdf

60

Jennings W. Executive Politics, Risk and the Mega-Project Paradox. In: Executive politics in times of crisis. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2012. 239–63.

61

Atkinson R, Crawford L, Ward S. Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. International Journal of Project Management 2006;**24**:687–98.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011

62

Maylor H. Project management. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5173571>

63

Daniel E, Daniel PA. Megaprojects as complex adaptive systems: The Hinkley point C case. International Journal of Project Management Published Online First: June 2019.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.05.001

64

Williams T, Eden C, Ackermann F, et al. The Effects of Design Changes and Delays on Project Costs. Journal of the Operational Research Society 1995;**46**:809–18.
https://linker2.worldcat.org/?date=1995-07-01&rft.content=fulltext&rft.institution_id=132607&linktype=best&spage=809&title=Journal+of+the+Operational+Research+Society%2C+The&localstem=https%3A%2F%2Fbristol.idm.oclc.org%2Flogin%3Furl%3D&atitle=The+Effects+of+Design+Changes+and+Delays+on+Project+Costs&jHome=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.jstor.org%2Fjournal%2Fjoperresoci&provider=jstor&pkgName=archive&rft.oclcnum=5549630755&linkclass=to_article&aualast=Williams&issue=7&jKey=joperresoci&rft_id=info%2Fsid%3Aoclc.org%2FWCL&eissn=1476-9360&volume=46&linkScheme=jstor&itemnum=10.2307%2F2583965&issn=0160-5682&rft.order_by=preference

65

Rodrigues AG, Williams TM. System dynamics in project management: assessing the impacts of client behaviour on project performance. Journal of the Operational Research

Society 1998;**49**:2–15. doi:10.1057/palgrave.jors.2600490

66

Eden C, Williams T, Ackermann F, et al. The role of feedback dynamics in disruption and delay on the nature of disruption and delay (D&D) in major projects. Journal of the Operational Research Society 2000;**51**:291–300. doi:10.1057/palgrave.jors.2600919

67

Love PED, Mandal P, Smith J, et al. Modelling the dynamics of design error induced rework in construction. Construction Management and Economics 2000;**18**:567–74. doi:10.1080/014461900407374

68

The imperial units error that downed a multi-million-dollar Mars probe | New Scientist. <https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg24332500-200-the-imperial-units-error-that-downed-a-multi-million-dollar-mars-probe/>

69

Fink L, Pinchovski B. It is about time: Bias and its mitigation in time-saving decisions in software development projects. International Journal of Project Management 2020;**38**:99–111. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2020.01.001

70

Feylizadeh MR, Mahmoudi A, Bagherpour M, et al. Project crashing using a fuzzy multi-objective model considering time, cost, quality and risk under fast tracking technique: A case study. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems 2018;**35**:3615–31. doi:10.3233/JIFS-18171

71

Wang N, Wei K, Sun H. Whole Life Project Management Approach to Sustainability. Journal of Management in Engineering 2014;**30**:246–55. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000185

72

ELIEZER, OREN1 orenel@post.jce.ac.ilDROR, SHUKI2 dror@braude.ac.il. QFD Methodology for LINKING PROJECT SUCCESS FACTORS: to Outcomes in a Specific Business Case. QFD Methodology for LINKING PROJECT SUCCESS FACTORS: to Outcomes in a Specific Business Case 2018;:40–9. doi:10.19255/JMPM01504

73

ROMERO, ALEJANDRO1 romero-torres.alejandro@uqam.caPARÉ, MAGALIE2KHEMICI, NASSIM2. The HOT POTATO GAME roles and responsibilities for realizing IT project benefits. The HOT POTATO GAME roles and responsibilities for realizing IT project benefits 2017;:72–9.<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=125356361&site=ehost-live>

74

Leach LP. Critical chain project management. Third edition. Boston: : Artech House 2014.

75

✓ Milos

evic

D, Martinelli RJ. Project management toolbox. Second edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: : John Wiley & Sons, Inc 2015.

76

Norman ES, Brotherton SA, Fried RT. Work Breakdown Structures: the Foundation for Project Management Excellence. Hoboken: : John Wiley & Sons 2011.

77

Maylor H. Project management. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmllex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

78

Kutsch E, Hall M. Deliberate ignorance in project risk management. *International Journal of Project Management* 2010;**28**:245–55. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2009.05.003

79

Kutsch E, Hall M. Intervening conditions on the management of project risk: Dealing with uncertainty in information technology projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2005;**23**:591–9. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2005.06.009

80

Van Os A, Van Berkel F, De Gilder D, et al. Project risk as identity threat: explaining the development and consequences of risk discourse in an infrastructure project. *International Journal of Project Management* 2015;**33**:877–88. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.016

81

Flyvbjerg B, Bruzelius N, Rothengatter W. Megaprojects and risk: an anatomy of ambition. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107050891

82

Chapman C. Key points of contention in framing assumptions for risk and uncertainty management. *International Journal of Project Management* 2006;**24**:303–13. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.01.006

83

Jaafari A. Management of risks, uncertainties and opportunities on projects: time for a fundamental shift. *International Journal of Project Management* 2001;**19**:89–101. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(99)00047-2

84

Zuo F, Zhang K. Selection of risk response actions with consideration of secondary risks. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:241–54. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.11.002

85

Qazi A, Quigley J, Dickson A, et al. Project Complexity and Risk Management (ProCRiM): Towards modelling project complexity driven risk paths in construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2016;**34**:1183–98. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.05.008

