MGRCM2028 & EFIM20015: Project Management

Project management resources for both undergraduate and PGT units.

View Online

1.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

2.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

3.

Overdue and over Budget, over and over Again. (9)AD.

4.

Atkinson, R.: Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. International Journal of Project Management. 17, 337–342 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(98)00069-6.

5.

Morris, P.: Reconstructing Project Management Reprised: A Knowledge Perspective. Project Management Journal. 44, 6–23 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.21369.

6.

Cicmil, S., Williams, T., Thomas, J., Hodgson, D.: Rethinking Project Management: Researching the actuality of projects. International Journal of Project Management. 24, 675–686 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.08.006.

7.

Hodgson, D.E., Cicmil, S.: Making projects critical. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2006).

8.

Crawford, L., Hobbs, B., Turner, J.R.: Aligning Capability with Strategy: Categorizing Projects to do the Right Projects and to do Them Right. Project Management Journal. 37, 38–50 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1177/875697280603700205.

9.

Maylor, H., Vidgen, R., Carver, S.: Managerial Complexity in Project-Based Operations: A Grounded Model and Its Implications for Practice. Project Management Journal. 39, S15–S26 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.20057.

10.

Elder-Vass, D.: The causal power of social structures: emergence, structure and agency. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

11.

Kim, J.: Making sense of emergence. Philosophical Studies. 95, 3–36 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004563122154.

12.

Marras, A.: Emergence and reduction: Reply to Kim. Synthese. 151, 561–569 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-006-9026-z.

13.

The future is bright, the future is... you! Project.

14.

Conditions for Project Success, https://www.apm.org.uk/resources/find-a-resource/conditions-for-project-success/.

15.

Association for Project Management: APM body of knowledge. Association for Project Management, Princes Risborough, Buckinghamshire (2019).

16.

Winch, G.M.: Three domains of project organising. International Journal of Project Management. 32, 721–731 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.012.

17.

Sydow, J., Lindkvist, L., DeFillippi, R.: Project-Based Organizations, Embeddedness and Repositories of Knowledge: Editorial. Organization Studies. 25, 1475–1489 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840604048162.

18.

Garel, G.: A history of project management models: From pre-models to the standard models. International Journal of Project Management. 31, 663–669 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2012.12.011.

19.

Padalkar, M., Gopinath, S.: Are complexity and uncertainty distinct concepts in project management? A taxonomical examination from literature. International Journal of Project Management. 34, 688–700 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.02.009.

20.

Daniel, P.A., Daniel, C.: Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 184–197 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.004.

21.

NHS IT system one of 'worst fiascos ever', say MPs - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-24130684.

22.

House of Commons - The National Programme for IT in the NHS: an update on the delivery of detailed care records systems - Public Accounts Committee, https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmpubacc/1070/107002.htm.

23.

John Carvel: NHS risks £20bn white elephant, say auditors. Guardian. (2006).

24.

Cresswell, K., Sheikh, A.: The NHS Care Record Service (NHS CRS): recommendations from the literature on successful implementation and adoption. Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics. 17, 153–160 (2009). https://doi.org/10.14236/jhi.v17i3.730.

25.

Ahola, T., Ruuska, I., Artto, K., Kujala, J.: What is project governance and what are its origins? International Journal of Project Management. 32, 1321–1332 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.09.005.

26.

Weick, K.E.: Making sense of the organization: Vol. 2: The impermanent organization. Wiley, Chichester, U.K.

27.

Britain's engineering reputation goes down the tube. The Economist. (20181208).

28.

Gupta, S.K., Gunasekaran, A., Antony, J., Gupta, S., Bag, S., Roubaud, D.: Systematic literature review of project failures: Current trends and scope for future research. Computers & Industrial Engineering. 127, 274–285 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2018.12.002.

29.

Krusi, Markus1Whitty, Stephen Jonathan1: The Practitioner's Tapestry: Revealing the epistemological diversity to project management knowledge. The Practitioner's Tapestry: Revealing the epistemological diversity to project management knowledge. 7, 196–226 (2019). https://doi.org/10.19255/JMPM02010.

30.

Wysocki, R.K.: Effective project management: traditional, agile, extreme, hybrid. Wiley, Indianapolis, IN (2019).

31.

Kerzner, H.: Project management: case studies. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey (2013).

32.

Milos
ˇ
evic
´
, D., Patanakul, P., Srivannaboon, S.: Case studies in project, program, and organizational project management. Wiley, Hoboken, N.J.

33.

Verzuh, E.: The Fast Forward MBA in Project Management. Wiley (2016).

34.

Morris, P.W.G., Pinto, J.K.,

So

derlund, J.: The Oxford handbook of project management. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

35.

Lock, D.: Naked Project Management: the Bare Facts. Ashgate Publishing Ltd, Farnham (2013).

36.

Lientz, B.P.: Project management: a problem-based approach. Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, Basingstoke (2013).

37.

Tuttle, S.: Illustrating PRINCE2: project management in real terms. IT Governance Pub, Ely, Cambridgeshire, U.K. (2012).

38.

Nicholas, J.M., Steyn, H.: Project management for business, engineering, and technology: principles and practice. Elsevier Butterworth Heinemann, Amsterdam.

39.

Kerzner, H.: Project management: a systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey (2013).

40.

PRINCE2 overview.

41.

APM /INCOSEUK Systems Thinking SIG: Systems Thinking for Portfolio, Programme and Project Managers,

https://www.apm.org.uk/media/25516/systems-thinking-for-portfolio-programme-and-project-managers-v10.pdf, (16)AD.

42.

Jackson, M.C.: Critical systems thinking and the management of complexity: responsible leadership for a complex world. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ (2019).

43.

Jackson, M.C.: Systems thinking: creative holism for managers. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, West Sussex.

44.

Jackson, M.C.: Critical systems thinking and the management of complexity: responsible leadership for a complex world. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ (2019).

45.

Mawby, D., Stupples, D.: Systems thinking for managing projects. IEMC-2002: 2002 IEEE International Engineering Management Conference : Managing technology for the new economy : St. John's College, Cambridge, UK, 18-20 August, 2002 : proceedings. 344–349. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMC.2002.1038455.

