

MGRCM2028 & EFIM20015: Project Management

Project management resources for both undergraduate and PGT units.

View Online



1.

Maylor H. Project management [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from:
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmlex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

2.

Maylor H. Project management [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from:
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmlex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

3.

Overdue and over Budget, over and over Again. 9AD; Available from:
http://gdc.galegroup.com/gdc/artemis/NewspapersDetailsPage/NewspapersDetailsWindow?disableHighlighting=false&displayGroupName=DVI-Newspapers&docIndex=1&source=&prodId=ECON&mode=view&limiter=&display-query=TX+Overdue+%23%23and%23%23+over+Budget%2C+over+%23%23and%23%23+over+Again%E2%80%99&contentModules=&action=e&sortBy=&windowstate=normal&currPage=1&dviSelectedPage=&scanId=&query=TX+Overdue+and+over+Budget%2C+over+and+over+Again%E2%80%99&search_within_results=&p=ECON&catId=&u=univbri&displayGroups=&documentId=GALE%7CGP4100353399&activityType=BasicSearch&failOverType=&commentary=

4.

Atkinson R. Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. International Journal of Project Management. 1999 Dec;17(6):337-42.

5.

Morris P. Reconstructing Project Management Reprised: A Knowledge Perspective. *Project Management Journal*. 2013 Oct;44(5):6-23.

6.

Cicmil S, Williams T, Thomas J, Hodgson D. Rethinking Project Management: Researching the actuality of projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2006 Nov;24(8):675-86.

7.

Hodgson DE, Cicmil S. *Making projects critical*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2006.

8.

Crawford L, Hobbs B, Turner JR. Aligning Capability with Strategy: Categorizing Projects to do the Right Projects and to do Them Right. *Project Management Journal*. 2006 Jun;37(2):38-50.

9.

Maylor H, Vidgen R, Carver S. Managerial Complexity in Project-Based Operations: A Grounded Model and Its Implications for Practice. *Project Management Journal*. 2008 Jan;39(1_suppl):S15-26.

10.

Elder-Vass D. *The causal power of social structures: emergence, structure and agency*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

11.

Kim J. Making sense of emergence. *Philosophical Studies*. 1999;95(1/2):3-36.

12.

Marras A. Emergence and reduction: Reply to Kim. *Synthese*. 2006 Aug;151(3):561–9.

13.

The future is bright, the future is... you! Project [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.apm.org.uk/project/>

14.

Conditions for Project Success [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.apm.org.uk/resources/find-a-resource/conditions-for-project-success/>

15.

Association for Project Management. APM body of knowledge [Internet]. Seventh edition. Princes Risborough, Buckinghamshire: Association for Project Management; 2019. Available from: <https://www.apm.org.uk/body-of-knowledge/>

16.

Winch GM. Three domains of project organising. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2014 Jul;32(5):721–31.

17.

Sydow J, Lindkvist L, DeFillippi R. Project-Based Organizations, Embeddedness and Repositories of Knowledge: Editorial. *Organization Studies*. 2004 Nov;25(9):1475–89.

18.

Garel G. A history of project management models: From pre-models to the standard models. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2013 Jul;31(5):663–9.

19.

Padalkar M, Gopinath S. Are complexity and uncertainty distinct concepts in project management? A taxonomical examination from literature. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2016 May;34(4):688–700.

20.

Daniel PA, Daniel C. Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Jan;36(1):184–97.

21.

NHS IT system one of 'worst fiascos ever', say MPs - BBC News [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-24130684>

22.

House of Commons - The National Programme for IT in the NHS: an update on the delivery of detailed care records systems - Public Accounts Committee [Internet]. Available from: <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmpubacc/1070/107002.htm>

23.

John Carvel. NHS risks £20bn white elephant, say auditors. *Guardian* [Internet]. 2006 Jun 16; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2006/jun/16/egovernment.politics>

24.

Cresswell K, Sheikh A. The NHS Care Record Service (NHS CRS): recommendations from the literature on successful implementation and adoption. *Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics*. 2009 Sep 1;17(3):153–60.

25.

Ahola T, Ruuska I, Artto K, Kujala J. What is project governance and what are its origins? *International Journal of Project Management*. 2014 Nov;32(8):1321–32.

26.

Weick KE. *Making sense of the organization: Vol. 2: The impermanent organization*. Chichester, U.K.: Wiley;

27.

Britain's engineering reputation goes down the tube. The Economist [Internet]. 2018;1208; Available from: <https://www.economist.com/britain/2018/12/08/britains-engineering-reputation-goes-down-the-tube>

28.

Gupta SK, Gunasekaran A, Antony J, Gupta S, Bag S, Roubaud D. Systematic literature review of project failures: Current trends and scope for future research. Computers & Industrial Engineering. 2019 Jan;127:274–85.

29.

Krusi, Markus¹Whitty, Stephen Jonathan¹. The Practitioner's Tapestry: Revealing the epistemological diversity to project management knowledge. The Practitioner's Tapestry: Revealing the epistemological diversity to project management knowledge [Internet]. 2019;7(Issue 3):196–226. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=140839857&site=ehost-live>

30.

Wysocki RK. Effective project management: traditional, agile, extreme, hybrid [Internet]. Eighth edition. Indianapolis, IN: Wiley; 2019. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5747804>

31.

Kerzner H. Project management: case studies. Fourth edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2013.

32.

Milos

evic

D, Patanakul P, Srivannaboon S. Case studies in project, program, and organizational

project management. Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley;

33.

Verzuh E. The Fast Forward MBA in Project Management. 5th ed. Wiley; 2016.

34.

Morris PWG, Pinto JK,
So

derlund J. The Oxford handbook of project management. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

35.

Lock D. Naked Project Management: the Bare Facts. Farnham: Ashgate Publishing Ltd; 2013.

36.

Lientz BP. Project management: a problem-based approach. Houndmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

37.

Tuttle S. Illustrating PRINCE2: project management in real terms. Ely, Cambridgeshire, U.K.: IT Governance Pub; 2012.

38.

Nicholas JM, Steyn H. Project management for business, engineering, and technology: principles and practice. 3rd ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier Butterworth Heinemann;

39.

Kerzner H. Project management: a systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling. Eleventh edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2013.

40.

PRINCE2 overview. Available from:

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/j.ctt5hh70p.7.pdf?refreqid=excelsior%3A0df09d2c93fcca292d25438b58e842db>

41.

APM /INCOSEUK Systems Thinking SIG. Systems Thinking for Portfolio, Programme and Project Managers [Internet]. Version 1.0. 16AD. Available from:

<https://www.apm.org.uk/media/25516/systems-thinking-for-portfolio-programme-and-project-managers-v10.pdf>

42.