86

Van Os A, Van Berkel F, De Gilder D, et al. Project risk as identity threat: explaining the development and consequences of risk discourse in an infrastructure project. *International Journal of Project Management* 2015;**33**:877–88. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.016

87

Wang SQ, Dulaimi MF, Aguria MY. Risk management framework for construction projects in developing countries. *Construction Management and Economics* 2004;**22**:237–52. doi:10.1080/0144619032000124689

88

Martin Schieg. Risk management in construction project management. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*; **7**:77–83. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/16111699.2006.9636126?src=recsys>

89

David Hillson. When is a Risk not a Risk. <https://www.who.int/management/general/risk/WhenRiskNotRisk.pdf>

90

David Hillson. What is risk? Towards a common definition. <http://risk-doctor.com/pdf-files/def0402.pdf>

91

Nicolas Bouleau. To understand risk, use your imagination | New Scientist. Published Online First: 22AD.<https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg21028186-400-to-understand-risk-use-your-imagination/>

92

Ward S, Chapman C. Transforming project risk management into project uncertainty management. *International Journal of Project Management* 2003;**21**:97–105. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(01)00080-1

93

Daniel PA, Daniel C. Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:184–97. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.004

94

Wied M, Koch-Ørvad N, Welo T, et al. Managing exploratory projects: A repertoire of approaches and their shared underpinnings. *International Journal of Project Management* 2020;**38**:75–84. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.12.002

95

Lima PF de A, Verbano C. Project Risk Management Implementation in SMEs: A Case Study from Italy. *Journal of technology management & innovation* 2019;**14**:3–10. doi:10.4067/S0718-27242019000100003

96

Miller R, Lessard D. Understanding and managing risks in large engineering projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2001;**19**:437–43. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(01)00045-X

97

Raftery J. Risk analysis in project management. 1st ed. London: : E & FN Spon

98

Stewart RW, Fortune J. Application of systems thinking to the identification, avoidance and prevention of risk. *International Journal of Project Management* 1995;**13**:279–86. doi:10.1016/0263-7863(95)00024-K

99

Raydugin Y. *Project risk management: essential methods for project teams and decision makers*. Hoboken: : Wiley 2013.

100

Kim Heldman , and Kim Heldman. *Project Manager's Spotlight on Risk Management*. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated 2005.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=267263>

101

Willumsen P, Oehmen J, Stingl V, et al. Value creation through project risk management. *International Journal of Project Management* 2019;**37**:731–49. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.01.007

102

Taroun A. Towards a better modelling and assessment of construction risk: Insights from a literature review. *International Journal of Project Management* 2014;**32**:101–15. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.03.004

103

Ackermann F, Eden C, Williams T, et al. Systemic risk assessment: a case study. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 2007;**58**:39–51. doi:10.1057/palgrave.jors.2602105

104

Akintoye AS, MacLeod MJ. Risk analysis and management in construction. *International Journal of Project Management* 1997;**15**:31–8. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(96)00035-X

105

Xia N, Zou PXW, Griffin MA, et al. Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:701–15. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.006

106

Farooq MU, Thaheem MJ, Arshad H. Improving the risk quantification under behavioural tendencies: A tale of construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:414–28. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.004

107

Chapman C. Project risk analysis and management—PRAM the generic process. *International Journal of Project Management* 1997;**15**:273–81. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(96)00079-8

108

Zhang H. A redefinition of the project risk process: Using vulnerability to open up the event-consequence link. *International Journal of Project Management* 2007;**25**:694–701. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2007.02.004

109

Guo F, Chang-Richards Y, Wilkinson S, et al. Effects of project governance structures on the management of risks in major infrastructure projects: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Project Management* 2014;**32**:815–26. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.001

110

Raz T, Michael E. Use and benefits of tools for project risk management. *International Journal of Project Management* 2001;**19**:9–17. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(99)00036-8

111

Maylor H. *Project management*. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5173571>

112

Acebes F, Pajares J, Galán JM, et al. A new approach for project control under uncertainty. Going back to the basics. *International Journal of Project Management* 2014;**32**:423–34. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.08.003

113

Bryde D, Unterhitzenberger C, Joby R. Conditions of success for earned value analysis in projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:474–84. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.002

114

The imperial units error that downed a multi-million-dollar Mars probe | New Scientist. Published Online First: 2AD.<https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg24332500-200-the-imperial-units-error-that-downed-a-multi-million-dollar-mars-probe/>

115

Zuo F, Zhang K. Selection of risk response actions with consideration of secondary risks. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:241–54. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.11.002

116

Rozenes S, Vitner G, Spraggett S. Project Control: Literature Review. *Project Management Journal* 2006;**37**:5–14. doi:10.1177/875697280603700402

117

Jones stephen. Agile and Earned Value. <https://www.apm.org.uk/media/1190/agile-and-earned-value.pdf>

118

Milos

v

, evic

D, Martinelli RJ. Project management toolbox. Second edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: : John Wiley & Sons, Inc 2015.