46.

George Ellis: Project Management in Product Development : Leadership Skills and Management Techniques to Deliver Great Products. Elsevier Science & Technology (2015).

47.

Belbin Team Roles, https://www.belbin.com/about/belbin-team-roles/.

48.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

49.

Atkinson, R., Crawford, L., Ward, S.: Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. International Journal of Project Management. 24, 687–698 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011.

50.

Turner, J.R., Cochrane, R.A.: Goals-and-methods matrix: coping with projects with ill defined goals and/or methods of achieving them. International Journal of Project Management. 11, 93–102 (1993). https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-7863(93)90017-H.

51.

Flyvbjerg, B., Bruzelius, N., Rothengatter, W.: Megaprojects and risk: an anatomy of ambition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003). https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107050891.

52.

Carlile, P.R.: A Pragmatic View of Knowledge and Boundaries: Boundary Objects in New Product Development. Organization Science. 13, 442–455 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.13.4.442.2953.

53.

Dougherty, D.: Interpretive Barriers to Successful Product Innovation in Large Firms. Organization Science. 3, 179–202 (1992). https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.3.2.179.

54.

Cicmil, S., Hodgson, D.: New Possibilities for Project Management Theory: A Critical Engagement. Project Management Journal. 37, 111–122 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1177/875697280603700311.

55.

Floricel, S., Michela, J.L., Piperca, S.: Complexity, uncertainty-reduction strategies, and

project performance. International Journal of Project Management. 34, 1360–1383 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.11.007.

56.

Zwikael, O., Chih, Y.-Y., Meredith, J.R.: Project benefit management: Setting effective target benefits. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 650–658 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.002.

57.

Simard, M., Aubry, M., Laberge, D.: The utopia of order versus chaos: A conceptual framework for governance, organizational design and governmentality in projects. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 460–473 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.003.

58.

RIBA Plan of Work 2013, https://www.ribaplanofwork.com/.

59.

The NAO Guide to Initiating Successful Projects, https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/NAO_Guide_Initiating_successful_projects.pdf, (2011).

60.

Jennings, W.: Executive Politics, Risk and the Mega-Project Paradox. In: Executive politics in times of crisis. pp. 239–263. Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2012).

61.

Atkinson, R., Crawford, L., Ward, S.: Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. International Journal of Project Management. 24, 687–698 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011.

62.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

63.

Daniel, E., Daniel, P.A.: Megaprojects as complex adaptive systems: The Hinkley point C case. International Journal of Project Management. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.05.001.

64.

Williams, T., Eden, C., Ackermann, F., Tait, A.: The Effects of Design Changes and Delays on Project Costs. Journal of the Operational Research Society. 46, 809–818 (1995).

65.

Rodrigues, A.G., Williams, T.M.: System dynamics in project management: assessing the impacts of client behaviour on project performance. Journal of the Operational Research Society. 49, 2–15 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2600490.

66.

Eden, C., Williams, T., Ackermann, F., Howick, S.: The role of feedback dynamics in disruption and delay on the nature of disruption and delay (D&D) in major projects. Journal of the Operational Research Society. 51, 291–300 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2600919.

67.

Love, P.E.D., Mandal, P., Smith, J., Li, H.: Modelling the dynamics of design error induced rework in construction. Construction Management and Economics. 18, 567–574 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1080/014461900407374.

68.

The imperial units error that downed a multi-million-dollar Mars probe | New Scientist, https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg24332500-200-the-imperial-units-error-that-downed-a-multi-million-dollar-mars-probe/.

69.

Fink, L., Pinchovski, B.: It is about time: Bias and its mitigation in time-saving decisions in software development projects. International Journal of Project Management. 38, 99–111 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2020.01.001.

70.

Feylizadeh, M.R., Mahmoudi, A., Bagherpour, M., Li, D.-F.: Project crashing using a fuzzy multi-objective model considering time, cost, quality and risk under fast tracking technique: A case study. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems. 35, 3615–3631 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-18171.

71.

Wang, N., Wei, K., Sun, H.: Whole Life Project Management Approach to Sustainability. Journal of Management in Engineering. 30, 246–255 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000185.

72.

ELIEZER, OREN1 orenel@post.jce.ac.ilDROR, SHUKI2 dror@braude.ac.il: QFD Methodology for LINKING PROJECT SUCCESS FACTORS: to Outcomes in a Specific Business Case. QFD Methodology for LINKING PROJECT SUCCESS FACTORS: to Outcomes in a Specific Business Case. 40–49 (2018). https://doi.org/10.19255/JMPM01504.

73.

ROMERO, ALEJANDRO1 romero-torres.alejandro@uqam.caPARÉ, MAGALIE2KHEMICI, NASSIM2: The HOT POTATO GAME roles and responsibilities for realizing IT project benefits. The HOT POTATO GAME roles and responsibilities for realizing IT project benefits. 72–79 (2017).

74.

Leach, L.P.: Critical chain project management. Artech House, Boston (2014).

75.

Milos
ˇ
evic
´
, D., Martinelli, R.J.: Project management toolbox. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey (2015).

76.

Norman, E.S., Brotherton, S.A., Fried, R.T.: Work Breakdown Structures: the Foundation for Project Management Excellence. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken (2011).

77.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

78.

Kutsch, E., Hall, M.: Deliberate ignorance in project risk management. International Journal of Project Management. 28, 245–255 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2009.05.003.

79.

Kutsch, E., Hall, M.: Intervening conditions on the management of project risk: Dealing with uncertainty in information technology projects. International Journal of Project Management. 23, 591–599 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2005.06.009.

80.

Van Os, A., Van Berkel, F., De Gilder, D., Van Dyck, C., Groenewegen, P.: Project risk as identity threat: explaining the development and consequences of risk discourse in an infrastructure project. International Journal of Project Management. 33, 877–888 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.016.

81.

Flyvbjerg, B., Bruzelius, N., Rothengatter, W.: Megaprojects and risk: an anatomy of ambition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003). https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107050891.