Jackson MC. Critical systems thinking and the management of complexity: responsible leadership for a complex world. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2019.

43.

Jackson MC. Systems thinking: creative holism for managers. Chichester, West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons;

44.

Jackson MC. Critical systems thinking and the management of complexity: responsible leadership for a complex world. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2019.

45.

Mawby D, Stupples D. Systems thinking for managing projects. IEMC-2002: 2002 IEEE International Engineering Management Conference : Managing technology for the new economy : St John's College, Cambridge, UK, 18-20 August, 2002 : proceedings [Internet]. :344-9. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1038455/>

46.

George Ellis. Project Management in Product Development : Leadership Skills and

Management Techniques to Deliver Great Products [Internet]. Elsevier Science & Technology; 2015. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=4003784>

47.

Belbin Team Roles [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.belbin.com/about/belbin-team-roles/>

48.

Maylor H. Project management [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from:
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmlex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

49.

Atkinson R, Crawford L, Ward S. Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. International Journal of Project Management. 2006 Nov;24(8):687–98.

50.

Turner JR, Cochrane RA. Goals-and-methods matrix: coping with projects with ill defined goals and/or methods of achieving them. International Journal of Project Management. 1993 May;11(2):93–102.

51.

Flyvbjerg B, Bruzelius N, Rothengatter W. Megaprojects and risk: an anatomy of ambition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003.

52.

Carlile PR. A Pragmatic View of Knowledge and Boundaries: Boundary Objects in New Product Development. Organization Science. 2002 Aug;13(4):442–55.

53.

Dougherty D. Interpretive Barriers to Successful Product Innovation in Large Firms. *Organization Science*. 1992 May;3(2):179–202.

54.

Cicmil S, Hodgson D. New Possibilities for Project Management Theory: A Critical Engagement. *Project Management Journal*. 2006 Aug;37(3):111–22.

55.

Florice S, Michela JL, Piperca S. Complexity, uncertainty-reduction strategies, and project performance. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2016 Oct;34(7):1360–83.

56.

Zwikael O, Chih YY, Meredith JR. Project benefit management: Setting effective target benefits. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 May;36(4):650–8.

57.

Simard M, Aubry M, Laberge D. The utopia of order versus chaos: A conceptual framework for governance, organizational design and governmentality in projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Apr;36(3):460–73.

58.

RIBA Plan of Work 2013 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.ribaplanofwork.com/>

59.

The NAO Guide to Initiating Successful Projects [Internet]. National Audit Office; 2011. Available from: https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/NAO_Guide_Initiating_successful_projects.pdf

60.

Jennings W. Executive Politics, Risk and the Mega-Project Paradox. In: Executive politics in times of crisis. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012. p. 239–63.

61.

Atkinson R, Crawford L, Ward S. Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2006 Nov;24(8):687–98.

62.

Maylor H. Project management [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5173571>

63.

Daniel E, Daniel PA. Megaprojects as complex adaptive systems: The Hinkley point C case. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2019 Jun;

64.

Williams T, Eden C, Ackermann F, Tait A. The Effects of Design Changes and Delays on Project Costs. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* [Internet]. 1995 Jul;46(7):809–18. Available from: https://linker2.worldcat.org/?date=1995-07-01&rft.content=fulltext&rft.institution_id=132607&linktype=best&spage=809&title=Journal+of+the+Operational+Research+Society%2C+The&localstem=https%3A%2F%2Fbristol.oclc.org%2Flogin%3Furl%3D&atitle=The+Effects+of+Design+Changes+and+Delays+on+Project+Costs&jHome=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.jstor.org%2Fjournal%2Fjoperresoci&provider=jstor&pkgName=archive&rft.oclcnum=5549630755&linkclass=to_article&aurlast=Williams&issue=7&jKey=joperresoci&rft_id=info%2Fsid%3Aoclc.org%2FWCL&eissn=1476-9360&volume=46&linkScheme=jstor&itemnum=10.2307%2F2583965&issn=0160-5682&rft.order_by=preference

65.

Rodrigues AG, Williams TM. System dynamics in project management: assessing the impacts of client behaviour on project performance. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*. 1998 Jan;49(1):2–15.

66.

Eden C, Williams T, Ackermann F, Howick S. The role of feedback dynamics in disruption and delay on the nature of disruption and delay (D&D) in major projects. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*. 2000 Mar;51(3):291–300.

67.

Love PED, Mandal P, Smith J, Li H. Modelling the dynamics of design error induced rework in construction. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2000 Jul;18(5):567–74.

68.

The imperial units error that downed a multi-million-dollar Mars probe | New Scientist [Internet]. Available from: <https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg24332500-200-the-imperial-units-error-that-downed-a-multi-million-dollar-mars-probe/>

69.

Fink L, Pinchovski B. It is about time: Bias and its mitigation in time-saving decisions in software development projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2020 Feb;38(2):99–111.

70.

Feylizadeh MR, Mahmoudi A, Bagherpour M, Li DF. Project crashing using a fuzzy multi-objective model considering time, cost, quality and risk under fast tracking technique: A case study. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*. 2018 Oct 1;35(3):3615–31.

71.

Wang N, Wei K, Sun H. Whole Life Project Management Approach to Sustainability. *Journal of Management in Engineering*. 2014 Mar;30(2):246–55.

72.

ELIEZER, OREN¹ orenel@post.jce.ac.ilDROR, SHUKI² dror@braude.ac.il. QFD Methodology for LINKING PROJECT SUCCESS FACTORS: to Outcomes in a Specific Business Case. QFD Methodology for LINKING PROJECT SUCCESS FACTORS: to Outcomes in a Specific Business Case [Internet]. 2018;40–9. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=128114517&site=ehost-live>

73.

ROMERO, ALEJANDRO¹ romero-torres.alejandro@uqam.caPARÉ, MAGALIE²KHEMICI, NASSIM². The HOT POTATO GAME roles and responsibilities for realizing IT project benefits. The HOT POTATO GAME roles and responsibilities for realizing IT project benefits [Internet]. 2017;72–9. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=125356361&site=ehost-live>

74.

Leach LP. Critical chain project management. Third edition. Boston: Artech House; 2014.

75.

Milos

evic

D, Martinelli RJ. Project management toolbox. Second edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2015.

76.

Norman ES, Brotherton SA, Fried RT. Work Breakdown Structures: the Foundation for Project Management Excellence. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons; 2011.

77.

Maylor H. Project management [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from: https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmllex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

78.

Kutsch E, Hall M. Deliberate ignorance in project risk management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2010 Apr;28(3):245–55.

