

POLI22202: Contemporary Political Theory

[View Online](#)

1.

Welcome – Blackboard Learn.

https://www.ole.bris.ac.uk/webapps/portal/execute/tabs/tabAction?tab_tab_group_id=_17_1

2.

POLI22202 Unit Summary.

<https://www.bris.ac.uk/unit-programme-catalogue/UnitDetails.jsa?ayrCode=19%2F20&unitCode=POLI22202>

3.

Philosophy & Public Affairs. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10884963>

4.

Political Theory. <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/ptx>

5.

Ethics. <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/loi/et>

6.

Political Studies. <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/psx>

7.

Politics, Philosophy & Economics. <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/ppe>

8.

Contemporary Political Theory. <https://link.springer.com/journal/volumesAndIssues/41296>

9.

Journal of Political Philosophy. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/14679760>

10.

Social Philosophy and Policy.

<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/social-philosophy-and-policy/all-issues>

11.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. <https://plato.stanford.edu/>

12.

Stilz A. 'Why Does the State Matter Morally?'.. In: Varieties of Sovereignty and Citizenship. University of Pennsylvania Press; 2012:244-264.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=3441798&ppg=253>

13.

Simmons J. The Problem and Its Significance. In: Is There a Duty to Obey the Law?. Cambridge University Press; 2005:93-101. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511809286.006

14.

Simmons J. The Theories. In: Is There a Duty to Obey the Law?. Cambridge University Press; 2005:102-120. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511809286.007

15.

Simmons, A. John. The Principle of Fair Play. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 8(4):307-337.
https://www.jstor.org/stable/2265067?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

16.

Wolff RP. The Conflict between Authority and Autonomy. In: *In Defense of Anarchism*. Vol Harper essays in philosophy. Harper Torchbooks; 1976:20-31.

17.

Hampton J. *Political Philosophy*. Westview Press; 1997.

18.

Raz J. *The Morality of Freedom*. Clarendon; 1986.
<https://bris.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0198248075.001.0001>

19.

Raz J. The Obligation to Obey: Revision and Tradition. In: *Ethics in the Public Domain: Essays in the Morality of Law and Politics*. Clarendon Press; 1994.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198260691.001.0001/acprof-9780198260691-chapter-15>

20.

Rousseau JJ. Book I, chapters 6-8. In: *Discours Sur l'Oeconomie Politique; Du Contrat Social: Discourse on Political Economy and the Social Contract*. Oxford University Press; 1999.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=737317&ppg=86>

21.

Huemer M. *The Problem of Political Authority: An Examination of the Right to Coerce and the Duty to Obey*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

22.

Morris CW. An Essay on the Modern State. Cambridge University Press; 1998.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/an-essay-on-the-modern-state/8489C389B73031C1514B05AF9300B03E>

23.

Scott JC. Two Cheers for Anarchism: Six Easy Pieces on Autonomy, Dignity, and Meaningful Work and Play. Princeton University Press; 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1040747>

24.

Simmons AJ. Moral Principles and Political Obligations. Princeton University Press; 1979.

25.

Stilz A. Liberal Loyalty: Freedom, Obligation, and the State. Princeton University Press; 2009. <https://bris.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt7rj1r>

26.

Stiltz A. Robert Paul Wolff, In Defense of Anarchism. In: Levy JT, ed. The Oxford Handbook of Classics in Contemporary Political Theory. ; 2016.
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198717133.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780198717133-e-7>

27.

Renzo M. Political Authority and Unjust Wars. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*. 2019;99(2):336-357. doi:10.1111/phpr.12487

28.

Brennan J. The Right to a Competent Electorate. *The Philosophical Quarterly*. 2011;61(245):700-724. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9213.2011.699.x

29.

Anderson E. Democracy: Intrinsic vs Instrumental value. In: Contemporary Debates in Political Philosophy. Vol 11. Wiley-Blackwell; 2009:213-227.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=428114&ppg=1>

30.

Christiano T. The Authority of Democracy*. Journal of Political Philosophy. 2004;12(3):266-290. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2004.00200.x

31.

Anderson E. Democracy Intrinsic and instrumental value. In: Contemporary Debates in Political Philosophy. Vol 11. Wiley-Blackwell; 2009:213-228.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=428114>

32.

Kolodny N. Rule Over None II: Social Equality and the Justification of Democracy. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 2014;42(4):287-336. doi:10.1111/papa.12037

33.

