

LAWDM0070: Information Technology Law (PG)

[View Online](#)

1.

Murray A. Information Technology Law: The Law & Society. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

2.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information Technology Law. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

3.

Edwards L, ed. Law, Policy, and the Internet. Hart Publishing; 2019.

4.

Reed C. Making Laws for Cyberspace. 1st ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

5.

Nissenbaum HF. Privacy in Context: Technology, Policy, and the Integrity of Social Life. Stanford Law Books; 2010.

6.

Cohen JE. Configuring the Networked Self: Law, Code, and the Play of Everyday Practice. Yale University Press

7.

A Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace | Electronic Frontier Foundation.
<https://www.eff.org/cyberspace-independence>

8.

Johnson DR, Post D. Law and Borders: The Rise of Law in Cyberspace. Stanford Law Review . 1996;48(5). doi:10.2307/1229390

9.

Lawrence L. Code Version 2.0. <http://codev2.cc/download+remix/Lessig-Codev2.pdf>

10.

Murray A. Information Technology Law: The Law & Society. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

11.

Vranaki, A. A. Regulating Social Networking Sites: Facebook, Online Behavioral Advertising, Data Protection Laws and Powe. Rutgers Computer and Technology Law Journal,. <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/rutcomt43&iid=1>

12.

Rowland D, et al., eds. Information Technology Law. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017. doi:10.4324/9780203798522

13.

Murray A. Information Technology Law: The Law & Society. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

14.

Vranaki, A. A. Regulating Social Networking Sites: Facebook, Online Behavioral Advertising, Data Protection Laws and Powe. Rutgers Computer and Technology Law Journal, .
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/rutcomt43&id=1>

15.

Lawrence L. Code Version 2.0. <http://codev2.cc/download+remix/Lessig-Codev2.pdf>

16.

Post DG. What Larry Doesn't Get: Code, Law, and Liberty in Cyberspace. Stanford Law Review. 2000;52(5). doi:10.2307/1229518

17.

Reidenberg, J. R. Lex Informatica: The Formulation of Information Policy Rules through Technology. Texas Law Review, .
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/tlr76&id=571>

18.

Mayer-Schonberger, V. Demystifying Lessig. Wisconsin Law Review , .
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/wlr2008&id=725>

19.

Brown I, Marsden CT. Regulating Code: Good Governance and Better Regulation in the Information Age. The MIT Press

20.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information Technology Law. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

21.

Edwards L, ed. Law, Policy, and the Internet. Hart Publishing; 2019.

22.

Murray A. Information Technology Law: The Law & Society. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

23.

The EU-US Privacy Shield.

https://iapp.org/media/pdf/resource_center/eu_us_privacy_shield_full_text.pdf.pdf

24.

Murray A. Information Technology Law: The Law & Society. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

25.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information Technology Law. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

26.

Lynskey O. The Foundations of EU Data Protection Law. First edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

27.

Poullet Y. Is the general data protection regulation the solution? Computer Law & Security Review. 2018;34(4):773-778. doi:10.1016/j.clsr.2018.05.021

28.

Centre for Information Policy Leadership, 'The Central Role of Organisational Accountability in Data Protection'.

https://www.informationpolicycentre.com/uploads/5/7/1/0/57104281/cipl_accountability_paper_1_-_the_case_for_accountability_-_how_it_enables_effective_data_protection_and_trust

_in_the_digital_society.pdf

29.

Ferretti, Federico. Data protection and the legitimate interest of data controllers: Much ado about nothing or the winter of rights? *Common Market Law Review*. 51(3):843-868.
<http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2014063>

30.

Powles, J. The Case That Won't Be Forgotten. *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal*,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/lucj47&id=1>

31.

Veale M, Binns R, Ausloos J. When data protection by design and data subject rights clash. *International Data Privacy Law*. 2018;8(2):105-123. doi:10.1093/idpl/ipy002

32.

Kuner C. Reality and Illusion in EU Data Transfer Regulation Post. *German Law Journal*. 2017;18(4):881-918. doi:10.1017/S2071832200022197

33.

Vranaki, A. A. Learning Lessons from Cloud Investigations in Europe: Bargaining Enforcement and Multiple Centers of Regulation in Data Protection. *University of Illinois Journal of Law, Technology & Policy*,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/jltp2016&id=1>

34.