82.

Chapman, C.: Key points of contention in framing assumptions for risk and uncertainty management. International Journal of Project Management. 24, 303–313 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.01.006.

83.

Jaafari, A.: Management of risks, uncertainties and opportunities on projects: time for a fundamental shift. International Journal of Project Management. 19, 89–101 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(99)00047-2.

84.

Zuo, F., Zhang, K.: Selection of risk response actions with consideration of secondary risks. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 241–254 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.11.002.

85.

Qazi, A., Quigley, J., Dickson, A., Kirytopoulos, K.: Project Complexity and Risk Management (ProCRiM): Towards modelling project complexity driven risk paths in construction projects. International Journal of Project Management. 34, 1183–1198 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.05.008.

86.

Van Os, A., Van Berkel, F., De Gilder, D., Van Dyck, C., Groenewegen, P.: Project risk as identity threat: explaining the development and consequences of risk discourse in an infrastructure project. International Journal of Project Management. 33, 877–888 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.016.

87.

Wang, S.Q., Dulaimi, M.F., Aguria, M.Y.: Risk management framework for construction projects in developing countries. Construction Management and Economics. 22, 237–252 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1080/0144619032000124689.

88.

Martin Schieg: Risk management in construction project management. Journal of Business Economics and Management. 7, 77–83.

89.

David Hillson: When is a Risk not a Risk, https://www.who.int/management/general/risk/WhenRiskNotRisk.pdf.

90.

David Hillson: What is risk? Towards a common definition, http://risk-doctor.com/pdf-files/def0402.pdf.

91.

Nicolas Bouleau: To understand risk, use your imagination | New Scientist. (22)AD.

92.

Ward, S., Chapman, C.: Transforming project risk management into project uncertainty management. International Journal of Project Management. 21, 97–105 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(01)00080-1.

93.

Daniel, P.A., Daniel, C.: Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 184–197 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.004.

94.

Wied, M., Koch-Ørvad, N., Welo, T., Oehmen, J.: Managing exploratory projects: A repertoire of approaches and their shared underpinnings. International Journal of Project Management. 38, 75–84 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.12.002.

95.

Lima, P.F. de A., Verbano, C.: Project Risk Management Implementation in SMEs: A Case Study from Italy. Journal of technology management & innovation. 14, 3–10 (2019). https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-27242019000100003.

96.

Miller, R., Lessard, D.: Understanding and managing risks in large engineering projects. International Journal of Project Management. 19, 437–443 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(01)00045-X.

97.

Raftery, J.: Risk analysis in project management. E & FN Spon, London.

98.

Stewart, R.W., Fortune, J.: Application of systems thinking to the identification, avoidance and prevention of risk. International Journal of Project Management. 13, 279–286 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-7863(95)00024-K.

99.

Raydugin, Y.: Project risk management: essential methods for project teams and decision makers. Wiley, Hoboken (2013).

100.

Kim Heldman , and Kim Heldman: Project Manager's Spotlight on Risk Management. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2005).

101.

Willumsen, P., Oehmen, J., Stingl, V., Geraldi, J.: Value creation through project risk management. International Journal of Project Management. 37, 731–749 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.01.007.

102.

Taroun, A.: Towards a better modelling and assessment of construction risk: Insights from a literature review. International Journal of Project Management. 32, 101–115 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.03.004.

103.

Ackermann, F., Eden, C., Williams, T., Howick, S.: Systemic risk assessment: a case study. Journal of the Operational Research Society. 58, 39–51 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2602105.

104.

Akintoye, A.S., MacLeod, M.J.: Risk analysis and management in construction. International Journal of Project Management. 15, 31–38 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(96)00035-X.

105.

Xia, N., Zou, P.X.W., Griffin, M.A., Wang, X., Zhong, R.: Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 701–715 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.006.

106.

Farooq, M.U., Thaheem, M.J., Arshad, H.: Improving the risk quantification under behavioural tendencies: A tale of construction projects. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 414–428 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.004.

107.

Chapman, C.: Project risk analysis and management—PRAM the generic process. International Journal of Project Management. 15, 273–281 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(96)00079-8.

108.

Zhang, H.: A redefinition of the project risk process: Using vulnerability to open up the

event-consequence link. International Journal of Project Management. 25, 694–701 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2007.02.004.

109.

Guo, F., Chang-Richards, Y., Wilkinson, S., Li, T.C.: Effects of project governance structures on the management of risks in major infrastructure projects: A comparative analysis. International Journal of Project Management. 32, 815–826 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.001.

110.

Raz, T., Michael, E.: Use and benefits of tools for project risk management. International Journal of Project Management. 19, 9–17 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(99)00036-8.

111.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

112.

Acebes, F., Pajares, J., Galán, J.M., López-Paredes, A.: A new approach for project control under uncertainty. Going back to the basics. International Journal of Project Management. 32, 423–434 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.08.003.

113.

Bryde, D., Unterhitzenberger, C., Joby, R.: Conditions of success for earned value analysis in projects. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 474–484 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.002.

114.

The imperial units error that downed a multi-million-dollar Mars probe | New Scientist. (2)AD.

115.

Zuo, F., Zhang, K.: Selection of risk response actions with consideration of secondary risks. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 241–254 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.11.002.

116.

Rozenes, S., Vitner, G., Spraggett, S.: Project Control: Literature Review. Project Management Journal. 37, 5–14 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1177/875697280603700402.

117.

Jones, stephen: Agile and Earned Value, https://www.apm.org.uk/media/1190/agile-and-earned-value.pdf.

118.

Milos
ˇ
evic
´
, D., Martinelli, R.J.: Project management toolbox. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey (2015).

119.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

120.

Mirko Sokovic: Basic Quality Tools in Continuous Improvement Process. Strojniski Vestnik. 55, (2009).

121.

Shenhar, A.J., Dvir, D., Levy, O., Maltz, A.C.: Project Success: A Multidimensional Strategic Concept. Long Range Planning. 34, 699–725 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-6301(01)00097-8.

122.

Atkinson, R.: Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. International Journal of Project Management. 17, 337–342 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(98)00069-6.

