

LAWDM0070: Information Technology Law (PG)

[View Online](#)

[1]

A Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace | Electronic Frontier Foundation:
<https://www.eff.org/cyberspace-independence>.

[2]

Angelopoulos, C. 2015. Sketching the outline of a ghost: the fair balance between copyright and fundamental rights in intermediary third party liability. *info*. 17, 6 (Sep. 2015), 72–96. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/info-05-2015-0028>.

[3]

Arnot, J. A. Navigating Cybersquatting Enforcement in the Expanding Internet. *John Marshall Review of Intellectual Property Law*,.

[4]

Balkin, J. M. Digital Speech and Democratic Culture: A Theory of Freedom of Expression for the Information Society. *New York University Law Review*,.

[5]

Balkin, Jack M. The Path of Robotics Law.

[6]

Barendt, E.M. 2009. Freedom of speech. Oxford University Press.

[7]

Barfield, W. and Pagallo, U. eds. 2018. Research handbook on the law of artificial intelligence. Edward Elgar Publishing.

[8]

Bernd Justin Jütte* 2016. The beginning of a (happy?) relationship: copyright and freedom of expression in Europe. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 38, (2016), 11–22.

[9]

Brown, I. and Marsden, C.T. *Regulating code: good governance and better regulation in the information age*. The MIT Press.

[10]

Bryson, J.J. et al. 2017. Of, for, and by the people: the legal lacuna of synthetic persons. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*. 25, 3 (Sep. 2017), 273–291.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10506-017-9214-9>.

[11]

Calderoni, F. 2010. The European legal framework on cybercrime: striving for an effective implementation. *Crime, Law and Social Change*. 54, 5 (Dec. 2010), 339–357.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-010-9261-6>.

[12]

Cameron, Iain A. Court of Justice Balancing data protection and law enforcement needs: Tele2 Sverige and Watson. *Common Market Law Review*. 54, 5, 1467–1495.

[13]

Cate, F.H. and Mayer-Schonberger, V. 2013. Notice and consent in a world of Big Data. *International Data Privacy Law*. 3, 2 (May 2013), 67–73.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/idpl/ipt005>.

[14]

Chesney, R.; Citron, D. Deepfakes and the New Disinformation War: The Coming Age of Post-Truth Geopolitics. *Foreign Affairs*,.

[15]

Clough, J. 2015. *Principles of Cybercrime*. Cambridge University Press.

[16]

Code of Practice on Disinformation:
<https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/code-practice-disinformation>.

[17]

Code Version 2.0: <http://codev2.cc/download+remix/Lessig-Codev2.pdf>.

[18]

Code Version 2.0: <http://codev2.cc/download+remix/Lessig-Codev2.pdf>.

[19]

Cohen, J.E. *Configuring the networked self: law, code, and the play of everyday practice*. Yale University Press.

[20]

Davies, G. 2018. Court of Appeal High Court. *The Journal of Criminal Law*. 82, 4 (Aug. 2018), 296–300. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022018318791670>.

[21]

Edwards, L. ed. 2019. *Law, policy, and the Internet*. Hart Publishing.

[22]

Edwards, L. ed. 2019. Law, policy, and the Internet. Hart Publishing.

[23]

Edwards, L. ed. 2019. Law, policy, and the Internet. Hart Publishing.

[24]

Edwards, L. ed. 2019. Law, policy, and the Internet. Hart Publishing.

[25]

Fafinski, S. 2009. Computer misuse: response, regulation, and the law. Willan Pub.

[26]

Fafinski, S. 2009. Computer misuse: response, regulation, and the law. Willan Pub.

[27]

Fafinski, S. 2008. Computer Misuse: The Implications of the Police and Justice Act 2006. The Journal of Criminal Law. 72, 1 (Feb. 2008), 53–66.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1350/jcla.2008.72.1.477>.

[28]

Ferretti, Federico Data protection and the legitimate interest of data controllers: Much ado about nothing or the winter of rights? Common Market Law Review. 51, 3, 843–868.

[29]

Geiger, C.; Izyumenko, E. The Role of Human Rights in Copyright Enforcement Online: Elaborating a Legal Framework for Website Blocking. American University International Law Review,,.

[30]

Geist, M. Fair.Com: An Examination of the Allegations of Systemic Unfairness in the ICANN UDRP. Brooklyn Journal of International Law,.

[31]

Gillespie, A.A. 2019. Cybercrime: Key Issues and Debates. Routledge.

[32]

Goldberg, D. Responding to Fake News: Is There an Alternative to Law and Regulation. Southwestern Law Review,.

[33]

Guihot, M.; Matthew, A. F.; Suzor, N. P. Nudging Robots: Innovative Solutions to Regulate Artificial Intelligence. Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment & Technology Law,.

[34]

Holstein-Childress, V. Lex Cyberus: The UDRP as a Gatekeeper to Judicial Resolution of Competing Rights to Domain Names. Penn State Law Review,.