79.

Kutsch E, Hall M. Intervening conditions on the management of project risk: Dealing with uncertainty in information technology projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2005 Nov;23(8):591–9.

80.

Van Os A, Van Berkel F, De Gilder D, Van Dyck C, Groenewegen P. Project risk as identity threat: explaining the development and consequences of risk discourse in an infrastructure project. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2015 May;33(4):877–88.

81.

Flyvbjerg B, Bruzelius N, Rothengatter W. *Megaprojects and risk: an anatomy of ambition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003.

82.

Chapman C. Key points of contention in framing assumptions for risk and uncertainty management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2006 May;24(4):303–13.

83.

Jaafari A. Management of risks, uncertainties and opportunities on projects: time for a fundamental shift. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2001 Feb;19(2):89–101.

84.

Zuo F, Zhang K. Selection of risk response actions with consideration of secondary risks. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Feb;36(2):241–54.

85.

Qazi A, Quigley J, Dickson A, Kirytopoulos K. Project Complexity and Risk Management (ProCRiM): Towards modelling project complexity driven risk paths in construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2016 Oct;34(7):1183–98.

86.

Van Os A, Van Berkel F, De Gilder D, Van Dyck C, Groenewegen P. Project risk as identity threat: explaining the development and consequences of risk discourse in an infrastructure project. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2015 May;33(4):877–88.

87.

Wang SQ, Dulaimi MF, Aguria MY. Risk management framework for construction projects in developing countries. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2004 Mar;22(3):237–52.

88.

Martin Schieg. Risk management in construction project management. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* [Internet]. 7(2):77–83. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/16111699.2006.9636126?src=recsys>

89.

David Hillson. When is a Risk not a Risk [Internet]. IPMA; Available from: <https://www.who.int/management/general/risk/WhenRiskNotRisk.pdf>

90.

David Hillson. What is risk? Towards a common definition [Internet]. Available from: <http://risk-doctor.com/pdf-files/def0402.pdf>

91.

Nicolas Bouleau. To understand risk, use your imagination | *New Scientist*. 22AD; Available from:

<https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg21028186-400-to-understand-risk-use-your-imagination/>

92.

Ward S, Chapman C. Transforming project risk management into project uncertainty management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2003 Feb;21(2):97–105.

93.

Daniel PA, Daniel C. Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Jan;36(1):184–97.

94.

Wied M, Koch-Ørvad N, Welo T, Oehmen J. Managing exploratory projects: A repertoire of approaches and their shared underpinnings. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2020 Feb;38(2):75–84.

95.

Lima PF de A, Verbano C. Project Risk Management Implementation in SMEs: A Case Study from Italy. *Journal of technology management & innovation*. 2019;14(1):3–10.

96.

Miller R, Lessard D. Understanding and managing risks in large engineering projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2001 Nov;19(8):437–43.

97.

Raftery J. *Risk analysis in project management*. 1st ed. London: E & FN Spon;

98.

Stewart RW, Fortune J. Application of systems thinking to the identification, avoidance and prevention of risk. *International Journal of Project Management*. 1995 Oct;13(5):279–86.

99.

Raydugin Y. Project risk management: essential methods for project teams and decision makers. Hoboken: Wiley; 2013.

100.

Kim Heldman , and Kim Heldman. Project Manager's Spotlight on Risk Management [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2005. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=267263>

101.

Willumsen P, Oehmen J, Stingl V, Geraldi J. Value creation through project risk management. International Journal of Project Management. 2019 Jul;37(5):731–49.

102.

Taroun A. Towards a better modelling and assessment of construction risk: Insights from a literature review. International Journal of Project Management. 2014 Jan;32(1):101–15.

103.

Ackermann F, Eden C, Williams T, Howick S. Systemic risk assessment: a case study. Journal of the Operational Research Society. 2007 Jan;58(1):39–51.

104.

Akintoye AS, MacLeod MJ. Risk analysis and management in construction. International Journal of Project Management. 1997 Feb;15(1):31–8.

105.

Xia N, Zou PXW, Griffin MA, Wang X, Zhong R. Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. International Journal of Project Management. 2018 Jul;36(5):701–15.

106.

Farooq MU, Thaheem MJ, Arshad H. Improving the risk quantification under behavioural tendencies: A tale of construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Apr;36(3):414–28.

107.

Chapman C. Project risk analysis and management—PRAM the generic process. *International Journal of Project Management*. 1997 Oct;15(5):273–81.

108.

Zhang H. A redefinition of the project risk process: Using vulnerability to open up the event-consequence link. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2007 Oct;25(7):694–701.

109.

Guo F, Chang-Richards Y, Wilkinson S, Li TC. Effects of project governance structures on the management of risks in major infrastructure projects: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2014 Jul;32(5):815–26.

110.

Raz T, Michael E. Use and benefits of tools for project risk management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2001 Jan;19(1):9–17.

111.

Maylor H. *Project management* [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5173571>

112.

Acebes F, Pajares J, Galán JM, López-Paredes A. A new approach for project control under uncertainty. Going back to the basics. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2014

Apr;32(3):423–34.

113.

Bryde D, Unterhitzenberger C, Joby R. Conditions of success for earned value analysis in projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Apr;36(3):474–84.

114.

The imperial units error that downed a multi-million-dollar Mars probe | *New Scientist*. 2AD; Available from: <https://institutions.newscientist.com/article/mg24332500-200-the-imperial-units-error-that-downed-a-multi-million-dollar-mars-probe/>

115.

Zuo F, Zhang K. Selection of risk response actions with consideration of secondary risks. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Feb;36(2):241–54.

116.

Rozenes S, Vitner G, Spraggett S. Project Control: Literature Review. *Project Management Journal*. 2006 Sep;37(4):5–14.

117.

Jones stephen. Agile and Earned Value [Internet]. Association for Project Management; Available from: <https://www.apm.org.uk/media/1190/agile-and-earned-value.pdf>

118.

✓ Milos

evic

✓ D, Martinelli RJ. Project management toolbox. Second edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2015.

119.

Maylor H. Project management [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from:
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmlex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

120.

Mirko Sokovic. Basic Quality Tools in Continuous Improvement Process. Strojnicki Vestnik [Internet]. 2009;55(5). Available from:
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299050377_Basic_Quality_Tools_in_Continuous_Improvement_Process

121.

Shenhar AJ, Dvir D, Levy O, Maltz AC. Project Success: A Multidimensional Strategic Concept. Long Range Planning. 2001 Dec;34(6):699–725.

122.

Atkinson R. Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. International Journal of Project Management. 1999 Dec;17(6):337–42.

123.