123.

Jepsen, A.L., Eskerod, P.: Stakeholder analysis in projects: Challenges in using current guidelines in the real world. International Journal of Project Management. 27, 335–343 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2008.04.002.

124.

Friedman, A.L., Miles, S.: Developing Stakeholder Theory. Journal of Management Studies. 39, 1–21 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6486.00280.

125.

Sutterfield, J.S., Friday-Stroud, S.S., Shivers-Blackwell, S.L.: A Case Study of Project and Stakeholder Management Failures: Lessons Learned. Project Management Journal. 37, 26–35 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1177/875697280603700504.

126.

Xia, N., Zou, P.X.W., Griffin, M.A., Wang, X., Zhong, R.: Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 701–715 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.006.

127.

Newcombe, R.: From client to project stakeholders: a stakeholder mapping approach. Construction Management and Economics. 21, 841–848 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1080/0144619032000072137.

128.

Jing Yang: Exploring critical success factors for stakeholder management in construction projects. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management. 15, 337–348.

129.

Karlsen, J.T.: Project Stakeholder Management. Engineering Management Journal. 14, 19–24 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1080/10429247.2002.11415180.

130.

Rowlinson, S., Cheung, Y.K.F.: Stakeholder management through empowerment: modelling project success. Construction Management and Economics. 26, 611–623 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190802071182.

131.

Bryson, J.M.: What to do when Stakeholders matter. Public Management Review. 6, 21–53 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030410001675722.

132.

Olander, S., Landin, A.: A comparative study of factors affecting the external stakeholder management process. Construction Management and Economics. 26, 553–561 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190701821810.

133.

Olander, S.: Stakeholder impact analysis in construction project management. Construction Management and Economics. 25, 277–287 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190600879125.

134.

LOVE, P.E.D., MANDAL, P., LI, H.: Determining the causal structure of rework influences in construction. Construction Management and Economics. 17, 505–517 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1080/014461999371420.

135.

Love, P.E.D., Li, H.: Quantifying the causes and costs of rework in construction. Construction Management and Economics. 18, 479–490 (2000).

https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190050024897.

136.

Love, P.E.D., Edwards, D.J.: Forensic project management: The underlying causes of rework in construction projects. Civil Engineering and Environmental Systems. 21, 207–228 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1080/10286600412331295955.

137.

Cherns, A.B., Bryant, D.T.: Studying the client's role in construction management. Construction Management and Economics. 2, 177–184 (1984). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446198400000016.

138.

Bresnen, M.J., Haslam, C.O.: Construction industry clients: A survey of their attributes and project management practices. Construction Management and Economics. 9, 327–342 (1991). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446199100000026.

139.

Lehtinen, J., Aaltonen, K.: Organizing external stakeholder engagement in inter-organizational projects: Opening the black box. International Journal of Project Management. 38, 85–98 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.12.001.

140.

Ijs
˜
, L., Tuominen, K.: Quality in project management: ISO 10006 : self-assessment work book. Benchmarking Ltd, Turku, Finland (2005).

141.

Gunsteren, L.A. van, Binnekamp, R., Graaf, R.P. de: Stakeholder-oriented project management: tools and concepts. IOS Press, Amsterdam (2011).

142.

Friedman, A.L., Miles, S.: Stakeholders: theory and practice. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2006).

143.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

144.

Hall, M.: DBFO and the supply chain, https://uob-my.sharepoint.com/:b:/g/personal/eclaf_bristol_ac_uk/EWHDlFn23U1PkqdCnKbYg5sBfpmSLXYKCDKRM77UT-OORw?e=cxz6Ds, (2009).

145.

Hall, M., Holt, R., Graves, A.: Private finance, public roads: configuring the supply chain in PFI highway construction. European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management. 6, 227–235 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012(00)00018-6.

146.

Cui, C., Liu, Y., Hope, A., Wang, J.: Review of studies on the public–private partnerships (PPP) for infrastructure projects. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 773–794 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.004.

147.

Wang, Y., Cui, P., Liu, J.: Analysis of the risk-sharing ratio in PPP projects based on government minimum revenue guarantees. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 899–909 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.007.

148.

You, J., Chen, Y., Wang, W., Shi, C.: Uncertainty, opportunistic behavior, and governance in construction projects: The efficacy of contracts. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 795–807 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.002.

149.

Wang, Y., Cui, P., Liu, J.: Analysis of the risk-sharing ratio in PPP projects based on government minimum revenue guarantees. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 899–909 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.007.

150.

Ning, Y.: Impact of quality performance ambiguity on contractor's opportunistic behaviors in person-to-organization projects: The mediating roles of contract design and application. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 640–649 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.008.

151.

Cui, C., Liu, Y., Hope, A., Wang, J.: Review of studies on the public–private partnerships (PPP) for infrastructure projects. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 773–794 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.004.

152.

Benítez-Ávila, C., Hartmann, A., Dewulf, G., Henseler, J.: Interplay of relational and contractual governance in public-private partnerships: The mediating role of relational norms, trust and partners' contribution. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 429–443 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.005.

153.

Thomé, A.M.T., Scavarda, L.F., Scavarda, A., Thomé, F.E.S. de S.: Similarities and contrasts of complexity, uncertainty, risks, and resilience in supply chains and temporary multi-organization projects. International Journal of Project Management. 34, 1328–1346 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.10.012.

154.

Davies, A., Dodgson, M., Gann, D.: Dynamic Capabilities in Complex Projects: The Case of London Heathrow Terminal 5. Project Management Journal. 47, 26–46 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.21574.

155.

Moodley, K., Smith, N., Preece, C.N.: Stakeholder matrix for ethical relationships in the construction industry. Construction Management and Economics. 26, 625–632 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190801965368.

156.

Taggart, M., Koskela, L., Rooke, J.: The role of the supply chain in the elimination and reduction of construction rework and defects: an action research approach. Construction Management and Economics. 32, 829–842 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2014.904965.

157.