119

Maylor H. Project management. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010.
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmlex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

120

Mirko Sokovic. Basic Quality Tools in Continuous Improvement Process. Strojnicki Vestnik 2009;**55**
[.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299050377_Basic_Quality_Tools_in_Continuous_Improvement_Process](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299050377_Basic_Quality_Tools_in_Continuous_Improvement_Process)

121

Shenhar AJ, Dvir D, Levy O, et al. Project Success: A Multidimensional Strategic Concept. Long Range Planning 2001;**34**:699–725. doi:10.1016/S0024-6301(01)00097-8

122

Atkinson R. Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. International Journal of Project Management 1999;**17**:337–42. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(98)00069-6

123

Jepsen AL, Eskerod P. Stakeholder analysis in projects: Challenges in using current guidelines in the real world. International Journal of Project Management 2009;**27**:335–43. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2008.04.002

124

Friedman AL, Miles S. Developing Stakeholder Theory. Journal of Management Studies 2002;**39**:1–21. doi:10.1111/1467-6486.00280

125

Sutterfield JS, Friday-Stroud SS, Shivers-Blackwell SL. A Case Study of Project and Stakeholder Management Failures: Lessons Learned. *Project Management Journal* 2006;**37**:26–35. doi:10.1177/875697280603700504

126

Xia N, Zou PXW, Griffin MA, et al. Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:701–15. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.006

127

Newcombe R. From client to project stakeholders: a stakeholder mapping approach. *Construction Management and Economics* 2003;**21**:841–8. doi:10.1080/0144619032000072137

128

Jing Yang. Exploring critical success factors for stakeholder management in construction projects. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*; **15**:337–48. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3846/1392-3730.2009.15.337-348?src=recsys>

129

Karlsen JT. Project Stakeholder Management. *Engineering Management Journal* 2002;**14**:19–24. doi:10.1080/10429247.2002.11415180

130

Rowlinson S, Cheung YKF. Stakeholder management through empowerment: modelling project success. *Construction Management and Economics* 2008;**26**:611–23. doi:10.1080/01446190802071182

131

Bryson JM. What to do when Stakeholders matter. Public Management Review 2004;**6**:21–53. doi:10.1080/14719030410001675722

132

Olander S, Landin A. A comparative study of factors affecting the external stakeholder management process. Construction Management and Economics 2008;**26**:553–61. doi:10.1080/01446190701821810

133

Olander S. Stakeholder impact analysis in construction project management. Construction Management and Economics 2007;**25**:277–87. doi:10.1080/01446190600879125

134

LOVE PED, MANDAL P, LI H. Determining the causal structure of rework influences in construction. Construction Management and Economics 1999;**17**:505–17. doi:10.1080/014461999371420

135

Love PED, Li H. Quantifying the causes and costs of rework in construction. Construction Management and Economics 2000;**18**:479–90. doi:10.1080/01446190050024897

136

Love PED, Edwards DJ. Forensic project management: The underlying causes of rework in construction projects. Civil Engineering and Environmental Systems 2004;**21**:207–28. doi:10.1080/10286600412331295955

137

Cherns AB, Bryant DT. Studying the client's role in construction management. Construction Management and Economics 1984;**2**:177–84. doi:10.1080/01446198400000016

138

Bresnen MJ, Haslam CO. Construction industry clients: A survey of their attributes and project management practices. *Construction Management and Economics* 1991;**9**:327–42. doi:10.1080/014461991000000026

139

Lehtinen J, Aaltonen K. Organizing external stakeholder engagement in inter-organizational projects: Opening the black box. *International Journal of Project Management* 2020;**38**:85–98. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.12.001

140

ljs

L, Tuominen K. Quality in project management: ISO 10006 : self-assessment work book. Turku, Finland: : Benchmarking Ltd 2005.

141

Gunsteren LA van, Binnekamp R, Graaf RP de. Stakeholder-oriented project management: tools and concepts. Amsterdam: : IOS Press 2011.

142

Friedman AL, Miles S. Stakeholders: theory and practice. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2006.

143

Maylor H. Project management. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010. https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmllex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

144

Hall M. DBFO and the supply chain. 2009. https://uob-my.sharepoint.com/:b:/g/personal/eclaf_bristol_ac_uk/EWHDIFn23U1PkqdCnKbYg5sBfpmSLXYKCDKRM77UT-OORw?e=cxz6Ds

145

Hall M, Holt R, Graves A. Private finance, public roads: configuring the supply chain in PFI highway construction. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management* 2000;**6**:227–35. doi:10.1016/S0969-7012(00)00018-6

146

Cui C, Liu Y, Hope A, et al. Review of studies on the public–private partnerships (PPP) for infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:773–94. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.004

147

Wang Y, Cui P, Liu J. Analysis of the risk-sharing ratio in PPP projects based on government minimum revenue guarantees. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:899–909. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.007

148

You J, Chen Y, Wang W, et al. Uncertainty, opportunistic behavior, and governance in construction projects: The efficacy of contracts. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:795–807. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.002

149

Wang Y, Cui P, Liu J. Analysis of the risk-sharing ratio in PPP projects based on government minimum revenue guarantees. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:899–909. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.007

150

Ning Y. Impact of quality performance ambiguity on contractor's opportunistic behaviors in person-to-organization projects: The mediating roles of contract design and application. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:640–9. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.008

151

Cui C, Liu Y, Hope A, et al. Review of studies on the public–private partnerships (PPP) for

infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:773–94. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.004

152

Benítez-Ávila C, Hartmann A, Dewulf G, et al. Interplay of relational and contractual governance in public-private partnerships: The mediating role of relational norms, trust and partners' contribution. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:429–43. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.005

153

Thomé AMT, Scavarda LF, Scavarda A, et al. Similarities and contrasts of complexity, uncertainty, risks, and resilience in supply chains and temporary multi-organization projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2016;**34**:1328–46. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.10.012

154

Davies A, Dodgson M, Gann D. Dynamic Capabilities in Complex Projects: The Case of London Heathrow Terminal 5. *Project Management Journal* 2016;**47**:26–46. doi:10.1002/pmj.21574

