

LAWDM0070: Information Technology Law (PG)

View Online



1.

Murray A. Information technology law: the law & society. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

2.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information technology law. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

3.

Edwards L, editor. Law, policy, and the Internet. Oxford, UK: Hart Publishing; 2019.

4.

Reed C. Making laws for cyberspace. 1st ed. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press; 2012.

5.

Nissenbaum HF. Privacy in context: technology, policy, and the integrity of social life. Stanford, Calif: Stanford Law Books; 2010.

6.

Cohen JE. Configuring the networked self: law, code, and the play of everyday practice. New Haven [Conn.]: Yale University Press;

7.

A Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace | Electronic Frontier Foundation [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.eff.org/cyberspace-independence>

8.

Johnson DR, Post D. Law and Borders: The Rise of Law in Cyberspace. Stanford Law Review. 1996 May;48(5).

9.

Lawrence L. Code Version 2.0 [Internet]. Available from: <http://codev2.cc/download+remix/Lessig-Codev2.pdf>

10.

Murray A. Information technology law: the law & society. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

11.

Vranaki, A. A. Regulating Social Networking Sites: Facebook, Online Behavioral Advertising, Data Protection Laws and Powe. Rutgers Computer and Technology Law Journal, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/rutcomt43&iid=1>

12.

Rowland D, et al., editors. Information Technology Law [Internet]. Fifth edition. Abingdon: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203798522>

13.

Murray A. Information technology law: the law & society. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

14.

Vranaki, A. A. Regulating Social Networking Sites: Facebook, Online Behavioral Advertising, Data Protection Laws and Powe. Rutgers Computer and Technology Law Journal, [Internet]. Available from:

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/rutcomt43&id=1>

15.

Lawrence L. Code Version 2.0 [Internet]. Available from:

<http://codev2.cc/download+remix/Lessig-Codev2.pdf>

16.

Post DG. What Larry Doesn't Get: Code, Law, and Liberty in Cyberspace. Stanford Law Review. 2000 May;52(5).

17.

Reidenberg, J. R. Lex Informatica: The Formulation of Information Policy Rules through Technology. Texas Law Review, [Internet]. Available from:

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/tlr76&id=571>

18.

Mayer-Schonberger, V. Demystifying Lessig. Wisconsin Law Review , [Internet]. Available from:

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/wlr2008&id=725>

19.

Brown I, Marsden CT. Regulating code: good governance and better regulation in the information age. Cambridge, Mass: The MIT Press;

20.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information technology law. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

21.

Edwards L, editor. Law, policy, and the Internet. Oxford, UK: Hart Publishing; 2019.

22.

Murray A. Information technology law: the law & society. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

23.

The EU-US Privacy Shield [Internet]. Available from:
https://iapp.org/media/pdf/resource_center/eu_us_privacy_shield_full_text.pdf.pdf

24.

Murray A. Information technology law: the law & society. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

25.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information technology law. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

26.

Lynskey O. The foundations of EU data protection law. First edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2015.

27.

Poulet Y. Is the general data protection regulation the solution? Computer Law & Security Review. 2018 Aug;34(4):773-8.

28.

Centre for Information Policy Leadership, 'The Central Role of Organisational Accountability in Data Protection' [Internet]. Available from:

https://www.informationpolicycentre.com/uploads/5/7/1/0/57104281/cipl_accountability_paper_1_-_the_case_for_accountability_-_how_it_enables_effective_data_protection_and_trust_in_the_digital_society.pdf

29.

Ferretti, Federico. Data protection and the legitimate interest of data controllers: Much ado about nothing or the winter of rights? *Common Market Law Review* [Internet].

51(3):843-68. Available from:

<http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2014063>

30.

Powles, J. The Case That Won't Be Forgotten. *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal*, [Internet]. Available from:

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/luclj47&id=1>

31.

Veale M, Binns R, Ausloos J. When data protection by design and data subject rights clash. *International Data Privacy Law*. 2018 May 1;8(2):105-23.

32.

Kuner C. Reality and Illusion in EU Data Transfer Regulation Post. *German Law Journal*. 2017 Jul 1;18(4):881-918.

33.