Taggart, M., Koskela, L., Rooke, J.: The role of the supply chain in the elimination and reduction of construction rework and defects: an action research approach. Construction Management and Economics. 32, 829–842 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2014.904965.

158.

Osipova, E., Eriksson, P.E.: How procurement options influence risk management in construction projects. Construction Management and Economics. 29, 1149–1158 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2011.639379.

159.

Raisbeck, P., Duffield, C., Xu, M.: Comparative performance of PPPs and traditional procurement in Australia. Construction Management and Economics. 28, 345–359 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190903582731.

160.

Akintoye, A.: Design and build: a survey of construction contractors' views. Construction Management and Economics. 12, 155–163 (1994). https://doi.org/10.1080/01446199400000021.

161.

Anumba, C.J., Evbuomwan, N.F.O.: Concurrent engineering in design-build projects. Construction Management and Economics. 15, 271–281 (1997).

https://doi.org/10.1080/014461997373006.

162.

Ling, F.Y.Y., Kerh, S.H.: Comparing the Performance of Design-Build and Design-Bid-Build Building Projects in Singapore. Architectural Science Review. 47, 163–175 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1080/00038628.2004.9697040.

163.

Bresnen, M., Marshall, N.: Partnering in construction: a critical review of issues, problems and dilemmas. Construction Management and Economics. 18, 229–237 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1080/014461900370852.

164.

LAM, E.W.M., Chan, A.P.C., Chan, D.W.M.: Potential Problems of Running Design-build Projects in Construction. HKIE Transactions. 10, 8–14 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1080/1023697X.2003.10667915.

165.

Shakar Reddy, M. Raja1 rajashakarmalae@gmail.comJigeesh, Nasina2 jigeeshn@gmail.comKumar, Prabhu3 prabsjntu@yahoo.co.in: The Integration of Project Management with Supply Chain Management in Indian Pharmaceutical Projects. The Integration of Project Management with Supply Chain Management in Indian Pharmaceutical Projects. 14, 61–70 (2017).

166.

Tang, Y., Chen, Y., Hua, Y., Fu, Y.: Impacts of risk allocation on conflict negotiation costs in construction projects: Does managerial control matter? International Journal of Project Management. 38, 188–199 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2020.03.002.

167.

Bing, L., Akintoye, A., Edwards, P.J., Hardcastle, C.: The allocation of risk in PPP/PFI construction projects in the UK. International Journal of Project Management. 23, 25–35 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2004.04.006.

168.

Loosemore, M., McCarthy, C.S.: Perceptions of Contractual Risk Allocation in Construction Supply Chains. Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice. 134, 95–105 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1052-3928(2008)134:1(95).

169.

Melese, Y., Lumbreras, S., Ramos, A., Stikkelman, R., Herder, P.: Cooperation under uncertainty: Assessing the value of risk sharing and determining the optimal risk-sharing rule for agents with pre-existing business and diverging risk attitudes. International Journal of Project Management. 35, 530–540 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.11.007.

170.

Ng, A., Loosemore, M.: Risk allocation in the private provision of public infrastructure. International Journal of Project Management. 25, 66–76 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.06.005.

171.

Shi, C., Chen, Y., You, J., Yao, H.: Asset Specificity and Contractors' Opportunistic Behavior: Moderating Roles of Contract and Trust. Journal of Management in Engineering. 34, (2018). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000632.

172.

Wang, W., Chen, Y., Zhang, S., Wang, Y.: Contractual Complexity in Construction Projects. Project Management Journal. 49, 46–61 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1177/8756972818770589.

173.

Xiang, P., Zhou, J., Zhou, X., Ye, K.: Construction Project Risk Management Based on the View of Asymmetric Information. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management. 138, 1303–1311 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0000548.

174.

Zaghloul, R., Hartman, F.: Construction contracts: the cost of mistrust. International Journal of Project Management. 21, 419–424 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(02)00082-0.

175.

Zhang, S., Zhang, S., Gao, Y., Ding, X.: Contractual Governance: Effects of Risk Allocation on Contractors' Cooperative Behavior in Construction Projects. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management. 142, (2016). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001111.

176.

Turner, J.R.: Contracting for Project Management. Ashgate Pub, Farnham (2007).

177.

Andreas Opelt, , Boris Gloger, , Wolfgang Pfarl, , and Ralf Mittermayr: Agile Contracts : Creating and Managing Successful Projects with Scrum. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2013).

178.

Wang, Y.: Evolution of public–private partnership models in American toll road development: Learning based on public institutions' risk management. International Journal of Project Management. 33, 684–696 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.006.

179.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

180.

Shore, B.: Systematic biases and culture in project failures. Project Management Journal. 39, 5–16 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.20082.

181.

Flyvbjerg, Bent: Design by Deception: The Politics of Megaproject Approval.

182.

Carton, A.M., Cummings, J.M.: A Theory of Subgroups in Work Teams. Academy of Management Review. 37, (2012).

183.

Drach-Zahavy, A., Somech, A.: From an Intrateam to an Interteam Perspective of Effectiveness: The Role of Interdependence and Boundary Activities. Small Group Research. 41, 143–174 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496409356479.

184.

Phua, F.T.T.: The antecedents of co-operative behaviour among project team members: an alternative perspective on an old issue. Construction Management and Economics. 22, 1033–1045 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1080/0144619031000164909 2.

185.

Brunet, M.: Governance-as-practice for major public infrastructure projects: A case of multilevel project governing. International Journal of Project Management. 37, 283–297 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.02.007.

186.

Bjorvatn, T., Wald, A.: Project complexity and team-level absorptive capacity as drivers of project management performance. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 876–888 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.05.003.

187.

Winch, G.M.: Three domains of project organising. International Journal of Project Management. 32, 721–731 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.012.

188.

Aubry, M., Lavoie-Tremblay, M.: Rethinking organizational design for managing multiple projects. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 12–26 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.05.012.

189.

Bakker, R.M.: Taking Stock of Temporary Organizational Forms: A Systematic Review and Research Agenda. International Journal of Management Reviews. 12, 466–486 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2010.00281.x.

190.