155

Moodley K, Smith N, Preece CN. Stakeholder matrix for ethical relationships in the construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics* 2008;**26**:625–32. doi:10.1080/01446190801965368

156

Taggart M, Koskela L, Rooke J. The role of the supply chain in the elimination and reduction of construction rework and defects: an action research approach. *Construction Management and Economics* 2014;**32**:829–42. doi:10.1080/01446193.2014.904965

157

Taggart M, Koskela L, Rooke J. The role of the supply chain in the elimination and reduction of construction rework and defects: an action research approach. *Construction Management and Economics* 2014;**32**:829–42. doi:10.1080/01446193.2014.904965

158

Osipova E, Eriksson PE. How procurement options influence risk management in construction projects. *Construction Management and Economics* 2011;**29**:1149–58. doi:10.1080/01446193.2011.639379

159

Raisbeck P, Duffield C, Xu M. Comparative performance of PPPs and traditional procurement in Australia. *Construction Management and Economics* 2010;**28**:345–59. doi:10.1080/01446190903582731

160

Akintoye A. Design and build: a survey of construction contractors' views. *Construction Management and Economics* 1994;**12**:155–63. doi:10.1080/01446199400000021

161

Anumba CJ, Evbuomwan NFO. Concurrent engineering in design-build projects. *Construction Management and Economics* 1997;**15**:271–81. doi:10.1080/014461997373006

162

Ling FYY, Kerh SH. Comparing the Performance of Design-Build and Design-Bid-Build Building Projects in Singapore. *Architectural Science Review* 2004;**47**:163–75. doi:10.1080/00038628.2004.9697040

163

Bresnen M, Marshall N. Partnering in construction: a critical review of issues, problems and dilemmas. *Construction Management and Economics* 2000;**18**:229–37. doi:10.1080/014461900370852

164

LAM EWM, Chan APC, Chan DWM. Potential Problems of Running Design-build Projects in

Construction. HKIE Transactions 2003;**10**:8–14. doi:10.1080/1023697X.2003.10667915

165

Shakar Reddy, M. Raja¹ rajashakarmalae@gmail.comJigeesh, Nasina² jigeeshn@gmail.comKumar, Prabhu³ prabsjntu@yahoo.co.in. The Integration of Project Management with Supply Chain Management in Indian Pharmaceutical Projects. The Integration of Project Management with Supply Chain Management in Indian Pharmaceutical Projects 2017;**14**:61–70.<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=127233938&site=ehost-live>

166

Tang Y, Chen Y, Hua Y, et al. Impacts of risk allocation on conflict negotiation costs in construction projects: Does managerial control matter? International Journal of Project Management 2020;**38**:188–99. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2020.03.002

167

Bing L, Akintoye A, Edwards PJ, et al. The allocation of risk in PPP/PFI construction projects in the UK. International Journal of Project Management 2005;**23**:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2004.04.006

168

Loosemore M, McCarthy CS. Perceptions of Contractual Risk Allocation in Construction Supply Chains. Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice 2008;**134**:95–105. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1052-3928(2008)134:1(95)

169

Melese Y, Lumbreras S, Ramos A, et al. Cooperation under uncertainty: Assessing the value of risk sharing and determining the optimal risk-sharing rule for agents with pre-existing business and diverging risk attitudes. International Journal of Project Management 2017;**35**:530–40. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.11.007

170

Ng A, Loosemore M. Risk allocation in the private provision of public infrastructure.

International Journal of Project Management 2007;**25**:66–76.
doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.06.005

171

Shi C, Chen Y, You J, et al. Asset Specificity and Contractors' Opportunistic Behavior: Moderating Roles of Contract and Trust. Journal of Management in Engineering 2018;**34**. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000632

172

Wang W, Chen Y, Zhang S, et al. Contractual Complexity in Construction Projects. Project Management Journal 2018;**49**:46–61. doi:10.1177/8756972818770589

173

Xiang P, Zhou J, Zhou X, et al. Construction Project Risk Management Based on the View of Asymmetric Information. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management 2012;**138**:1303–11. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0000548

174

Zaghloul R, Hartman F. Construction contracts: the cost of mistrust. International Journal of Project Management 2003;**21**:419–24. doi:10.1016/S0263-7863(02)00082-0

175

Zhang S, Zhang S, Gao Y, et al. Contractual Governance: Effects of Risk Allocation on Contractors' Cooperative Behavior in Construction Projects. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management 2016;**142**. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001111

176

Turner JR. Contracting for Project Management. Farnham: : Ashgate Pub 2007.

177

Andreas Opelt, , Boris Gloger, , Wolfgang Pfarl, , and Ralf Mittermayr. Agile Contracts :

Creating and Managing Successful Projects with Scrum. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated 2013. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=1191572>

178

Wang Y. Evolution of public-private partnership models in American toll road development: Learning based on public institutions' risk management. *International Journal of Project Management* 2015;**33**:684–96. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.006

179

Maylor H. *Project management*. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5173571>

180

Shore B. Systematic biases and culture in project failures. *Project Management Journal* 2008;**39**:5–16. doi:10.1002/pmj.20082

181

Flyvbjerg, Bent. Design by Deception: The Politics of Megaproject Approval. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2238047

182

Carton AM, Cummings JM. A Theory of Subgroups in Work Teams. *Academy of Management Review* 2012;**37**. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=76609308∓site=ehost-live>

183

Drach-Zahavy A, Somech A. From an Intrateam to an Interteam Perspective of Effectiveness: The Role of Interdependence and Boundary Activities. *Small Group Research* 2010;**41**:143–74. doi:10.1177/1046496409356479