Vranaki, A. A. Learning Lessons from Cloud Investigations in Europe: Bargaining Enforcement and Multiple Centers of Regulation in Data Protection. *University of Illinois Journal of Law, Technology & Policy*, [Internet]. Available from:

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/jltp2016&id=1>

34.

Vranaki AAI. Cloud investigations by European data protection authorities: an empirical account. In: Rothchild JA, editor. Research handbook on electronic commerce law [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://www.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781783479917/9781783479917.00045.xml>

35.

Koops BJ. The trouble with European data protection law. *International Data Privacy Law*. 2014 Nov 1;4(4):250–61.

36.

Raab C, Szekely I. Data protection authorities and information technology. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2017 Aug;33(4):421–33.

37.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. *Information technology law*. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

38.

UK ICO, 'Big Data, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Data Protection' (2017) [Internet]. Available from: <https://ico.org.uk/media/for-organisations/documents/2013559/big-data-ai-ml-and-data-protection.pdf>

39.

Polonetsky, J.; Tene, O. Privacy and Big Data: Making Ends Meet. *Stanford Law Review Online*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/slro66&id=25>

40.

Lane J, Stodden V, Bender S, Nissenbaum HF. *Privacy, big data, and the public good: frameworks for engagement*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014.

41.

Rubinstein IS. Big Data: The End of Privacy or a New Beginning? *International Data Privacy Law*. 2013 May 1;3(2):74-87.

42.

UK ICO, 'Big Data, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Data Protection' (2017) [Internet]. Available from: <https://ico.org.uk/media/for-organisations/documents/2013559/big-data-ai-ml-and-data-protection.pdf>

43.

Nissenbaum HF. *Privacy in context: technology, policy, and the integrity of social life*. Stanford, Calif: Stanford Law Books; 2010.

44.

van der Sloot, B.; van Schendel, S. Ten Questions for Future Regulation of Big Data: A Comparative and Empirical Legal Study. *Journal of Intellectual Property, Information Technology and Electronic Commerce Law*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/jipitec7&id=116>

45.

Mayer-Scho

nberger V, Cukier K. *Big data: a revolution that will transform how we live, work and think*. London: John Murray; 2013.

46.

Mayer-Schonberger, V.; Padova, Y. Regime Change: Enabling Big Data through Europe's New Data Protection Regulation. *Columbia Science and Technology Law Review*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/cstlr17&id=1>

47.

Mantelero A. The future of consumer data protection in the E.U. Re-thinking the "notice and consent" paradigm in the new era of predictive analytics. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2014 Dec;30(6):643-60.

48.

Cate FH, Mayer-Schonberger V. Notice and consent in a world of Big Data. *International Data Privacy Law*. 2013 May 1;3(2):67-73.

49.

Wachter, S.; Mittelstadt, B. A Right to Reasonable Inferences: Re-Thinking Data Protection Law in the Age of Big Data and AI. *Columbia Business Law Review*, [Internet]. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/colb2019&id=1>

50.

Murray A. *Information technology law: the law & society*. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

51.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. *Information technology law*. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

52.

A multi-dimensional approach to disinformation : report of the independent High level Group on fake news and online disinformation. Available from:
<https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/6ef4df8b-4cea-11e8-be1d-01aa75ed71a1>

53.

Code of Practice on Disinformation [Internet]. Available from:
<https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/code-practice-disinformation>

54.

Napoli, P. M. What If More Speech Is No Longer the Solution: First Amendment Theory Meets Fake News and the Filter Bubble. *Federal Communications Law Journal*, [Internet]. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/fedcom70&id=67>

55.

Chesney, R.; Citron, D. Deepfakes and the New Disinformation War: The Coming Age of Post-Truth Geopolitics. *Foreign Affairs*, [Internet]. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/fora98&id=1>

56.

Balkin, J. M. Digital Speech and Democratic Culture: A Theory of Freedom of Expression for the Information Society. *New York University Law Review*, [Internet]. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/nylr79&id=15>

57.

Laidlaw EB. *Regulating speech in cyberspace: gatekeepers, human rights and corporate responsibility*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 2015.

58.

Barendt EM. *Freedom of speech*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

59.

Lazer DMJ. The science of fake news. *Science*. 2018 Mar 9;359(6380):1094–6.

60.