Miterev, M., Mancini, M., Turner, R.: Towards a design for the project-based organization. International Journal of Project Management. 35, 479–491 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.12.007.

191.

Chizhik, A.W., Shelly, R.K., Troyer, L.: Intragroup Conflict and Cooperation: An Introduction. Journal of Social Issues. 65, 251–259 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2009.01599.x.

192.

Jehn, K.A.: A Multimethod Examination of the Benefits and Detriments of Intragroup Conflict. Administrative Science Quarterly. 40, (1995). https://doi.org/10.2307/2393638.

193.

Jehn, K.A.: A Qualitative Analysis of Conflict Types and Dimensions in Organizational Groups. Administrative Science Quarterly. 42, (1997). https://doi.org/10.2307/2393737.

194.

Sutterfield, J. Scott1Friday-Stroud, Shawnta S.1Shivers-Blackwell, Sheryl L.1: How NOT to Manage a Project: Conflict Management Lessons Learned from a DOD Case Study. Journal of Behavioral & Applied Management. 8, 218–238 (2007).

195.

Wall, J.A., Callister, R.R.: Conflict and Its Management. Journal of Management. 21, 515–558 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639502100306.

196.

Liu, J.Y.-C., Chen, H.-G., Chen, C.C., Sheu, T.S.: Relationships among interpersonal conflict, requirements uncertainty, and software project performance. International Journal of Project Management. 29, 547–556 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.04.007.

197.

Arditi, D., Nayak, S., Damci, A.: Effect of organizational culture on delay in construction. International Journal of Project Management. 35, 136–147 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.10.018.

198.

Lloyd Fletcher, Sturdy, A.: The Subjectivity of Boundary Spanning – Composite Boundaries in Project Working.

199.

Dibble, R., Gibson, C.B.: Crossing team boundaries: A theoretical model of team boundary permeability and a discussion of why it matters. Human Relations. 71, 925–950 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726717735372.

200.

You really can fool some of the people, all of the time. The Economist. (31)AD.

201.

Simard, M., Aubry, M., Laberge, D.: The utopia of order versus chaos: A conceptual framework for governance, organizational design and governmentality in projects. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 460–473 (2018).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.01.003.

202.

Stingl, V., Geraldi, J.: Errors, lies and misunderstandings: Systematic review on behavioural decision making in projects. International Journal of Project Management. 35, 121–135 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.10.009.

203.

UDDIN, SHAHADAT1 shahadat.uddin@sydney.edu.au: SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS in Project Management. SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS in Project Management. 106–113 (2017). https://doi.org/10.19255/JMPM01310.

204.

Oswald, A., Köhler, J., Schmitt, R.: Project Management at the Edge of Chaos. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48261-2.

205.

Maylor, H.: Project management. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2010).

206.

Augustine, S., Payne, B., Sencindiver, F., Woodcock, S.: Agile project management. Communications of the ACM. 48, 85–89 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1145/1101779.1101781.

207.

Hodgson, D., Cicmil, S.: The other side of projects: the case for critical project studies. International Journal of Managing Projects in Business. 1, 142–152 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1108/17538370810846487.

208.

Winter, M., Smith, C., Morris, P., Cicmil, S.: Directions for future research in project management: The main findings of a UK government-funded research network. International Journal of Project Management. 24, 638–649 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.08.009.

209.

Highsmith, J.A.: Agile project management: creating innovative products. Addison-Wesley, Boston.

210.

Swan, J., Scarbrough, H., Newell, S.: Why don't (or do) organizations learn from projects? Management Learning. 41, 325–344 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507609357003.

211.

Patanakul, P., Kwak, Y.H., Zwikael, O., Liu, M.: What impacts the performance of large-scale government projects? International Journal of Project Management. 34, 452–466 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.12.001.

212.

Duffield, S.M., Whitty, S.J.: Application of the Systemic Lessons Learned Knowledge model for Organisational Learning through Projects. International Journal of Project Management. 34, 1280–1293 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.07.001.

213.

Love, P.E.D., Teo, P., Ackermann, F., Smith, J., Alexander, J., Palaneeswaran, E., Morrison, J.: Reduce rework, improve safety: an empirical inquiry into the precursors to error in construction. Production Planning & Control. 29, 353–366 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2018.1424961.

214.

Morris, P.: Reconstructing Project Management Reprised: A Knowledge Perspective. Project Management Journal. 44, 6–23 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.21369.

215.

Pinto, J.K., Winch, G.: The unsettling of "settled science:" The past and future of the management of projects. International Journal of Project Management. 34, 237–245 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.07.011.

216.

Cicmil, S., Williams, T., Thomas, J., Hodgson, D.: Rethinking Project Management: Researching the actuality of projects. International Journal of Project Management. 24, 675–686 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.08.006.

217.

Thomas, J.: Problematising Project Management. In: Making projects critical. pp. 90–107. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke [England] (2006).

218.

The National Programme for IT in the NHS: an update on the delivery of detailed care records systems - Public Accounts Committee, https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmpubacc/1070/107002.htm.

219.

Ralph, P.: The two paradigms of software development research. Science of Computer Programming. 156, 68–89 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scico.2018.01.002.

220.

Heaton, K.M., Skok, W., Kovela, S.: Learning Lessons from Software Implementation Projects: An Exploratory Study. Knowledge and Process Management. 23, 293–306 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1002/kpm.1525.

221.

Koskela, L., Ferrentelli, A., Niiranen, J., Pikas, E.: Epistemological Explanation of Lean

Construction. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management. 145,.

222.

Daniel, P.A., Daniel, C.: Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. International Journal of Project Management. 36, 184–197 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.004.

223.

Adelakun, O., Garcia, R., Tabaka, T., Ismail, R.: Hybrid Project Management: Agile with Discipline. In: International Conference on Information Resources Management (CONF-IRM) (5)AD.

224.

Alter, R.: Brexit and the moon landing from a project complexity perspective: A comparative case study. Case Studies in Business and Management. 5, (2018). https://doi.org/10.5296/csbm.v5i2.13484.

225.