[35]

Johnson, D.R. and Post, D. 1996. Law and Borders: The Rise of Law in Cyberspace. Stanford Law Review. 48, 5 (May 1996). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1229390>.

[36]

Klerman, D. Forum Selling and Domain-Name Disputes. Loyola University Chicago Law Journal,.

[37]

Koops, B.-J. 2014. The trouble with European data protection law. *International Data Privacy Law*. 4, 4 (Nov. 2014), 250–261. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/idpl/ipu023>.

[38]

Kouvakas, I. The Watson Case: Another Missed Opportunity for Stricto Sensu Proportionality. *Cambridge Law Review*,.

[39]

Kuner, C. 2017. Reality and Illusion in EU Data Transfer Regulation Post. *German Law Journal*. 18, 4 (Jul. 2017), 881–918. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S2071832200022197>.

[40]

Laidlaw, E.B. 2015. *Regulating Speech in Cyberspace*. Cambridge University Press.

[41]

Laidlaw, E.B. 2015. *Regulating speech in cyberspace: gatekeepers, human rights and corporate responsibility*. Cambridge University Press.

[42]

Lane, J. et al. 2014. *Privacy, big data, and the public good: frameworks for engagement*. Cambridge University Press.

[43]

Lazer, D.M.J. 2018. The science of fake news. *Science*. 359, 6380 (Mar. 2018), 1094–1096. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao2998>.

[44]

Lindsay, D. Website Blocking Injunctions to Prevent Copyright Infringements: Proportionality and Effectiveness. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*,.

[45]

Lodder, A.R. and Puck Polter 2017. ISP blocking and filtering: on the shallow justification in case law regarding effectiveness of measures. *European Journal of Law and Technology*. 8, 2 (Nov. 2017).

[46]

Lynskey, O. 2015. *The foundations of EU data protection law*. Oxford University Press.

[47]

Mac Sithigh, D. 2010. More than words: the introduction of internationalised domain names and the reform of generic top-level domains at ICANN. *International Journal of Law and Information Technology*. 18, 3 (Sep. 2010), 274–300.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ijlit/eqq007>.

[48]

Mangan, D. 2015. Regulating for responsibility: reputation and social media. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*. 29, 1 (Jan. 2015), 16–32.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13600869.2015.1008960>.

[49]

Mangan, D. and Gillies, L.E. eds. 2017. *An unwholesome layer cake: intermediary liability in English defamation and data protection law*. The Legal Challenges of Social Media.

[50]

Mantelero, A. 2014. The future of consumer data protection in the E.U. Re-thinking the "notice and consent" paradigm in the new era of predictive analytics. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 30, 6 (Dec. 2014), 643–660.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2014.09.004>.

[51]

Mayer-Schonberger, V. *Demystifying Lessig*. *Wisconsin Law Review* ,.

[52]

Mayer-Scho

..
nberger, V. and Cukier, K. 2013. Big data: a revolution that will transform how we live, work and think. John Murray.

[53]

Mayer-Schonberger, V.; Padova, Y. Regime Change: Enabling Big Data through Europe's New Data Protection Regulation. Columbia Science and Technology Law Review,,.

[54]

Michael, W. and Rebecca, S. 2014. 'Searching for the Silver Bullet: How Website Blocking Injunctions are Changing Online IP Enforcement. Australian Intellectual Property Journal. 25, (2014).

[55]

Mills, A. 2015. The law applicable to cross-border defamation on social media: whose law governs free speech in 'Facebookistan'? Journal of Media Law. 7, 1 (Jan. 2015), 1–35. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/17577632.2015.1055942>.

[56]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[57]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[58]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[59]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[60]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[61]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[62]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[63]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[64]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[65]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[66]

Murray, A. 2019. Information technology law: the law & society. Oxford University Press.

[67]

Napoli, P. M. What If More Speech Is No Longer the Solution: First Amendment Theory Meets Fake News and the Filter Bubble. *Federal Communications Law Journal*,.

[68]

Ni Loideain, N. 2015. EU Law and Mass Internet Metadata Surveillance in the Post-Snowden Era. *Media and Communication*. 3, 2 (Sep. 2015).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v3i2.297>.

[69]

Nissenbaum, H.F. 2010. *Privacy in context: technology, policy, and the integrity of social life*. Stanford Law Books.

[70]

Nissenbaum, H.F. 2010. *Privacy in context: technology, policy, and the integrity of social life*. Stanford Law Books.

[71]

Polański, P.P. 2018. Rethinking the notion of hosting in the aftermath of Delfi: Shifting from liability to responsibility? *Computer Law & Security Review*. 34, 4 (Aug. 2018), 870–880.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2018.05.034>.

[72]

Polonetsky, J.; Tene, O. Privacy and Big Data: Making Ends Meet. *Stanford Law Review Online*,.

[73]

Post, D.G. 2000. What Larry Doesn't Get: Code, Law, and Liberty in Cyberspace. *Stanford Law Review*. 52, 5 (May 2000). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1229518>.