Jepsen AL, Eskerod P. Stakeholder analysis in projects: Challenges in using current guidelines in the real world. International Journal of Project Management. 2009 May;27(4):335–43.

124.

Friedman AL, Miles S. Developing Stakeholder Theory. Journal of Management Studies. 2002 Jan;39(1):1–21.

125.

Sutterfield JS, Friday-Stroud SS, Shivers-Blackwell SL. A Case Study of Project and Stakeholder Management Failures: Lessons Learned. Project Management Journal. 2006

Dec;37(5):26–35.

126.

Xia N, Zou PXW, Griffin MA, Wang X, Zhong R. Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Jul;36(5):701–15.

127.

Newcombe R. From client to project stakeholders: a stakeholder mapping approach. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2003 Dec;21(8):841–8.

128.

Jing Yang. Exploring critical success factors for stakeholder management in construction projects. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management* [Internet]. 15(4):337–48. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3846/1392-3730.2009.15.337-348?src=recsys>

129.

Karlsen JT. Project Stakeholder Management. *Engineering Management Journal*. 2002 Dec;14(4):19–24.

130.

Rowlinson S, Cheung YKF. Stakeholder management through empowerment: modelling project success. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2008 Jun;26(6):611–23.

131.

Bryson JM. What to do when Stakeholders matter. *Public Management Review*. 2004 Mar;6(1):21–53.

132.

Olander S, Landin A. A comparative study of factors affecting the external stakeholder

management process. Construction Management and Economics. 2008 Jun;26(6):553-61.

133.

Olander S. Stakeholder impact analysis in construction project management. Construction Management and Economics. 2007 Mar;25(3):277-87.

134.

LOVE PED, MANDAL P, LI H. Determining the causal structure of rework influences in construction. Construction Management and Economics. 1999 Jul;17(4):505-17.

135.

Love PED, Li H. Quantifying the causes and costs of rework in construction. Construction Management and Economics. 2000 Jun;18(4):479-90.

136.

Love PED, Edwards DJ. Forensic project management: The underlying causes of rework in construction projects. Civil Engineering and Environmental Systems. 2004 Sep;21(3):207-28.

137.

Cherns AB, Bryant DT. Studying the client's role in construction management. Construction Management and Economics. 1984 Sep;2(2):177-84.

138.

Bresnen MJ, Haslam CO. Construction industry clients: A survey of their attributes and project management practices. Construction Management and Economics. 1991 Jul;9(4):327-42.

139.

Lehtinen J, Aaltonen K. Organizing external stakeholder engagement in inter-organizational

projects: Opening the black box. International Journal of Project Management. 2020 Feb;38(2):85–98.

140.

Ijs

L, Tuominen K. Quality in project management: ISO 10006 : self-assessment work book. Turku, Finland: Benchmarking Ltd; 2005.

141.

Gunsteren LA van, Binnekamp R, Graaf RP de. Stakeholder-oriented project management: tools and concepts. Vol. v. 6. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2011.

142.

Friedman AL, Miles S. Stakeholders: theory and practice. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006.

143.

Maylor H. Project management [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from: https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmlex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

144.

Hall M. DBFO and the supply chain [Internet]. University of Bristol; 2009. Available from: https://uob-my.sharepoint.com/:b:/g/personal/eclaf_bristol_ac_uk/EWHDIFn23U1PkqdCnKbYg5sBfpmSLXYKCDKRM77UT-OORw?e=cxz6Ds

145.

Hall M, Holt R, Graves A. Private finance, public roads: configuring the supply chain in PFI highway construction. European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management. 2000 Dec;6(3-4):227–35.

146.

Cui C, Liu Y, Hope A, Wang J. Review of studies on the public-private partnerships (PPP) for infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Jul;36(5):773-94.

147.

Wang Y, Cui P, Liu J. Analysis of the risk-sharing ratio in PPP projects based on government minimum revenue guarantees. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Aug;36(6):899-909.

148.

You J, Chen Y, Wang W, Shi C. Uncertainty, opportunistic behavior, and governance in construction projects: The efficacy of contracts. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Jul;36(5):795-807.

149.

Wang Y, Cui P, Liu J. Analysis of the risk-sharing ratio in PPP projects based on government minimum revenue guarantees. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Aug;36(6):899-909.

150.

Ning Y. Impact of quality performance ambiguity on contractor's opportunistic behaviors in person-to-organization projects: The mediating roles of contract design and application. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 May;36(4):640-9.

151.

Cui C, Liu Y, Hope A, Wang J. Review of studies on the public-private partnerships (PPP) for infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Jul;36(5):773-94.

152.

Benítez-Ávila C, Hartmann A, Dewulf G, Henseler J. Interplay of relational and contractual governance in public-private partnerships: The mediating role of relational norms, trust

and partners' contribution. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Apr;36(3):429–43.

153.

Thomé AMT, Scavarda LF, Scavarda A, Thomé FES de S. Similarities and contrasts of complexity, uncertainty, risks, and resilience in supply chains and temporary multi-organization projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2016 Oct;34(7):1328–46.

154.

Davies A, Dodgson M, Gann D. Dynamic Capabilities in Complex Projects: The Case of London Heathrow Terminal 5. *Project Management Journal*. 2016 Apr;47(2):26–46.

155.

Moodley K, Smith N, Preece CN. Stakeholder matrix for ethical relationships in the construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2008 Jun;26(6):625–32.

156.

Taggart M, Koskela L, Rooke J. The role of the supply chain in the elimination and reduction of construction rework and defects: an action research approach. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2014 Aug 3;32(7–8):829–42.

157.

Taggart M, Koskela L, Rooke J. The role of the supply chain in the elimination and reduction of construction rework and defects: an action research approach. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2014 Aug 3;32(7–8):829–42.

158.

Osipova E, Eriksson PE. How procurement options influence risk management in construction projects. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2011 Nov;29(11):1149–58.

159.

Raisbeck P, Duffield C, Xu M. Comparative performance of PPPs and traditional procurement in Australia. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2010 Apr;28(4):345–59.

160.

Akintoye A. Design and build: a survey of construction contractors' views. *Construction Management and Economics*. 1994 Mar;12(2):155–63.

161.

Anumba CJ, Evbuomwan NFO. Concurrent engineering in design-build projects. *Construction Management and Economics*. 1997 May;15(3):271–81.

162.

Ling FYY, Kerh SH. Comparing the Performance of Design-Build and Design-Bid-Build Building Projects in Singapore. *Architectural Science Review*. 2004 Jun;47(2):163–75.

163.

Bresnen M, Marshall N. Partnering in construction: a critical review of issues, problems and dilemmas. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2000 Mar;18(2):229–37.