184

Phua FTT. The antecedents of co-operative behaviour among project team members: an alternative perspective on an old issue. *Construction Management and Economics* 2004;**22**:1033–45. doi:10.1080/01446190310001649092

185

Brunet M. Governance-as-practice for major public infrastructure projects: A case of multilevel project governing. *International Journal of Project Management* 2019;**37**:283–97. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.02.007

186

Bjorvatn T, Wald A. Project complexity and team-level absorptive capacity as drivers of project management performance. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:876–88. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.05.003

187

Winch GM. Three domains of project organising. *International Journal of Project Management* 2014;**32**:721–31. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.012

188

Aubry M, Lavoie-Tremblay M. Rethinking organizational design for managing multiple projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:12–26. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.05.012

189

Bakker RM. Taking Stock of Temporary Organizational Forms: A Systematic Review and Research Agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews* 2010;**12**:466–86. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2370.2010.00281.x

190

Miterev M, Mancini M, Turner R. Towards a design for the project-based organization. *International Journal of Project Management* 2017;**35**:479–91. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.12.007

191

Chizhik AW, Shelly RK, Troyer L. Intragroup Conflict and Cooperation: An Introduction. *Journal of Social Issues* 2009;**65**:251–9. doi:10.1111/j.1540-4560.2009.01599.x

192

Jehn KA. A Multimethod Examination of the Benefits and Detriments of Intragroup Conflict. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 1995;**40**. doi:10.2307/2393638

193

Jehn KA. A Qualitative Analysis of Conflict Types and Dimensions in Organizational Groups. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 1997;**42**. doi:10.2307/2393737

194

Sutterfield, J. Scott¹Friday-Stroud, Shawnta S.¹Shivers-Blackwell, Sheryl L.¹. How NOT to Manage a Project: Conflict Management Lessons Learned from a DOD Case Study. *Journal of Behavioral & Applied Management* 2007;**8**:218–38. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=26768057&site=ehost-live>

195

Wall JA, Callister RR. Conflict and Its Management. *Journal of Management* 1995;**21**:515–58. doi:10.1177/014920639502100306

196

Liu JY-C, Chen H-G, Chen CC, et al. Relationships among interpersonal conflict, requirements uncertainty, and software project performance. *International Journal of Project Management* 2011;**29**:547–56. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.04.007

197

Arditi D, Nayak S, Damci A. Effect of organizational culture on delay in construction. *International Journal of Project Management* 2017;**35**:136–47. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.10.018

198

Lloyd Fletcher, Sturdy A. The Subjectivity of Boundary Spanning – Composite Boundaries in Project Working.

[https://research-information.bristol.ac.uk/en/publications/the-subjectivity-of-boundary-spanning--composite-boundaries-in-project-working\(7d572c51-231e-44a6-b9e4-67cca3eae718\).html](https://research-information.bristol.ac.uk/en/publications/the-subjectivity-of-boundary-spanning--composite-boundaries-in-project-working(7d572c51-231e-44a6-b9e4-67cca3eae718).html)

199

Dibble R, Gibson CB. Crossing team boundaries: A theoretical model of team boundary permeability and a discussion of why it matters. *Human Relations* 2018;**71**:925–50.

doi:10.1177/0018726717735372

200

You really can fool some of the people, all of the time. *The Economist* Published Online First:

31AD.<https://www-economist-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/international/2019/10/31/you-really-can-fool-some-of-the-people-all-of-the-time>

201

Simard M, Aubry M, Laberge D. The utopia of order versus chaos: A conceptual framework for governance, organizational design and governmentality in projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2018;**36**:460–73. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.003

202

Stingl V, Geraldi J. Errors, lies and misunderstandings: Systematic review on behavioural decision making in projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2017;**35**:121–35.

doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.10.009

203

UDDIN, SHAHADAT1 shahadat.uddin@sydney.edu.au. SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS in Project Management. *SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS in Project Management* 2017;:106–13.

doi:10.19255/JMPM01310

204

Oswald A, Köhler J, Schmitt R. Project Management at the Edge of Chaos. Berlin, Heidelberg: : Springer Berlin Heidelberg 2018. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-48261-2

205

Maylor H. Project management. 4th ed. Harlow: : Financial Times Prentice Hall 2010. https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmlex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

206

Augustine S, Payne B, Sencindiver F, et al. Agile project management. Communications of the ACM 2005;**48**:85–9. doi:10.1145/1101779.1101781

207

Hodgson D, Cicmil S. The other side of projects: the case for critical project studies. International Journal of Managing Projects in Business 2008;**1**:142–52. doi:10.1108/17538370810846487

208

Winter M, Smith C, Morris P, et al. Directions for future research in project management: The main findings of a UK government-funded research network. International Journal of Project Management 2006;**24**:638–49. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.08.009

209

Highsmith JA. Agile project management: creating innovative products. Boston: : Addison-Wesley
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&jid=3C85&site=ehost-live>

210

Swan J, Scarbrough H, Newell S. Why don't (or do) organizations learn from projects? Management Learning 2010;**41**:325–44. doi:10.1177/1350507609357003

211

Patanakul P, Kwak YH, Zwikael O, et al. What impacts the performance of large-scale government projects? *International Journal of Project Management* 2016;**34**:452–66. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.12.001

212

Duffield SM, Whitty SJ. Application of the Systemic Lessons Learned Knowledge model for Organisational Learning through Projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2016;**34**:1280–93. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.07.001