Vranaki AAI. Cloud investigations by European data protection authorities: an empirical account. In: Rothchild JA, ed. *Research Handbook on Electronic Commerce Law*. ; 2016.
<https://www.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781783479917/9781783479917.00045.xml>

35.

Koops BJ. The trouble with European data protection law. *International Data Privacy Law*. 2014;4(4):250-261. doi:10.1093/idpl/ipu023

36.

Raab C, Szekely I. Data protection authorities and information technology. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2017;33(4):421-433. doi:10.1016/j.clsr.2017.05.002

37.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. *Information Technology Law*. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

38.

UK ICO, 'Big Data, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Data Protection' (2017). <https://ico.org.uk/media/for-organisations/documents/2013559/big-data-ai-ml-and-data-protection.pdf>

39.

Polonetsky, J.; Tene, O. Privacy and Big Data: Making Ends Meet. *Stanford Law Review Online*,. <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/slro66&id=25>

40.

Lane J, Stodden V, Bender S, Nissenbaum HF. *Privacy, Big Data, and the Public Good: Frameworks for Engagement*. Cambridge University Press; 2014.

41.

Rubinstein IS. Big Data: The End of Privacy or a New Beginning? *International Data Privacy Law*. 2013;3(2):74-87. doi:10.1093/idpl/ips036

42.

UK ICO, 'Big Data, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Data Protection' (2017).
<https://ico.org.uk/media/for-organisations/documents/2013559/big-data-ai-ml-and-data-protection.pdf>

43.

Nissenbaum HF. Privacy in Context: Technology, Policy, and the Integrity of Social Life. Stanford Law Books; 2010.

44.

van der Sloot, B.; van Schendel, S. Ten Questions for Future Regulation of Big Data: A Comparative and Empirical Legal Study. Journal of Intellectual Property, Information Technology and Electronic Commerce Law,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/jipitec7&id=116>

45.

Mayer-Scho

nberger V, Cukier K. Big Data: A Revolution That Will Transform How We Live, Work and Think. John Murray; 2013.

46.

Mayer-Schonberger, V.; Padova, Y. Regime Change: Enabling Big Data through Europe's New Data Protection Regulation. Columbia Science and Technology Law Review,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/cstlr17&id=1>

47.

Mantelero A. The future of consumer data protection in the E.U. Re-thinking the "notice and consent" paradigm in the new era of predictive analytics. Computer Law & Security Review. 2014;30(6):643-660. doi:10.1016/j.clsr.2014.09.004

48.

Cate FH, Mayer-Schonberger V. Notice and consent in a world of Big Data. *International Data Privacy Law*. 2013;3(2):67-73. doi:10.1093/idpl/ipt005

49.

Wachter, S.; Mittelstadt, B. A Right to Reasonable Inferences: Re-Thinking Data Protection Law in the Age of Big Data and AI. *Columbia Business Law Review*,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/colb2019&id=1>

50.

Murray A. *Information Technology Law: The Law & Society*. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

51.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. *Information Technology Law*. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

52.

A multi-dimensional approach to disinformation : report of the independent High level Group on fake news and online disinformation.
<https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/6ef4df8b-4cea-11e8-be1d-01aa75ed71a1>

53.

Code of Practice on Disinformation.
<https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/code-practice-disinformation>

54.

Napoli, P. M. What If More Speech Is No Longer the Solution: First Amendment Theory Meets Fake News and the Filter Bubble. *Federal Communications Law Journal*,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/fedcom70&id=67>

55.

Chesney, R.; Citron, D. Deepfakes and the New Disinformation War: The Coming Age of Post-Truth Geopolitics. *Foreign Affairs*,
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/fora98&id=1>

56.

Balkin, J. M. Digital Speech and Democratic Culture: A Theory of Freedom of Expression for the Information Society. *New York University Law Review*,
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/nylr79&id=1>
5

57.

Laidlaw EB. *Regulating Speech in Cyberspace: Gatekeepers, Human Rights and Corporate Responsibility*. Cambridge University Press; 2015.

58.

Barendt EM. *Freedom of Speech*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2009.

59.

Lazer DMJ. The science of fake news. *Science*. 2018;359(6380):1094-1096.
doi:10.1126/science.aao2998

60.

House of Commons Digital, Culture, Media and Sport Committee, Disinformation and 'fake news': Final Report HC 1791 Eighth Report of Session 2017-19.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201719/cmselect/cmcmds/1791/1791.pdf>

61.