□□House of Commons Digital, Culture, Media and Sport Committee, Disinformation and 'fake news': Final Report HC 1791 Eighth Report of Session 2017–19 [Internet]. Available from: <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201719/cmselect/cmcmums/1791/1791.pdf>

61.

Goldberg, D. Responding to Fake News: Is There an Alternative to Law and Regulation. *Southwestern Law Review*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/swulr47&id=1>

62.

Murray A. *Information technology law: the law & society*. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

63.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. *Information technology law*. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

64.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. *Information technology law*. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

65.

Mills A. The law applicable to cross-border defamation on social media: whose law governs free speech in 'Facebookistan'? *Journal of Media Law*. 2015 Jan 2;7(1):1–35.

66.

□□E Laidlaw and H Young, *Internet Intermediary Liability in Defamation: Proposals For Statutory Reform: Defamation Law in the Internet Age (2017)* [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.lco-cdo.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/DIA-Commissioned-Paper-Laidlaw-and-Young.pdf>

67.

Edwards L, editor. Law, policy, and the Internet. Oxford, UK: Hart Publishing; 2019.

68.

Thompson, M. Beyond Gatekeeping: The Normative Responsibility of Internet Intermediaries. *Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment & Technology Law*, [Internet]. Available from:

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/vanep18&id=807>

69.

Mangan D. Regulating for responsibility: reputation and social media. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*. 2015 Jan 2;29(1):16–32.

70.

Laidlaw EB. *Regulating Speech in Cyberspace* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2015. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9781107278721>

71.

Polański PP. Rethinking the notion of hosting in the aftermath of Delfi: Shifting from liability to responsibility? *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2018 Aug;34(4):870–80.

72.

Mangan D, Gillies LE, editors. An unwholesome layer cake: intermediary liability in English defamation and data protection law. In: *The Legal Challenges of Social Media* [Internet]. 2017. Available from:

<https://www.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781785364501/9781785364501.00025.xml>

73.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. *Information technology law*. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

74.

Murray A. Information technology law: the law & society. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

75.

Fafinski S. Computer misuse: response, regulation, and the law. Cullompton, Devon: Willan Pub; 2009.

76.

Murray A. Information technology law: the law & society. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

77.

Fafinski S. Computer misuse: response, regulation, and the law. Cullompton, Devon: Willan Pub; 2009.

78.

Fafinski S. Computer Misuse: The Implications of the Police and Justice Act 2006. The Journal of Criminal Law. 2008 Feb;72(1):53-66.

79.

Gillespie AA. Cybercrime: Key Issues and Debates. 2nd ed. Milton: Routledge; 2019.

80.

Clough J. Principles of Cybercrime [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2015. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9781139540803>

81.

Walden I. Computer crimes and digital investigations. 2nd edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

82.

Calderoni F. The European legal framework on cybercrime: striving for an effective implementation. *Crime, Law and Social Change*. 2010 Dec;54(5):339-57.

83.

Davies G. Court of Appeal High Court. *The Journal of Criminal Law*. 2018 Aug;82(4):296-300.

84.

Murray A. Information technology law: the law & society. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

85.

Richards, Neil M.1 (AUTHOR). THE DANGERS OF SURVEILLANCE. *Harvard Law Review* [Internet]. 2013;126(Issue 7):1934-65. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=87598612&site=ehost-live>

86.

Addressing the Harm of Total Surveillance: A Reply to Professor Neil Richards - *Harvard Law Review*. Available from: <https://harvardlawreview.org/2013/06/addressing-the-harm-of-total-surveillance-a-reply-to-professor-neil-richards/>

87.

Solove, D. J. I've Got Nothing to Hide and Other Misunderstandings of Privacy. *San Diego Law Review*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/sanlr44&id=1>

88.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information technology law. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

89.

Ni Loideain N. EU Law and Mass Internet Metadata Surveillance in the Post-Snowden Era. Media and Communication. 2015 Sep 30;3(2).

90.

Kouvakas, I. The Watson Case: Another Missed Opportunity for Stricto Sensu Proportionality. Cambridge Law Review, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/cambrilv2&id=181>

91.

Cameron, Iain. A. Court of Justice Balancing data protection and law enforcement needs: Tele2 Sverige and Watson. Common Market Law Review [Internet]. 54(5):1467–95. Available from: <http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2017119>

92.