213

Love PED, Teo P, Ackermann F, et al. Reduce rework, improve safety: an empirical inquiry into the precursors to error in construction. *Production Planning & Control* 2018;**29**:353–66. doi:10.1080/09537287.2018.1424961

214

Morris P. Reconstructing Project Management Reprised: A Knowledge Perspective. *Project Management Journal* 2013;**44**:6–23. doi:10.1002/pmj.21369

215

Pinto JK, Winch G. The unsettling of "settled science:" The past and future of the management of projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2016;**34**:237–45. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.07.011

216

Cicmil S, Williams T, Thomas J, et al. Rethinking Project Management: Researching the actuality of projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 2006;**24**:675–86. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.08.006

217

Thomas J. Problematising Project Management. In: *Making projects critical*. Basingstoke

[England]: : Palgrave Macmillan 2006. 90–107.

218

The National Programme for IT in the NHS: an update on the delivery of detailed care records systems - Public Accounts Committee.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmpubacc/1070/107002.htm>

219

Ralph P. The two paradigms of software development research. Science of Computer Programming 2018;**156**:68–89. doi:10.1016/j.scico.2018.01.002

220

Heaton KM, Skok W, Kovala S. Learning Lessons from Software Implementation Projects: An Exploratory Study. Knowledge and Process Management 2016;**23**:293–306.
doi:10.1002/kpm.1525

221

Koskela L, Ferrentelli A, Niiranen J, et al. Epistemological Explanation of Lean Construction. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management;**145**
. <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/full/10.1061/%28ASCE%29CO.1943-7862.0001597>

222

Daniel PA, Daniel C. Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. International Journal of Project Management 2018;**36**:184–97. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.004

223

Adelakun O, Garcia R, Tabaka T, et al. Hybrid Project Management: Agile with Discipline. In: International Conference on Information Resources Management (CONF-IRM). 5AD.
<https://aisel.aisnet.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1013&context=confirm2017>

224

Alter R. Brexit and the moon landing from a project complexity perspective: A comparative case study. *Case Studies in Business and Management* 2018;**5**.
doi:10.5296/csbm.v5i2.13484

225

MIRZAEI, MARYAM¹ Mmirzaei@unitec.ac.nzMABIN, VICTORIA². Agile Project Management and Public Policy Development Projects: A case study from New Zealand. *Agile Project Management and Public Policy Development Projects: A case study from New Zealand* 2017;**15**:59–75.<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=126200163&site=ehost-live>

226

Sanchez, Felipe¹Bonjour, Eric²Micaëlli, Jean-Pierre³Monticolo, Davy⁴. A Step for Improving THE TRANSITION BETWEEN TRADITIONAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT TO AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Using a Project Management Maturity Model. *A Step for Improving THE TRANSITION BETWEEN TRADITIONAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT TO AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Using a Project Management Maturity Model* 2019;**7**:102–19.
doi:10.19255/JMPM01906

227

HENRIKSEN, ANDRE¹ andre.henriksen@uit.noPEDERSEN, SVEN ARNE R.² sven.arne.r.pedersen@uit.no. A qualitative case study on AGILE PRACTICES AND PROJECT SUCCESS in agile software projects. *A qualitative case study on AGILE PRACTICES AND PROJECT SUCCESS in agile software projects* 2017;**62–73**. doi:10.19255/JMPM01306

228

Gharaibeh, Hani¹. Improving Project Team Learning in Major Projects -- A Case Study Comparison. *Improving Project Team Learning in Major Projects -- A Case Study Comparison* 2011;**9**:1–13.<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=110267984&site=ehost-live>

229

Chen, Edward T.¹ edwardchen@uml.edu. EMERGING TRENDS IN PROJECT MANAGEMENT: EXPEDITING BUSINESS. 2015;**1–13**.<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=1>

16281798&site=ehost-live

230

Keinz P, Hiennerth C, Gemünden HG, et al. Special issue: Managing open and user innovation by projects: Sensing, seizing and transforming. *International Journal of Project Management* Published Online First: February 2021. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2021.02.001

231

Project Management Institute. *Agile Project Management: essentials from the Project Management Journal*. [Place of publication not identified]: J. Wiley & Sons 2013.

232

Morris PWG. *Reconstructing project management*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons 2013.

233

Silvius G, Schipper R, Van den Brink J. *Sustainability in Project Management*. Farnham: Ashgate Pub 2012.

234

University Of Roskilde. *Project management theory meets practice*. [Place of publication not identified]: Samfundslitteratur 2015.

235

Kerzner H. *Using the project management maturity model: strategic planning for project management*. Third edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc 2019.

236

Rad PF, Levin G. *Achieving Project Management Success Using Virtual Teams*. Boca Raton: J. Ross Publishing, Incorporated 2014.

237

Grisham TW. International project management: leadership in complex environments. Hoboken, N.J.: : Wiley 2010.