Goldberg, D. Responding to Fake News: Is There an Alternative to Law and Regulation. *Southwestern Law Review*,
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/swulr47&id=1>
1

62.

Murray A. Information Technology Law: The Law & Society. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

63.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information Technology Law. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

64.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information Technology Law. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

65.

Mills A. The law applicable to cross-border defamation on social media: whose law governs free speech in 'Facebookistan'? Journal of Media Law. 2015;7(1):1-35.
doi:10.1080/17577632.2015.1055942

66.

□□E Laidlaw and H Young, Internet Intermediary Liability in Defamation: Proposals For Statutory Reform: Defamation Law in the Internet Age (2017).
<http://www.lco-cdo.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/DIA-Commissioned-Paper-Laidlaw-and-Young.pdf>

67.

Edwards L, ed. Law, Policy, and the Internet. Hart Publishing; 2019.

68.

Thompson, M. Beyond Gatekeeping: The Normative Responsibility of Internet Intermediaries. Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment & Technology Law,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/vanep18&id=807>

69.

Mangan D. Regulating for responsibility: reputation and social media. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*. 2015;29(1):16-32. doi:10.1080/13600869.2015.1008960

70.

Laidlaw EB. *Regulating Speech in Cyberspace*. Cambridge University Press; 2015. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107278721

71.

Polański PP. Rethinking the notion of hosting in the aftermath of Delfi: Shifting from liability to responsibility? *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2018;34(4):870-880. doi:10.1016/j.clsr.2018.05.034

72.

Mangan D, Gillies LE, eds. An unwholesome layer cake: intermediary liability in English defamation and data protection law. In: *The Legal Challenges of Social Media*. ; 2017. <https://www.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781785364501/9781785364501.00025.xml>

73.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. *Information Technology Law*. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

74.

Murray A. *Information Technology Law: The Law & Society*. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

75.

Fafinski S. *Computer Misuse: Response, Regulation, and the Law*. Willan Pub; 2009.

76.

Murray A. Information Technology Law: The Law & Society. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

77.

Fafinski S. Computer Misuse: Response, Regulation, and the Law. Willan Pub; 2009.

78.

Fafinski S. Computer Misuse: The Implications of the Police and Justice Act 2006. The Journal of Criminal Law. 2008;72(1):53-66. doi:10.1350/jcla.2008.72.1.477

79.

Gillespie AA. Cybercrime: Key Issues and Debates. 2nd ed. Routledge; 2019.

80.

Clough J. Principles of Cybercrime. Cambridge University Press; 2015.
doi:10.1017/CBO9781139540803

81.

Walden I. Computer Crimes and Digital Investigations. 2nd edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

82.

Calderoni F. The European legal framework on cybercrime: striving for an effective implementation. Crime, Law and Social Change. 2010;54(5):339-357.
doi:10.1007/s10611-010-9261-6

83.

Davies G. Court of Appeal High Court. The Journal of Criminal Law. 2018;82(4):296-300.
doi:10.1177/0022018318791670

84.

Murray A. Information Technology Law: The Law & Society. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

85.

Richards, Neil M.1 (AUTHOR). THE DANGERS OF SURVEILLANCE. Harvard Law Review. 2013;126(Issue 7):1934-1965.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=87598612&site=ehost-live>

86.

Addressing the Harm of Total Surveillance: A Reply to Professor Neil Richards - Harvard Law Review.
<https://harvardlawreview.org/2013/06/addressing-the-harm-of-total-surveillance-a-reply-to-professor-neil-richards/>

87.

Solove, D. J. I've Got Nothing to Hide and Other Misunderstandings of Privacy. San Diego Law Review,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/sanlr44&id=1>

88.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information Technology Law. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

89.

Ni Loideain N. EU Law and Mass Internet Metadata Surveillance in the Post-Snowden Era. Media and Communication. 2015;3(2). doi:10.17645/mac.v3i2.297

90.

Kouvakas, I. The Watson Case: Another Missed Opportunity for Stricto Sensu Proportionality. Cambridge Law Review,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/cambrilv2&id=181>

91.

Cameron, Iain. A. Court of Justice Balancing data protection and law enforcement needs: Tele2 Sverige and Watson. Common Market Law Review. 54(5):1467-1495.
<http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2017119>

92.