Edwards L, editor. Law, policy, and the Internet. Oxford, UK: Hart Publishing; 2019.

93.

Rowland D, Kohl U, Charlesworth A. Information technology law. Fifth edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2017.

94.

Klerman, D. Forum Selling and Domain-Name Disputes. Loyola University Chicago Law Journal, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/luclj48&id=1>

95.

Mac Sithigh D. More than words: the introduction of internationalised domain names and the reform of generic top-level domains at ICANN. *International Journal of Law and Information Technology*. 2010 Sep 1;18(3):274-300.

96.

Arnot, J. A. Navigating Cybersquatting Enforcement in the Expanding Internet. *John Marshall Review of Intellectual Property Law*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/johnmars13&id=329>

97.

Uniform Domain-Name Dispute-Resolution Policy - ICANN [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/help/dndr/udrp-en>

98.

Holstein-Childress, V. Lex Cyberus: The UDRP as a Gatekeeper to Judicial Resolution of Competing Rights to Domain Names. *Penn State Law Review*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/dlr109&id=575>

99.

Geist, M. Fair.Com: An Examination of the Allegations of Systemic Unfairness in the ICANN UDRP. *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/bjil27&id=915>

100.

Murray A. *Information technology law: the law & society*. 4th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

101.

Lindsay, D. Website Blocking Injunctions to Prevent Copyright Infringements: Proportionality and Effectiveness. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/swales40&id=1528>

102.

Lodder AR, Puck Polter. ISP blocking and filtering: on the shallow justification in case law regarding effectiveness of measures. *European Journal of Law and Technology* [Internet]. 2017 Nov 23;8(2). Available from: <http://ejlt.org/article/view/517>

103.

Geiger, C.; Izyumenko, E. The Role of Human Rights in Copyright Enforcement Online: Elaborating a Legal Framework for Website Blocking. *American University International Law Review*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/amuilr32&id=1>

104.

Michael W, Rebecca S. 'Searching for the Silver Bullet: How Website Blocking Injunctions are Changing Online IP Enforcement. *Australian Intellectual Property Journal*. 2014;25.

105.

Bernd Justin Jütte*. The beginning of a (happy?) relationship: copyright and freedom of expression in Europe. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2016;38:11-22. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

106.

Synodinou TE. Intermediaries' liability for online copyright infringement in the EU: Evolutions and confusions. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2015 Feb;31(1):57-67.

107.

Angelopoulos C. Sketching the outline of a ghost: the fair balance between copyright and fundamental rights in intermediary third party liability. *info*. 2015 Sep 14;17(6):72-96.

108.

Bryson JJ, Diamantis ME, Grant TD. Of, for, and by the people: the legal lacuna of synthetic persons. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*. 2017 Sep;25(3):273-91.

109.

Guihot, M.; Matthew, A. F.; Suzor, N. P. Nudging Robots: Innovative Solutions to Regulate Artificial Intelligence. *Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment & Technology Law*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/vanep20&id=1>

110.

Reed C. How should we regulate artificial intelligence? *Philosophical transactions Series A, Mathematical, physical, and engineering sciences* [Internet]. 2018 Sep 13;376(2128). Available from: <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/full/10.1098/rsta.2017.0360>

111.

Barfield W, Pagallo U, editors. *Research handbook on the law of artificial intelligence* [Internet]. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing; 2018. Available from: <https://www.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781786439048/9781786439048.xml>

112.

European Parliament Committee on Legal Affairs, 'Report with Recommendations to the Commission on Civil Law Rules on Robotics' (2015/2103(INL)) A8-0005/27 January 2017 [Internet]. Available from: http://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/A-8-2017-0005_EN.html

113.

Science and Technology Committee, *Robotics and Artificial Intelligence* (HC 2016-145) [Internet]. Available from: <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201617/cmselect/cmsctech/145/14502.htm>

114.

Artificial Intelligence Committee, AI in the UK: Ready, Willing and Able? (HL 2018 - 100) [Internet]. Available from:
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201719/ldselect/ldai/100/100.pdf>

115.

Ryan Calo. Robotics and the Lessons of Cyberlaw. California Law Review [Internet]. 2015;103(3). Available from:
https://www.jstor.org/stable/24758483?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

116.

Balkin, Jack M. The Path of Robotics Law. Available from:
https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2586570