Abizadeh A. On the Demos and Its Kin: Nationalism, Democracy, and the Boundary Problem. American Political Science Review. 2012;106(04):867-882.
doi:10.1017/S0003055412000421

34.

Anderson, E. Democracy: Instrumental versus Non-Instrumental Value. In: Contemporary Debates in Political Philosophy. Vol 11. Wiley-Blackwell; 2009.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=428114&ppg=1>

35.

Anderson E. The Epistemology of Democracy. *Episteme*. 2006;3(1-2):8-22.
doi:10.3366/epi.2006.3.1-2.8

36.

Arneson RJ. Democracy is not Intrinsically Just. In: *Justice and Democracy: Essays for Brian Barry*. Cambridge University Press; 2004:40-58.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/justice-and-democracy/democracy-is-not-intrinsically-just/16D69C089CC31609D7177DF8019E99D0>

37.

Arneson RJ. Defending the Purely Instrumental Account of Democratic Legitimacy. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2003;11(1):122-132. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00170

38.

Brennan J. Polluting The Polls: When Citizens Should Not Vote. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*. 2009;87(4):535-549. doi:10.1080/00048400802587309

39.

Christiano T. Democracy as Equality. In: *Democracy*. Vol Blackwell readings in philosophy. Blackwell; 2002:31-50.

40.

Dworkin R. *Sovereign Virtue: The Theory and Practice of Equality*. Harvard University Press; 2000.

41.

Estlund DM. *Democratic Authority: A Philosophical Framework*. Princeton University Press; 2008.

42.

Kolodny N. Rule Over None I: What Justifies Democracy? *Philosophy & Public Affairs*.

2014;42(3):195-229. doi:10.1111/papa.12035

43.

Saunders B. Democracy, Political Equality and Majority Rule. *Ethics*. 2010;121(1):148-177. <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/full/10.1086/656474>

44.

Abizadeh A. Democratic Theory and Border Coercion: No Right to Unilaterally Control Your Own Borders. *Political Theory*. 2008;36(1):37-65. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20452610>

45.

Song S. The boundary problem in democratic theory: why the demos should be bounded by the state. *International Theory*. 2012;4(01):39-68. doi:10.1017/S1752971911000248

46.

Lopez-Guerra C. Should Expatriates Vote?*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2005;13(2):216-234. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2005.00221.x

47.

Goodin RE. Enfranchising All Affected Interests, and Its Alternatives. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2007;35(1):40-68. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2007.00098.x

48.

Whelan FG. Democratic Theory and the Boundary Problem. In: Liberal Democracy (Nomos XXV). New York University Press; 1983.

49.

Miller D. Democracy's Domain. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2009;37(3):201-228. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2009.01158.x

50.

Näsström S. The Challenge of the All-Affected Principle. *Political Studies*. 2011;59(1):116-134. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2010.00845.x

51.

Kolers A. The Territorial State in Cosmopolitan Justice. *Social Theory & Practice*. 2002;28(1):29-50.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=rlh&AN=6876301&site=ehost-live>

52.

Buchanan A. The Morality of Inclusion. *Social Philosophy and Policy*. 1993;10(02):233-257. doi:10.1017/S0265052500004210

53.

Mann M. The Dark Side of Democracy: The Modern Tradition of Ethnic and Political Cleansing. *New Left Review*. 1999;235.
<https://newleftreview.org/I/235/michael-mann-the-dark-side-of-democracy-the-modern-tradition-of-ethnic-and-political-cleansing>

54.

Owen D. Constituting the polity, constituting the demos: on the place of the all affected interests principle in democratic theory and in resolving the democratic boundary problem. *Ethics & Global Politics*. 2012;5(3):129-152. doi:10.3402/egp.v5i3.18617

55.

Dahl RA. *Democracy and Its Critics*. Yale University Press; 1989.

56.

Lopez-Guerra C. Should Expatriates Vote?*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2005;13(2):216-234. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2005.00221.x

57.

Owen D. Resident Aliens, Non-resident Citizens and Voting Rights. In: Citizenship Acquisition and National Belonging: Migration, Membership and the Liberal Democratic State. Palgrave Macmillan; 2010:52-73.

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.bris.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780230246775>

58.

Owen D. Transnational citizenship and the democratic state: modes of membership and voting rights. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*. 2011;14(5):641-663. doi:10.1080/13698230.2011.617123

59.

Waldron J. *The Harm in Hate Speech*. Vol 2009. Harvard University Press; 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=3301269>

60.