Edwards L, ed. Law, Policy, and the Internet. Hart Publishing; 2019.

93.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information Technology Law. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2017.

94.

Klerman, D. Forum Selling and Domain-Name Disputes. Loyola University Chicago Law Journal,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/luclj48&id=1>

95.

Mac Sithigh D. More than words: the introduction of internationalised domain names and the reform of generic top-level domains at ICANN. International Journal of Law and Information Technology. 2010;18(3):274-300. doi:10.1093/ijlit/eqq007

96.

Arnot, J. A. Navigating Cybersquatting Enforcement in the Expanding Internet. John Marshall Review of Intellectual Property Law,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/johnmars13&id=329>

97.

Uniform Domain-Name Dispute-Resolution Policy - ICANN.
<https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/help/dndr/udrp-en>

98.

Holstein-Childress, V. Lex Cyberus: The UDRP as a Gatekeeper to Judicial Resolution of Competing Rights to Domain Names. Penn State Law Review,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/dlr109&id=575>

99.

Geist, M. Fair.Com: An Examination of the Allegations of Systemic Unfairness in the ICANN UDRP. Brooklyn Journal of International Law,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/bjil27&id=915>

100.

Murray A. Information Technology Law: The Law & Society. 4th edition. Oxford University Press; 2019.

101.

Lindsay, D. Website Blocking Injunctions to Prevent Copyright Infringements: Proportionality and Effectiveness. University of New South Wales Law Journal,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/swales40&id=1528>

102.

Lodder AR, Puck Polter. ISP blocking and filtering: on the shallow justification in case law regarding effectiveness of measures. European Journal of Law and Technology. 2017;8(2).
<http://ejlt.org/article/view/517>

103.

Geiger, C.; Izyumenko, E. The Role of Human Rights in Copyright Enforcement Online: Elaborating a Legal Framework for Website Blocking. *American University International Law Review*, .
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/amuilr32&id=1>

104.

Michael W, Rebecca S. 'Searching for the Silver Bullet: How Website Blocking Injunctions are Changing Online IP Enforcement. *Australian Intellectual Property Journal*. 2014;25.

105.

Bernd Justin Jütte*. The beginning of a (happy?) relationship: copyright and freedom of expression in Europe. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2016;38:11-22.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

106.

Synodinou TE. Intermediaries' liability for online copyright infringement in the EU: Evolutions and confusions. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2015;31(1):57-67.
doi:10.1016/j.clsr.2014.11.010

107.

Angelopoulos C. Sketching the outline of a ghost: the fair balance between copyright and fundamental rights in intermediary third party liability. *info*. 2015;17(6):72-96.
doi:10.1108/info-05-2015-0028

108.

Bryson JJ, Diamantis ME, Grant TD. Of, for, and by the people: the legal lacuna of synthetic persons. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*. 2017;25(3):273-291.
doi:10.1007/s10506-017-9214-9

109.

Guihot, M.; Matthew, A. F.; Suzor, N. P. Nudging Robots: Innovative Solutions to Regulate

Artificial Intelligence. Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment & Technology Law,.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/vanep20&id=1>

110.

Reed C. How should we regulate artificial intelligence? Philosophical transactions Series A, Mathematical, physical, and engineering sciences. 2018;376(2128).
<https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/full/10.1098/rsta.2017.0360>

111.

Barfield W, Pagallo U, eds. Research Handbook on the Law of Artificial Intelligence. Edward Elgar Publishing; 2018.
<https://www.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781786439048/9781786439048.xml>

112.

European Parliament Committee on Legal Affairs, 'Report with Recommendations to the Commission on Civil Law Rules on Robotics' (2015/2103(INL)) A8-0005/27 January 2017.
http://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/A-8-2017-0005_EN.html

113.

Science and Technology Committee, Robotics and Artificial Intelligence (HC 2016-145).
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201617/cmselect/cmsstech/145/14502.htm>

114.

Artificial Intelligence Committee, AI in the UK: Ready, Willing and Able? (HL 2018 - 100).
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201719/ldselect/ldai/100/100.pdf>

115.

Ryan Calo. Robotics and the Lessons of Cyberlaw. California Law Review. 2015;103(3).
https://www.jstor.org/stable/24758483?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

116.

Balkin, Jack M. The Path of Robotics Law.

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2586570