[74]

Poullet, Y. 2018. Is the general data protection regulation the solution? *Computer Law & Security Review*. 34, 4 (Aug. 2018), 773–778.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2018.05.021>.

[75]

Powles, J. The Case That Won't Be Forgotten. *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal*,.

[76]

Raab, C. and Szekely, I. 2017. Data protection authorities and information technology. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 33, 4 (Aug. 2017), 421–433.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2017.05.002>.

[77]

Reed, C. 2018. How should we regulate artificial intelligence? *Philosophical transactions. Series A, Mathematical, physical, and engineering sciences*. 376, 2128 (Sep. 2018).

[78]

Reed, C. 2012. *Making laws for cyberspace*. Oxford University Press.

[79]

Reidenberg, J. R. *Lex Informatica: The Formulation of Information Policy Rules through Technology*. *Texas Law Review*,.

[80]

Richards, Neil M.1 (AUTHOR) 2013. THE DANGERS OF SURVEILLANCE. *Harvard Law Review* . 126, Issue 7 (2013), 1934–1965.

[81]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[82]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[83]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[84]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[85]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[86]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[87]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[88]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[89]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[90]

Rowland, D. et al. 2017. Information technology law. Routledge.

[91]

Rowland, D. and et al. eds. 2017. Information Technology Law. Routledge.

[92]

Rubinstein, I.S. 2013. Big Data: The End of Privacy or a New Beginning? International Data Privacy Law. 3, 2 (May 2013), 74–87. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/idpl/ips036>.

[93]

Ryan Calo 2015. Robotics and the Lessons of Cyberlaw. California Law Review. 103, 3 (2015).

[94]

Solove, D. J. I've Got Nothing to Hide and Other Misunderstandings of Privacy. San Diego Law Review,,.

[95]

Synodinou, T.-E. 2015. Intermediaries' liability for online copyright infringement in the EU: Evolutions and confusions. Computer Law & Security Review. 31, 1 (Feb. 2015), 57–67. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2014.11.010>.

[96]

Thompson, M. Beyond Gatekeeping: The Normative Responsibility of Internet Intermediaries. Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment & Technology Law,,.

[97]

Uniform Domain-Name Dispute-Resolution Policy - ICANN:
<https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/help/dndr/udrp-en>.

[98]

van der Sloot, B.; van Schendel, S. Ten Questions for Future Regulation of Big Data: A Comparative and Empirical Legal Study. *Journal of Intellectual Property, Information Technology and Electronic Commerce Law*,.

[99]

Veale, M. et al. 2018. When data protection by design and data subject rights clash. *International Data Privacy Law*. 8, 2 (May 2018), 105–123.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/idpl/ipy002>.

[100]

Vranaki, A. A. Learning Lessons from Cloud Investigations in Europe: Bargaining Enforcement and Multiple Centers of Regulation in Data Protection. *University of Illinois Journal of Law, Technology & Policy*,.

[101]

Vranaki, A. A. Regulating Social Networking Sites: Facebook, Online Behavioral Advertising, Data Protection Laws and Powe. *Rutgers Computer and Technology Law Journal*,.

[102]

Vranaki, A. A. Regulating Social Networking Sites: Facebook, Online Behavioral Advertising, Data Protection Laws and Powe. *Rutgers Computer and Technology Law Journal*,.

[103]

Vranaki, A.A.I. 2016. Cloud investigations by European data protection authorities: an empirical account. *Research handbook on electronic commercelaw*. J.A. Rothchild, ed.

[104]

Wachter, S.; Mittelstadt, B. A Right to Reasonable Inferences: Re-Thinking Data Protection Law in the Age of Big Data and AI. *Columbia Business Law Review*,.

[105]

Walden, I. 2016. Computer crimes and digital investigations. Oxford University Press.

[106]

A multi-dimensional approach to disinformation : report of the independent High level Group on fake news and online disinformation.

[107]

Addressing the Harm of Total Surveillance: A Reply to Professor Neil Richards - Harvard Law Review.

[108]

Artificial Intelligence Committee, AI in the UK: Ready, Willing and Able? (HL 2018 - 100).

[109]

Centre for Information Policy Leadership, 'The Central Role of Organisational Accountability in Data Protection'.

[110]

□□E Laidlaw and H Young, Internet Intermediary Liability in Defamation: Proposals For Statutory Reform: Defamation Law in the Internet Age (2017).

[111]

□□European Parliament Committee on Legal Affairs, 'Report with Recommendations to the Commission on Civil Law Rules on Robotics' (2015/2103(INL)) A8-0005/27 January 2017.

[112]

□□House of Commons Digital, Culture, Media and Sport Committee, Disinformation and 'fake news': Final Report HC 1791 Eighth Report of Session 2017–19.

[113]

Science and Technology Committee, Robotics and Artificial Intelligence (HC 2016-145).

[114]

The EU-US Privacy Shield.

[115]

UK ICO, 'Big Data, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Data Protection' (2017).

[116]

UK ICO, 'Big Data, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Data Protection' (2017).