MIRZAEI, MARYAM1 Mmirzaei@unitec.ac.nzMABIN, VICTORIA2: Agile Project Management and Public Policy Development Projects: A case study from New Zealand. Agile Project Management and Public Policy Development Projects: A case study from New Zealand. 15, 59–75 (2017).

226.

Sanchez, Felipe1Bonjour, Eric2Micaëlli, Jean-Pierre3Monticolo, Davy4: A Step for Improving THE TRANSITION BETWEEN TRADITIONAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT TO AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Using a Project Management Maturity Model. A Step for Improving THE TRANSITION BETWEEN TRADITIONAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT TO AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Using a Project Management Maturity Model. 7, 102–119 (2019). https://doi.org/10.19255/JMPM01906.

227.

HENRIKSEN, ANDRE1 andre.henriksen@uit.noPEDERSEN, SVEN ARNE R.2

sven.arne.r.pedersen@uit.no: A qualitative case study on AGILE PRACTICES AND PROJECT SUCCESS in agile software projects. A qualitative case study on AGILE PRACTICES AND PROJECT SUCCESS in agile software projects. 62–73 (2017). https://doi.org/10.19255/JMPM01306.

228.

Gharaibeh, Hani1: Improving Project Team Learning in Major Projects -- A Case Study Comparison. Improving Project Team Learning in Major Projects -- A Case Study Comparison. 9, 1–13 (2011).

229.

Chen, Edward T.1 edwardchen@uml.edu: EMERGING TRENDS IN PROJECT MANAGEMENT: EXPEDITING BUSINESS. 1–13 (2015).

230.

Keinz, P., Hienerth, C., Gemünden, H.G., Killen, C.P., Sicotte, H.: Special issue: Managing open and user innovation by projects: Sensing, seizing and transforming. International Journal of Project Management. (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2021.02.001.

231.

Project Management Institute: Agile Project Management: essentials from the Project Management Journal. J. Wiley & Sons, [Place of publication not identified] (2013).

232.

Morris, P.W.G.: Reconstructing project management. John Wiley & Sons, Chicester (2013).

233.

Silvius, G., Schipper, R., Van den Brink, J.: Sustainability in Project Management. Ashgate Pub, Farnham (2012).

234.

University Of Roskilde: Project management theory meets practice. Samfundslitteratur, [Place of publication not identified] (2015).

235.

Kerzner, H.: Using the project management maturity model: strategic planning for project management. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey (2019).

236.

Rad, P.F., Levin, G.: Achieving Project Management Success Using Virtual Teams. J. Ross Publishing, Incorporated, Boca Raton (2014).

237.

Grisham, T.W.: International project management: leadership in complex environments. Wiley, Hoboken, N.J. (2010).

238.

Perrin, R.: Real world project management: beyond conventional wisdom, best practices, and project methodologies. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, N.J.

239.

Cobb, C.G.: Making sense of agile project management: balancing control and agility. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ.

240.

Aramo-Immonen, H., Vanharanta, H.: Project management: The task of holistic systems thinking. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing. 19, 582–600 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1002/hfm.20185.

241.

Kim H. Pries , and Jon M. Quigley: Scrum Project Management. Taylor & Francis Group (2010).

242.

John Goodpasture: Project Management the Agile Way : Making it Work in the Enterprise. J. Ross Publishing (2010).

243.

Jamie Lynn Cooke: Everything You Want to Know about Agile : How to Get Agile Results in a Less-Than-agile Organization. IT Governance Ltd (2012).

244.

Peter W. G. Morris: Reconstructing Project Management. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2013).

245.

Atkinson, R., Crawford, L., Ward, S.: Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. International Journal of Project Management. 24, 687–698 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011.

246.

Britain’s engineering reputation goes down the tube. The Economist. (20181208).

247.

Britain’s government tries to trim the £56bn cost of HS2. The Economist. (20190815).

248.

Louise Butcher: High Speed 2 (HS2) Phase 2a, https://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN07082.

249.

HS2: 12 arguments for and against - BBC News. (24)AD.

250.

Government announces independent review into HS2 programme - GOV.UK. (21)AD.

251.

Andrew Haylen: High Speed 2: the business case, costs and spending, https://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/CBP-8601, (27)AD.

252.

High Speed 2 - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High_Speed_2.

253.

High Speed Two (HS2) Limited - GOV.UK, https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/high-speed-two-limited.

254.

House of Lords - The Economics of High Speed 2 - Economic Affairs Committee, https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201415/ldselect/ldeconaf/134/13404.htm.

255.

HS2 - What is it and how can my business benefit? | GBCC, https://www.greaterbirminghamchambers.com/research-campaigning/hot-topics/hs2.

256.

HS2 direct lines 'could bring £1.4bn of benefits' - The Transport Network, https://www.transport-network.co.uk/HS2-direct-lines-could-bring-14bn-of-benefits/16147.

257.

John Stone: HS2 is the only option for Britain’s railways | The Independent. (31)AD.

258.

HS2 make or break decision looms | Railnews | Today’s news for Tomorrow’s railway. (21)AD.

259.

HS2: Review to examine costs and benefits of rail project - BBC News. (21)AD.

260.

HS2 will serve wealthier passengers and deliver more benefits to London than the North | New Economics Foundation.

261.

High Speed 2 home page, https://www.hs2.org.uk/.

262.

Simon Jenkins: Boris Johnson should have the guts to kill HS2 | Simon Jenkins. Guardian. (2019).

263.

HS2 costs and benefits: a search for clear evidence | Letters. Guardian. (2019).

264.

Lords: HS2 needs new costs and benefits assessment | International Railway Journal, https://www.railjournal.com/passenger/high-speed/lords-hs2-costs-benefits-assessment/.

265.

Munro, A.: HS2 railway, UK – why the country needs it. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Transport. 1–9 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1680/jtran.18.00040.

266.

Net zero: HS2 review to examine environmental benefits - Energy Live News, https://www.energylivenews.com/2019/08/21/net-zero-hs2-review-to-examine-environmental-benefits/.

267.

Payne, S.: The benefits of HS2 are becoming harder to discern. Financial Times. (26)AD.

268.