164.

LAM EWM, Chan APC, Chan DWM. Potential Problems of Running Design-build Projects in Construction. *HKIE Transactions*. 2003 Jan;10(3):8–14.

165.

Shakar Reddy, M. Raja1 rajashakarmalae@gmail.comJigeesh, Nasina2 jigeeshn@gmail.comKumar, Prabhu3 prabsjntu@yahoo.co.in. The Integration of Project Management with Supply Chain Management in Indian Pharmaceutical Projects. *The Integration of Project Management with Supply Chain Management in Indian Pharmaceutical Projects* [Internet]. 2017;14(Issue 4):61–70. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=127233938&site=ehost-live>

166.

Tang Y, Chen Y, Hua Y, Fu Y. Impacts of risk allocation on conflict negotiation costs in construction projects: Does managerial control matter? *International Journal of Project Management*. 2020 Apr;38(3):188–99.

167.

Bing L, Akintoye A, Edwards PJ, Hardcastle C. The allocation of risk in PPP/PFI construction projects in the UK. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2005 Jan;23(1):25–35.

168.

Loosemore M, McCarthy CS. Perceptions of Contractual Risk Allocation in Construction Supply Chains. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice*. 2008 Jan;134(1):95–105.

169.

Melese Y, Lumbreras S, Ramos A, Stikkelman R, Herder P. Cooperation under uncertainty: Assessing the value of risk sharing and determining the optimal risk-sharing rule for agents with pre-existing business and diverging risk attitudes. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2017 Apr;35(3):530–40.

170.

Ng A, Loosemore M. Risk allocation in the private provision of public infrastructure. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2007 Jan;25(1):66–76.

171.

Shi C, Chen Y, You J, Yao H. Asset Specificity and Contractors' Opportunistic Behavior: Moderating Roles of Contract and Trust. *Journal of Management in Engineering*. 2018 Sep;34(5).

172.

Wang W, Chen Y, Zhang S, Wang Y. Contractual Complexity in Construction Projects. *Project Management Journal*. 2018 Jun;49(3):46–61.

173.

Xiang P, Zhou J, Zhou X, Ye K. Construction Project Risk Management Based on the View of Asymmetric Information. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 2012 Nov;138(11):1303–11.

174.

Zaghloul R, Hartman F. Construction contracts: the cost of mistrust. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2003 Aug;21(6):419–24.

175.

Zhang S, Zhang S, Gao Y, Ding X. Contractual Governance: Effects of Risk Allocation on Contractors' Cooperative Behavior in Construction Projects. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 2016 Jun;142(6).

176.

Turner JR. *Contracting for Project Management*. Farnham: Ashgate Pub; 2007.

177.

Andreas Opelt, , Boris Gloger, , Wolfgang Pfarl, , and Ralf Mittermayr. *Agile Contracts : Creating and Managing Successful Projects with Scrum* [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2013. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=1191572>

178.

Wang Y. Evolution of public-private partnership models in American toll road development: Learning based on public institutions' risk management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2015 Apr;33(3):684–96.

179.

Maylor H. *Project management* [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5173571>

180.

Shore B. Systematic biases and culture in project failures. *Project Management Journal* [Internet]. 2008 Nov 25;39(4):5–16. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/pmj.20082>

181.

Flyvbjerg, Bent. Design by Deception: The Politics of Megaproject Approval. Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2238047

182.

Carton AM, Cummings JM. A Theory of Subgroups in Work Teams. *Academy of Management Review* [Internet]. 2012;37(3). Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=76609308&site=ehost-live>

183.

Drach-Zahavy A, Somech A. From an Intrateam to an Interteam Perspective of Effectiveness: The Role of Interdependence and Boundary Activities. *Small Group Research*. 2010 Apr;41(2):143–74.

184.

Phua FTT. The antecedents of co-operative behaviour among project team members: an alternative perspective on an old issue. *Construction Management and Economics*. 2004 Dec;22(10):1033–45.

185.

Brunet M. Governance-as-practice for major public infrastructure projects: A case of multilevel project governing. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2019 Feb;37(2):283–97.

186.

Bjorvatn T, Wald A. Project complexity and team-level absorptive capacity as drivers of project management performance. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Aug;36(6):876–88.

187.

Winch GM. Three domains of project organising. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2014 Jul;32(5):721–31.

188.

Aubry M, Lavoie-Tremblay M. Rethinking organizational design for managing multiple projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Jan;36(1):12–26.

189.

Bakker RM. Taking Stock of Temporary Organizational Forms: A Systematic Review and Research Agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*. 2010 Dec;12(4):466–86.

190.

Miterev M, Mancini M, Turner R. Towards a design for the project-based organization. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2017 Apr;35(3):479–91.

191.

Chizhik AW, Shelly RK, Troyer L. Intragroup Conflict and Cooperation: An Introduction. *Journal of Social Issues*. 2009 Jun;65(2):251–9.

192.

Jehn KA. A Multimethod Examination of the Benefits and Detriments of Intragroup Conflict. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 1995 Jun;40(2).

193.

Jehn KA. A Qualitative Analysis of Conflict Types and Dimensions in Organizational Groups. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 1997 Sep;42(3).

194.

Sutterfield, J. Scott¹Friday-Stroud, Shawnta S.¹Shivers-Blackwell, Sheryl L.¹. How NOT to Manage a Project: Conflict Management Lessons Learned from a DOD Case Study. *Journal of Behavioral & Applied Management* [Internet]. 2007;8(Issue 3):218–38. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=26768057&site=ehost-live>

195.

Wall JA, Callister RR. Conflict and Its Management. *Journal of Management*. 1995 Jun;21(3):515–58.

196.

Liu JYC, Chen HG, Chen CC, Sheu TS. Relationships among interpersonal conflict, requirements uncertainty, and software project performance. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2011 Jul;29(5):547–56.

197.

Arditi D, Nayak S, Damci A. Effect of organizational culture on delay in construction. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2017 Feb;35(2):136–47.

198.

Lloyd Fletcher, Sturdy A. The Subjectivity of Boundary Spanning – Composite Boundaries in Project Working. Available from: [https://research-information.bristol.ac.uk/en/publications/the-subjectivity-of-boundary-spanning--composite-boundaries-in-project-working\(7d572c51-231e-44a6-b9e4-67cca3eae718\).html](https://research-information.bristol.ac.uk/en/publications/the-subjectivity-of-boundary-spanning--composite-boundaries-in-project-working(7d572c51-231e-44a6-b9e4-67cca3eae718).html)

199.

Dibble R, Gibson CB. Crossing team boundaries: A theoretical model of team boundary permeability and a discussion of why it matters. *Human Relations*. 2018 Jul;71(7):925–50.