238

Perrin R. Real world project management: beyond conventional wisdom, best practices, and project methodologies. Hoboken, N.J.: : John Wiley & Sons

239

Cobb CG. Making sense of agile project management: balancing control and agility. Hoboken, NJ: : Wiley

240

Aramo-Immonen H, Vanharanta H. Project management: The task of holistic systems thinking. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing 2009;**19**:582–600.
doi:10.1002/hfm.20185

241

Kim H. Pries , and Jon M. Quigley. Scrum Project Management. Taylor & Francis Group 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=589930>

242

John Goodpasture. Project Management the Agile Way : Making it Work in the Enterprise. J. Ross Publishing 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=3319473>

243

Jamie Lynn Cooke. Everything You Want to Know about Agile : How to Get Agile Results in a Less-Than-agile Organization. IT Governance Ltd 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=918799>

244

Peter W. G. Morris. Reconstructing Project Management. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated 2013. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=1152686>

245

Atkinson R, Crawford L, Ward S. Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. International Journal of Project Management 2006;**24**:687–98. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011

246

Britain's engineering reputation goes down the tube. The Economist Published Online First: 20181208. <https://www.economist.com/britain/2018/12/08/britains-engineering-reputation-goes-down-the-tube>

247

Britain's government tries to trim the £56bn cost of HS2. The Economist Published Online First: 20190815. <https://www.economist.com/britain/2019/08/15/britains-government-tries-to-trim-the-ps56bn-cost-of-hs2>

248

Louise Butcher. High Speed 2 (HS2) Phase 2a. <https://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN07082>

249

HS2: 12 arguments for and against - BBC News. Published Online First: 24AD. <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/magazine-24159571>

250

Government announces independent review into HS2 programme - GOV.UK. Published Online First: 21AD. <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/government-announces-independent-review-into-hs2-programme>

251

Andrew Haylen. High Speed 2: the business case, costs and spending.
27AD.<https://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/CBP-8601>

252

High Speed 2 - Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High_Speed_2

253

High Speed Two (HS2) Limited - GOV.UK.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/high-speed-two-limited>

254

House of Lords - The Economics of High Speed 2 - Economic Affairs Committee.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201415/ldselect/ldeconaf/134/13404.htm>

255

HS2 - What is it and how can my business benefit? | GBCC.
<https://www.greaterbirminghamchambers.com/research-campaigning/hot-topics/hs2>

256

HS2 direct lines 'could bring £1.4bn of benefits' - The Transport Network.
9AD.<https://www.transport-network.co.uk/HS2-direct-lines-could-bring-14bn-of-benefits/16147>

257

John Stone. HS2 is the only option for Britain's railways | The Independent. Published Online First:
31AD.<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/hs2-logistics-financial-benefit-controversy-a8937936.html>

258

HS2 make or break decision looms | Railnews | Today's news for Tomorrow's railway.
Published Online First:
21AD.<https://www.railnews.co.uk/news/2019/08/21-hs2-make-or-break-decision.html>

259

HS2: Review to examine costs and benefits of rail project - BBC News. Published Online
First: 21AD.<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-49420332>

260

HS2 will serve wealthier passengers and deliver more benefits to London than the North |
New Economics Foundation.
<https://neweconomics.org/2019/03/hs2-will-serve-wealthier-passengers-and-deliver-more-benefits-to-london-than-the-north>

261

High Speed 2 home page. <https://www.hs2.org.uk/>

262

Simon Jenkins. Boris Johnson should have the guts to kill HS2 | Simon Jenkins. Guardian
Published Online First: 28 August
2019.<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2019/aug/28/boris-johnson-hs2-disastrous-rail-scheme>

263

HS2 costs and benefits: a search for clear evidence | Letters. Guardian Published Online
First: 25 August
2019.<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2019/aug/25/hs2-costs-and-benefits-a-search-for-clear-evidence>

264

Lords: HS2 needs new costs and benefits assessment | International Railway Journal.
16AD.<https://www.railjournal.com/passenger/high-speed/lords-hs2-costs-benefits-assessment>

nt/

265

Munro A. HS2 railway, UK – why the country needs it. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Transport 2018;:1–9. doi:10.1680/jtran.18.00040

266

Net zero: HS2 review to examine environmental benefits - Energy Live News.
<https://www.energylivenews.com/2019/08/21/net-zero-hs2-review-to-examine-environmental-benefits/>

267

Payne S. The benefits of HS2 are becoming harder to discern. Financial Times Published Online First: 26AD.<https://www.ft.com/content/6c3981be-90bd-11e8-bb8f-a6a2f7bca546>

268

Plimmer G. Why HS2 rail line is way over budget and badly delayed. Financial Times Published Online First: 19AD.<https://www.ft.com/content/cf3ff750-d92a-11e9-8f9b-77216ebe1f17>

269

Pros and Cons of High Speed Rail HS2 | Economics Help.
<https://www.economicshelp.org/blog/3088/economics/pros-and-cons-of-high-speed-rail-hs2/>

270

HS2 benefits 'substantially undervalued' amid rising costs | News | Railway Gazette. Published Online First: 3AD.<https://www.railwaygazette.com/uk/hs2-benefits-substantially-undervalued-amid-rising-costs/54475.article>

271

STOP HS2 - The national campaign against High Speed Rail 2. <http://stophs2.org/>

272

Sweet R. HS2's moment of truth. Construction Research and Innovation 2019;**10**:54–9. doi:10.1080/20450249.2019.1654235

273

The Case Against HS2 - High Speed 2 Action Alliance.
<http://www.hs2actionalliance.org/case-against-hs2/>

274

Unlocking the benefits of HS2 | Rail Engineer.
<https://www.railengineer.co.uk/2019/04/04/unlocking-the-benefits-of-hs2/>

275

HS2 in balance as government reviews rail scheme's costs and benefits | News | Architects Journal.
<https://www.architectsjournal.co.uk/news/hs2-in-balance-as-government-reviews-rail-schemes-costs-and-benefits/10044140.article>

276

What benefits will the HS2 Railway Network bring? – RG Group.
<https://rg-group.co.uk/what-benefits-will-the-hs2-railway-network-bring/>

277

Why archaeologists love HS2. The Economist Published Online First: 20181201.<https://www.economist.com/britain/2018/12/01/why-archaeologists-love-hs2>

278

House of Lords - Rethinking High Speed 2 - Economic Affairs Committee.