Plimmer, G.: Why HS2 rail line is way over budget and badly delayed. Financial Times. (19)AD.

269.

Pros and Cons of High Speed Rail HS2 | Economics Help, https://www.economicshelp.org/blog/3088/economics/pros-and-cons-of-high-speed-rail-hs2/.

270.

HS2 benefits ‘substantially undervalued’ amid rising costs | News | Railway Gazette. (3)AD.

271.

STOP HS2 - The national campaign against High Speed Rail 2, http://stophs2.org/.

272.

Sweet, R.: HS2's moment of truth. Construction Research and Innovation. 10, 54–59 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1080/20450249.2019.1654235.

273.

The Case Against HS2 - High Speed 2 Action Alliance, http://www.hs2actionalliance.org/case-against-hs2/.

274.

Unlocking the benefits of HS2 | Rail Engineer, https://www.railengineer.co.uk/2019/04/04/unlocking-the-benefits-of-hs2/.

275.

HS2 in balance as government reviews rail scheme's costs and benefits | News | Architects Journal, https://www.architectsjournal.co.uk/news/hs2-in-balance-as-government-reviews-rail-schemes-costs-and-benefits/10044140.article.

276.

What benefits will the HS2 Railway Network bring? – RG Group, https://rg-group.co.uk/what-benefits-will-the-hs2-railway-network-bring/.

277.

Why archaeologists love HS2. The Economist. (20181201).

278.

House of Lords - Rethinking High Speed 2 - Economic Affairs Committee, https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201719/ldselect/ldeconaf/359/35902.htm, (16)AD.

279.

HS2 should happen despite rising cost, says review - BBC News,

https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50388738.

280.

HS2 review criticised by deputy chair of panel - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50280270.

281.

HS2 route: How much will the rail scheme cost? - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-16473296.

282.

HS2's ballooning budget was 'covered up' - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-49482701.

283.

HS2 benefits hugely underestimated - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/topics/cgdwvpvk35zt/hs2.

284.

HS2 costs out of control, says review's deputy chair - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50995116.

285.

Rowena Mason: Cancelling HS2 would fail new Tory voters, Boris Johnson told. Guardian. (2020).

286.

HS2 phase 2 civils design costs rise 50% | Construction Enquirer, https://www.constructionenquirer.com/2019/12/20/hs2-phase-2-civils-design-cost-rises-50/.

287.

HS2 revises environmental policy document, https://www.endsreport.com/article/1668736/hs2-revises-environmental-policy-document.

288.

HS2 Ltd denies watering down environmental pledges - The Transport Network, https://www.transport-network.co.uk/HS2-Ltd-denies-watering-down-environmental-pledges/16361.

289.

HS2: Give me the facts, says Shapps - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51171249.

290.

Christian Wolmar: HS2 is a calamity, but it would be politically toxic for Boris Johnson to scrap it | Christian Wolmar. Guardian. (2020).

291.

Gwyn Topham: Can the troubled HS2 project get back on track? Guardian. (2020).

292.

Gwyn Topham: HS2 costs could rise to £106bn or more, warns government review. Guardian. (2020).

293.

Use HS2 billions to help West Midlands and Staffordshire commuters - expert | Express & Star, https://www.expressandstar.com/news/transport/2020/01/27/use-hs2-billions-to-help-west-midlands-and-staffordshire-commuters-expert/.

294.

Whistleblowers say public have been ‘deceived’ over cost of HS2: Wessex FM - News, https://www.wessexfm.com/news/national/3032238/whistleblowers-say-public-have-been-deceived-over-cost-of-hs2/.

295.

HS2: Scrapping troubled rail link could cost £12bn, say reports | The Independent, https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/hs2-cost-scrap-railway-london-birmingham-manchester-leeds-a9302126.html.

296.

HS2 risks misjudged from the start, says watchdog - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51223101.

297.

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Report, https://www.nao.org.uk/report/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/.

298.

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Report, https://www.nao.org.uk/report/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/.

299.

Government underestimated HS2 "complexity and risk", says National Audit Office, https://www.newstatesman.com/spotlight/transport/2020/01/government-underestimated-hs2-complexity-and-risk-says-national-audit.

300.

HS2: Six reasons why the rail route is so expensive - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51415590.

301.

Boris Johnson to repay the north by approving HS2 | News | The Times, https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/boris-johnson-to-repay-north-by-approving-hs2-vwbgfjpdj.

302.

North and Midlands most opposed to HS2, survey reveals | News | Building, https://www.building.co.uk/news/north-and-midlands-most-opposed-to-hs2-survey-reveals/5104118.article.

303.

Oakervee review calls for HS2 Ltd to be stripped of Euston project. Financial Times.

304.

HS2: Government to give high-speed rail line the go-ahead - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51443421.

305.

Kate Proctor: HS2 to be given go-ahead by government despite fierce opposition. Guardian. (2020).

306.

HS2: UK in talks with China over construction of high-speed line - BBC News, https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51512831.

307.

Jennings, W.: Executive Politics, Risk and the Mega-Project Paradox. In: Executive politics in times of crisis. pp. 239–263. Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2012).

308.

Flyvbjerg, B., Bruzelius, N., Rothengatter, W.: Megaprojects and risk: an anatomy of ambition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003). https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107050891.

309.

HS2 and the Chinese: What now? | Comment | Building, https://www.building.co.uk/hs2-and-the-chinese-what-now/5104354.article.

310.

HS2 | Curzon Street landowners could claim millions after court ruling - New Civil Engineer, https://www.newcivilengineer.com/latest/hs2-curzon-street-landowners-could-claim-millions-after-court-ruling-18-02-2020/.

311.

HS2 'perfect example' of broken funding system, claims finance chief - New Civil Engineer, https://www.newcivilengineer.com/latest/hs2-perfect-example-of-broken-funding-system-claims-finance-chief-25-02-2020/.

312.

Birmingham MPs call for Boris Johnson to deliver the 'promised benefits of HS2' for Brummies - Birmingham Live. (17)AD.

313.

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Press release, https://www.nao.org.uk/press-release/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/.