200.

You really can fool some of the people, all of the time. The Economist [Internet]. 31AD; Available from:
<https://www-economist-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/international/2019/10/31/you-really-can-fool-some-of-the-people-all-of-the-time>

201.

Simard M, Aubry M, Laberge D. The utopia of order versus chaos: A conceptual framework for governance, organizational design and governmentality in projects. International Journal of Project Management. 2018 Apr;36(3):460–73.

202.

Stingl V, Geraldi J. Errors, lies and misunderstandings: Systematic review on behavioural decision making in projects. International Journal of Project Management. 2017 Feb;35(2):121–35.

203.

UDDIN, SHAHADAT1 shahadat.uddin@sydney.edu.au. SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS in Project Management. SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS in Project Management [Internet]. 2017;106–13. Available from:
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=123066801&site=ehost-live>

204.

Oswald A, Köhler J, Schmitt R. Project Management at the Edge of Chaos [Internet]. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2018. Available from:
<http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-662-48261-2>

205.

Maylor H. Project management [Internet]. 4th ed. Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall; 2010. Available from:
https://bibliu.com/app/#/view/books/9781292088471/pdf2htmllex/index.html#page_Front%20Cover

206.

Augustine S, Payne B, Sencindiver F, Woodcock S. Agile project management. Communications of the ACM. 2005 Dec 1;48(12):85–9.

207.

Hodgson D, Cicmil S. The other side of projects: the case for critical project studies. International Journal of Managing Projects in Business. 2008 Jan 25;1(1):142–52.

208.

Winter M, Smith C, Morris P, Cicmil S. Directions for future research in project management: The main findings of a UK government-funded research network. International Journal of Project Management. 2006 Nov;24(8):638–49.

209.

Highsmith JA. Agile project management: creating innovative products [Internet]. Boston: Addison-Wesley; Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&jid=3C85&site=ehost-live>

210.

Swan J, Scarbrough H, Newell S. Why don't (or do) organizations learn from projects? Management Learning. 2010 Jul;41(3):325–44.

211.

Patanakul P, Kwak YH, Zwikael O, Liu M. What impacts the performance of large-scale government projects? International Journal of Project Management. 2016 Apr;34(3):452–66.

212.

Duffield SM, Whitty SJ. Application of the Systemic Lessons Learned Knowledge model for Organisational Learning through Projects. International Journal of Project Management.

2016 Oct;34(7):1280–93.

213.

Love PED, Teo P, Ackermann F, Smith J, Alexander J, Palaneeswaran E, et al. Reduce rework, improve safety: an empirical inquiry into the precursors to error in construction. *Production Planning & Control*. 2018 Apr 4;29(5):353–66.

214.

Morris P. Reconstructing Project Management Reprised: A Knowledge Perspective. *Project Management Journal*. 2013 Oct;44(5):6–23.

215.

Pinto JK, Winch G. The unsettling of "settled science:" The past and future of the management of projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2016 Feb;34(2):237–45.

216.

Cicmil S, Williams T, Thomas J, Hodgson D. Rethinking Project Management: Researching the actuality of projects. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2006 Nov;24(8):675–86.

217.

Thomas J. Problematising Project Management. In: *Making projects critical*. Basingstoke [England]: Palgrave Macmillan; 2006. p. 90–107.

218.

The National Programme for IT in the NHS: an update on the delivery of detailed care records systems - Public Accounts Committee [Internet]. House of Commons; Available from: <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmpubacc/1070/107002.htm>

219.

Ralph P. The two paradigms of software development research. *Science of Computer Programming*. 2018 May;156:68–89.

220.

Heaton KM, Skok W, Kovala S. Learning Lessons from Software Implementation Projects: An Exploratory Study. *Knowledge and Process Management*. 2016 Oct;23(4):293–306.

221.

Koskela L, Ferrentelli A, Niiranen J, Pikas E. Epistemological Explanation of Lean Construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management* [Internet]. 145(2). Available from:
<https://ascelibrary.org/doi/full/10.1061/%28ASCE%29CO.1943-7862.0001597>

222.

Daniel PA, Daniel C. Complexity, uncertainty and mental models: From a paradigm of regulation to a paradigm of emergence in project management. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2018 Jan;36(1):184–97.

223.

Adelakun O, Garcia R, Tabaka T, Ismail R. Hybrid Project Management: Agile with Discipline. In: *International Conference on Information Resources Management (CONF-IRM)* [Internet]. 5AD. Available from:
<https://aisel.aisnet.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1013&context=confirm2017>

224.

Alter R. Brexit and the moon landing from a project complexity perspective: A comparative case study. *Case Studies in Business and Management*. 2018 Oct 15;5(2).

225.

MIRZAEI, MARYAM1 Mmirzaei@unitec.ac.nzMABIN, VICTORIA2. Agile Project Management and Public Policy Development Projects: A case study from New Zealand. *Agile Project Management and Public Policy Development Projects: A case study from New Zealand* [Internet]. 2017;15(Issue 1):59–75. Available from:
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=126200163&>

amp;site=ehost-live

226.

Sanchez, Felipe¹Bonjour, Eric²Micaëlli, Jean-Pierre³Monticolo, Davy⁴. A Step for Improving THE TRANSITION BETWEEN TRADITIONAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT TO AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Using a Project Management Maturity Model. A Step for Improving THE TRANSITION BETWEEN TRADITIONAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT TO AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Using a Project Management Maturity Model [Internet]. 2019;7(Issue 1):102–19. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=137048666&site=ehost-live>

227.

HENRIKSEN, ANDRE¹ andre.henriksen@uit.no PEDERSEN, SVEN ARNE R.² sven.arne.r.pedersen@uit.no. A qualitative case study on AGILE PRACTICES AND PROJECT SUCCESS in agile software projects. A qualitative case study on AGILE PRACTICES AND PROJECT SUCCESS in agile software projects [Internet]. 2017;62–73. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=123066797&site=ehost-live>

228.

Gharaibeh, Hani¹. Improving Project Team Learning in Major Projects -- A Case Study Comparison. Improving Project Team Learning in Major Projects -- A Case Study Comparison [Internet]. 2011;9(Issue 2):1–13. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=110267984&site=ehost-live>

229.

Chen, Edward T.¹ edwardchen@uml.edu. EMERGING TRENDS IN PROJECT MANAGEMENT: EXPEDITING BUSINESS. 2015;1–13. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=116281798&site=ehost-live>

230.

Keinz P, Hienerth C, Gemünden HG, Killen CP, Sicotte H. Special issue: Managing open and user innovation by projects: Sensing, seizing and transforming. International Journal of Project Management. 2021 Feb;

231.