16AD.<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201719/ldselect/ldconaf/359/35902.htm>

279

HS2 should happen despite rising cost, says review - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50388738>

280

HS2 review criticised by deputy chair of panel - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50280270>

281

HS2 route: How much will the rail scheme cost? - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-16473296>

282

HS2's ballooning budget was 'covered up' - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-49482701>

283

HS2 benefits hugely underestimated - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/topics/cgdwvpvk35zt/hs2>

284

HS2 costs out of control, says review's deputy chair - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50995116>

285

Rowena Mason. Cancelling HS2 would fail new Tory voters, Boris Johnson told. Guardian
Published Online First: 7 January
2020.<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jan/07/cancelling-hs2-would-fail-new-tory-voters-boris-johnson-told>

286

HS2 phase 2 civils design costs rise 50% | Construction Enquirer.

<https://www.constructionenquirer.com/2019/12/20/hs2-phase-2-civils-design-cost-rises-50/>

287

HS2 revises environmental policy document.

<https://www.endsreport.com/article/1668736/hs2-revises-environmental-policy-document>

288

HS2 Ltd denies watering down environmental pledges - The Transport Network.

<https://www.transport-network.co.uk/HS2-Ltd-denies-watering-down-environmental-pledges/16361>

289

HS2: Give me the facts, says Shapps - BBC News.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51171249>

290

Christian Wolmar. HS2 is a calamity, but it would be politically toxic for Boris Johnson to scrap it | Christian Wolmar. Guardian Published Online First: 21 January

2020. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/jan/21/boris-johnson-hs2-scrap-in-vest-buses>

291

Gwyn Topham. Can the troubled HS2 project get back on track? Guardian Published Online First: 20 January

2020. <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jan/20/can-the-troubled-hs2-project-get-back-on-track>

292

Gwyn Topham. HS2 costs could rise to £106bn or more, warns government review.
Guardian Published Online First: 20 January
2020.<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jan/20/hs2-costs-government-review-west-midlands-manchester-leeds>

293

Use HS2 billions to help West Midlands and Staffordshire commuters - expert | Express & Star.
<https://www.expressandstar.com/news/transport/2020/01/27/use-hs2-billions-to-help-west-midlands-and-staffordshire-commuters-expert/>

294

Whistleblowers say public have been 'deceived' over cost of HS2: Wessex FM - News.
<https://www.wessexfm.com/news/national/3032238/whistleblowers-say-public-have-been-deceived-over-cost-of-hs2/>

295

HS2: Scrapping troubled rail link could cost £12bn, say reports | The Independent.
<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/hs2-cost-scrap-railway-london-birmingham-manchester-leeds-a9302126.html>

296

HS2 risks misjudged from the start, says watchdog - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51223101>

297

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Report.
<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/>

298

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Report.
<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/>

299

Government underestimated HS2 "complexity and risk", says National Audit Office.
<https://www.newstatesman.com/spotlight/transport/2020/01/government-underestimated-hs2-complexity-and-risk-says-national-audit>

300

HS2: Six reasons why the rail route is so expensive - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51415590>

301

Boris Johnson to repay the north by approving HS2 | News | The Times.
<https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/boris-johnson-to-repay-north-by-approving-hs2-vwbgfjpdj>

302

North and Midlands most opposed to HS2, survey reveals | News | Building.
<https://www.building.co.uk/news/north-and-midlands-most-opposed-to-hs2-survey-reveals/5104118.article>

303

Oakervee review calls for HS2 Ltd to be stripped of Euston project. Financial Times
<https://www.ft.com/content/d8399f72-4744-11ea-aeb3-955839e06441>

304

HS2: Government to give high-speed rail line the go-ahead - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51443421>

305

Kate Proctor. HS2 to be given go-ahead by government despite fierce opposition. Guardian
Published Online First: 11 February
2020.<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/feb/11/government-to-give-hs2-go-ahead-despite-fierce-opposition-boris-johnson-high-speed-rail>

306

HS2: UK in talks with China over construction of high-speed line - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51512831>

307

Jennings W. Executive Politics, Risk and the Mega-Project Paradox. In: Executive politics in times of crisis. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2012. 239-63.

308

Flyvbjerg B, Bruzelius N, Rothengatter W. Megaprojects and risk: an anatomy of ambition. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107050891

309

HS2 and the Chinese: What now? | Comment | Building.
<https://www.building.co.uk/hs2-and-the-chinese-what-now/5104354.article>

310

HS2 | Curzon Street landowners could claim millions after court ruling - New Civil Engineer.
<https://www.newcivilengineer.com/latest/hs2-curzon-street-landowners-could-claim-millions-after-court-ruling-18-02-2020/>

311

HS2 'perfect example' of broken funding system, claims finance chief - New Civil Engineer.
<https://www.newcivilengineer.com/latest/hs2-perfect-example-of-broken-funding-system-claims-finance-chief-25-02-2020/>

312

Birmingham MPs call for Boris Johnson to deliver the 'promised benefits of HS2' for Brummies - Birmingham Live. Published Online First: 17AD.
<https://www.birminghammail.co.uk/news/midlands-news/birmingham-mps-call-boris-j>

ohnson-16929116

313

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Press release.
<https://www.nao.org.uk/press-release/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/>