Project Management Institute. Agile Project Management: essentials from the Project Management Journal. [Place of publication not identified]: J. Wiley & Sons; 2013.

232.

Morris PWG. Reconstructing project management. Chicester: John Wiley & Sons; 2013.

233.

Silvius G, Schipper R, Van den Brink J. Sustainability in Project Management. Farnham: Ashgate Pub; 2012.

234.

University Of Roskilde. Project management theory meets practice. [Place of publication not identified]: Samfundslitteratur; 2015.

235.

Kerzner H. Using the project management maturity model: strategic planning for project management. Third edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2019.

236.

Rad PF, Levin G. Achieving Project Management Success Using Virtual Teams. Boca Raton: J. Ross Publishing, Incorporated; 2014.

237.

Grisham TW. International project management: leadership in complex environments. Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley; 2010.

238.

Perrin R. Real world project management: beyond conventional wisdom, best practices, and project methodologies. Hoboken, N.J.: John Wiley & Sons;

239.

Cobb CG. Making sense of agile project management: balancing control and agility. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley;

240.

Aramo-Immonen H, Vanharanta H. Project management: The task of holistic systems thinking. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing. 2009 Nov;19(6):582–600.

241.

Kim H. Pries , and Jon M. Quigley. Scrum Project Management [Internet]. Taylor & Francis Group; 2010. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=589930>

242.

John Goodpasture. Project Management the Agile Way : Making it Work in the Enterprise [Internet]. J. Ross Publishing; 2010. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=3319473>

243.

Jamie Lynn Cooke. Everything You Want to Know about Agile : How to Get Agile Results in a Less-Than-agile Organization [Internet]. IT Governance Ltd; 2012. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=918799>

244.

Peter W. G. Morris. Reconstructing Project Management [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2013. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=1152686>

245.

Atkinson R, Crawford L, Ward S. Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. International Journal of Project Management. 2006 Nov;24(8):687–98.

246.

Britain's engineering reputation goes down the tube. The Economist [Internet]. 20181208; Available from: <https://www.economist.com/britain/2018/12/08/britains-engineering-reputation-goes-down-the-tube>

247.

Britain's government tries to trim the £56bn cost of HS2. The Economist [Internet]. 20190815; Available from: <https://www.economist.com/britain/2019/08/15/britains-government-tries-to-trim-the-ps56bn-cost-of-hs2>

248.

Louise Butcher. High Speed 2 (HS2) Phase 2a [Internet]. House of Commons; Available from: <https://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN07082>

249.

HS2: 12 arguments for and against - BBC News. 24AD; Available from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/magazine-24159571>

250.

Government announces independent review into HS2 programme - GOV.UK. 21AD; Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/government-announces-independent-review-into-hs2-programme>

251.

Andrew Haylen. High Speed 2: the business case, costs and spending [Internet]. House of Commons Library; 27AD. Available from: <https://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/CBP-8601>

252.

High Speed 2 - Wikipedia [Internet]. Wikipedia; Available from:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High_Speed_2

253.

High Speed Two (HS2) Limited - GOV.UK [Internet]. UK government; Available from:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/high-speed-two-limited>

254.

House of Lords - The Economics of High Speed 2 - Economic Affairs Committee [Internet]. House of Lords; Available from:
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201415/ldselect/ldeconaf/134/13404.htm>

255.

HS2 - What is it and how can my business benefit? | GBCC [Internet]. Greater Birmingham Chambers of Commerce; Available from:
<https://www.greaterbirminghamchambers.com/research-campaigning/hot-topics/hs2>

256.

HS2 direct lines 'could bring £1.4bn of benefits' - The Transport Network [Internet]. The Transport Network; 9AD. Available from:
<https://www.transport-network.co.uk/HS2-direct-lines-could-bring-14bn-of-benefits/16147>

257.

John Stone. HS2 is the only option for Britain's railways | The Independent. 31AD; Available from:
<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/hs2-logistics-financial-benefit-controversy-a8937936.html>

258.

HS2 make or break decision looms | Railnews | Today's news for Tomorrow's railway.
21AD; Available from:
<https://www.railnews.co.uk/news/2019/08/21-hs2-make-or-break-decision.html>

259.

HS2: Review to examine costs and benefits of rail project - BBC News. 21AD; Available from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-49420332>

260.

HS2 will serve wealthier passengers and deliver more benefits to London than the North | New Economics Foundation. Available from:
<https://neweconomics.org/2019/03/hs2-will-serve-wealthier-passengers-and-deliver-more-benefits-to-london-than-the-north>

261.

High Speed 2 home page [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.hs2.org.uk/>

262.

Simon Jenkins. Boris Johnson should have the guts to kill HS2 | Simon Jenkins. Guardian [Internet]. 2019 Aug 28; Available from:
<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2019/aug/28/boris-johnson-hs2-disastrous-rail-scheme>

263.

HS2 costs and benefits: a search for clear evidence | Letters. Guardian [Internet]. 2019 Aug 25; Available from:
<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2019/aug/25/hs2-costs-and-benefits-a-search-for-clear-evidence>

264.

Lords: HS2 needs new costs and benefits assessment | International Railway Journal [Internet]. International Railway Journal; 16AD. Available from:
<https://www.railjournal.com/passenger/high-speed/lords-hs2-costs-benefits-assessment/>

265.

Munro A. HS2 railway, UK – why the country needs it. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Transport. 2018 Nov 23;1–9.

266.

Net zero: HS2 review to examine environmental benefits - Energy Live News [Internet]. Energy Live News; Available from: <https://www.energylivenews.com/2019/08/21/net-zero-hs2-review-to-examine-environmental-benefits/>

267.

Payne S. The benefits of HS2 are becoming harder to discern. Financial Times [Internet]. 26AD; Available from: <https://www.ft.com/content/6c3981be-90bd-11e8-bb8f-a6a2f7bca546>

268.

Plimmer G. Why HS2 rail line is way over budget and badly delayed. Financial Times [Internet]. 19AD; Available from: <https://www.ft.com/content/cf3ff750-d92a-11e9-8f9b-77216ebe1f17>

269.

Pros and Cons of High Speed Rail HS2 | Economics Help [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.economicshelp.org/blog/3088/economics/pros-and-cons-of-high-speed-rail-hs2/>

270.

HS2 benefits 'substantially undervalued' amid rising costs | News | Railway Gazette. 3AD; Available from: <https://www.railwaygazette.com/uk/hs2-benefits-substantially-undervalued-amid-rising-costs/54475.article>

271.

STOP HS2 - The national campaign against High Speed Rail 2 [Internet]. Available from: <http://stophs2.org/>

272.

Sweet R. HS2's moment of truth. Construction Research and Innovation. 2019 Jul 3;10(3):54–9.

273.

The Case Against HS2 - High Speed 2 Action Alliance [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.hs2actionalliance.org/case-against-hs2/>

274.

Unlocking the benefits of HS2 | Rail Engineer [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.railengineer.co.uk/2019/04/04/unlocking-the-benefits-of-hs2/>

275.

HS2 in balance as government reviews rail scheme's costs and benefits | News | Architects Journal [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.architectsjournal.co.uk/news/hs2-in-balance-as-government-reviews-rail-schemes-costs-and-benefits/10044140.article>

276.

What benefits will the HS2 Railway Network bring? – RG Group [Internet]. Available from: <https://rg-group.co.uk/what-benefits-will-the-hs2-railway-network-bring/>

277.

Why archaeologists love HS2. The Economist [Internet]. 20181201; Available from: <https://www.economist.com/britain/2018/12/01/why-archaeologists-love-hs2>

278.

House of Lords - Rethinking High Speed 2 - Economic Affairs Committee [Internet]. House of Lords; 16AD. Available from:
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201719/ldselect/ldeconaf/359/35902.htm>

279.

HS2 should happen despite rising cost, says review - BBC News [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50388738>

280.

HS2 review criticised by deputy chair of panel - BBC News [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50280270>

281.

HS2 route: How much will the rail scheme cost? - BBC News [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-16473296>

282.

HS2's ballooning budget was 'covered up' - BBC News [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-49482701>

283.

HS2 benefits hugely underestimated - BBC News [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/topics/cgdwvpvk35zt/hs2>

284.

HS2 costs out of control, says review's deputy chair - BBC News [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-50995116>

285.

Rowena Mason. Cancelling HS2 would fail new Tory voters, Boris Johnson told. Guardian [Internet]. 2020 Jan 7; Available from:

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jan/07/cancelling-hs2-would-fail-new-tory-voters-boris-johnson-told>

286.

HS2 phase 2 civils design costs rise 50% | Construction Enquirer [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.constructionenquirer.com/2019/12/20/hs2-phase-2-civils-design-cost-rises-50/>

287.

HS2 revises environmental policy document [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.endsreport.com/article/1668736/hs2-revises-environmental-policy-document>

288.

HS2 Ltd denies watering down environmental pledges - The Transport Network [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.transport-network.co.uk/HS2-Ltd-denies-watering-down-environmental-pledges/16361>

289.

HS2: Give me the facts, says Shapps - BBC News [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51171249>

290.

Christian Wolmar. HS2 is a calamity, but it would be politically toxic for Boris Johnson to scrap it | Christian Wolmar. Guardian [Internet]. 2020 Jan 21; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/jan/21/boris-johnson-hs2-scrap-invest-buses>

291.

Gwyn Topham. Can the troubled HS2 project get back on track? Guardian [Internet]. 2020 Jan 20; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jan/20/can-the-troubled-hs2-project-get-back-on-track>

292.

Gwyn Topham. HS2 costs could rise to £106bn or more, warns government review. Guardian [Internet]. 2020 Jan 20; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jan/20/hs2-costs-government-review-west-midlands-manchester-leeds>

293.

Use HS2 billions to help West Midlands and Staffordshire commuters - expert | Express & Star [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.expressandstar.com/news/transport/2020/01/27/use-hs2-billions-to-help-west-midlands-and-staffordshire-commuters-expert/>

294.

Whistleblowers say public have been 'deceived' over cost of HS2: Wessex FM - News [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.wessexfm.com/news/national/3032238/whistleblowers-say-public-have-been-deceived-over-cost-of-hs2/>

295.

HS2: Scrapping troubled rail link could cost £12bn, say reports | The Independent [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/hs2-cost-scrap-railway-london-birmingham-manchester-leeds-a9302126.html>

296.

HS2 risks misjudged from the start, says watchdog - BBC News [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51223101>

297.

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Report [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.nao.org.uk/report/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/>

298.

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Report [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.nao.org.uk/report/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/>

299.

Government underestimated HS2 "complexity and risk", says National Audit Office [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.newstatesman.com/spotlight/transport/2020/01/government-underestimated-hs2-complexity-and-risk-says-national-audit>

300.

HS2: Six reasons why the rail route is so expensive - BBC News [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51415590>

301.

Boris Johnson to repay the north by approving HS2 | News | The Times [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/boris-johnson-to-repay-north-by-approving-hs2-vwbgfjpdj>

302.

North and Midlands most opposed to HS2, survey reveals | News | Building [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.building.co.uk/news/north-and-midlands-most-opposed-to-hs2-survey-reveals/5104118.article>

303.

Oakervee review calls for HS2 Ltd to be stripped of Euston project. Financial Times [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.ft.com/content/d8399f72-4744-11ea-aeb3-955839e06441>

304.

HS2: Government to give high-speed rail line the go-ahead - BBC News [Internet]. Available

from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51443421>

305.

Kate Proctor. HS2 to be given go-ahead by government despite fierce opposition. Guardian [Internet]. 2020 Feb 11; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/feb/11/government-to-give-hs2-go-ahead-despite-fierce-opposition-boris-johnson-high-speed-rail>

306.

HS2: UK in talks with China over construction of high-speed line - BBC News [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-51512831>

307.

Jennings W. Executive Politics, Risk and the Mega-Project Paradox. In: Executive politics in times of crisis. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012. p. 239-63.

308.

Flyvbjerg B, Bruzelius N, Rothengatter W. Megaprojects and risk: an anatomy of ambition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003.

309.

HS2 and the Chinese: What now? | Comment | Building [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.building.co.uk/hs2-and-the-chinese-what-now/5104354.article>

310.

HS2 | Curzon Street landowners could claim millions after court ruling - New Civil Engineer [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.newcivilengineer.com/latest/hs2-curzon-street-landowners-could-claim-millions-after-court-ruling-18-02-2020/>

311.

HS2 'perfect example' of broken funding system, claims finance chief - New Civil Engineer [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.newcivilengineer.com/latest/hs2-perfect-example-of-broken-funding-system-claims-finance-chief-25-02-2020/>

312.

Birmingham MPs call for Boris Johnson to deliver the 'promised benefits of HS2' for Brummies - Birmingham Live. 17AD; Available from: <https://www.birminghammail.co.uk/news/midlands-news/birmingham-mps-call-boris-johnson-16929116>

313.

High Speed Two: A progress update - National Audit Office (NAO) Press release [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.nao.org.uk/press-release/high-speed-two-a-progress-update/>