Dworkin, R. Foreword. In: *Extreme Speech and Democracy*. Oxford University Press; 2009.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199548781.001.0001/acprof-9780199548781-miscMatter-4>

61.

Brison SJ. The Autonomy Defense of Free Speech. *Ethics*. 1998;108(2):312-339.
doi:10.1086/233807

62.

Braddon-Mitchell D, West C. What is Free Speech? *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2004;12(4):437-460. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2004.00208.x

63.

Dworkin R. Two Concepts of Liberty. In: Ullmann-Margalit E, Margalit A, eds. *Isaiah Berlin: A Celebration*. University of Chicago Press; 1991.

64.

Horton J. The Satanic Verses Controversy: A Brief Introduction. In: *Liberalism, Multiculturalism and Toleration*. Macmillan; 1993.

65.

Jones P. Respecting Beliefs and Rebuking Rushdie. In: Horton J, Nicholson PP, eds. *Toleration: Philosophy and Practice*. Avebury; 1992.

66.

Mckinnon C. Should We Tolerate Holocaust Denial? *Res Publica*. 2007;13(1):9-28.
doi:10.1007/s11158-006-9013-8

67.

MacKinnon CA. *Feminism Unmodified: Discourses on Life and Law*. Harvard University Press; 1987.

68.

Miles JK. *Social Theory & Practice*. 2012;38(2):213-230.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=rlh&AN=75500645&am p;site=ehost-live>

69.

Mill JS, Little B. *On Liberty*. John W. Parker; 1859.

70.

Scanlon TM. A Theory of Freedom of Expression. In: *The Difficulty of Tolerance: Essays in Political Philosophy*. Cambridge University Press; 2003.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/difficulty-of-tolerance/theory-of-freedom-of-express>

ion/3F20BF32C62FC6F810ED20ADF9CE0065

71.

Waldron J. Rushdie and Religion. In: Liberal Rights: Collected Papers, 1981-1991. Cambridge Universtiy Press; 1993:134-143.

72.

West C. The Free Speech Argument against Pornography. Canadian Journal of Philosophy. 2003;33(3):391-422. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40232191>

73.

Smith W. Democracy, Deliberation and Disobedience. Res Publica. 2004;10(4):353-377. doi:10.1007/s11158-004-2327-5

74.

Delmas C. Political Resistance: A Matter of Fairness. Law and Philosophy. 2014;33(4):465-488. doi:10.1007/s10982-013-9189-y

75.

Delmas C. Disobedience, Civil and Otherwise. Criminal Law and Philosophy. 2017;11(1):195-211. doi:10.1007/s11572-014-9347-9

76.

Brownlee K. Features of a Paradigm Case of Civil Disobedience. Res Publica. 2004;10(4):337-351. doi:10.1007/s11158-004-2326-6

77.

Brownlee K. Conscience and Conviction: The Case for Civil Disobedience. Oxford University Press; 2012.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199592944.001.0001/acprof-9780199592944>

78.

Celikates R. Democratizing civil disobedience. *Philosophy & Social Criticism*. 2016;42(10):982-994. doi:10.1177/0191453716638562

79.

Lefkowitz D. In Defense of Penalizing (but not Punishing) Civil Disobedience. *Res Publica*. Published online 4 May 2017. doi:10.1007/s11158-017-9362-5

80.

David Lyons. Moral Judgment, Historical Reality, and Civil Disobedience. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 1998;27(1):31-49. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2672840>

81.

Weinstock D. How Democratic is Civil Disobedience? *Criminal Law and Philosophy*. 2016;10(4):707-720. doi:10.1007/s11572-015-9367-0

82.

Rawls J. *A Theory of Justice*. Clarendon Press; 1972.

83.

Rawls J. Justice as fairness. In: *A Theory of Justice*. Rev. ed. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 1999:3-46.

84.

G. A. Cohen. Where the Action is: On the Site of Distributive Justice. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 1997;26(1).
https://www.jstor.org/stable/2961909?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

85.

Parfit D, Scanlon T. The Tanner Lectures on Human Values at UC Berkeley - Lecture Three: Contractualism. http://www.language.berkeley.edu/SA_MP3files/Tanner/1836_3.mp3

86.

Freeman SR. The Original Position. In: Rawls. Vol Routledge philosophers. Routledge; 2007:141-198.

87.

Arneson RJ. Against Rawlsian Equality of Opportunity. *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition*. 1999;93(1):77-112. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4320904>

88.

Freeman S. ntroduction. In: The Cambridge Companion to Rawls. Cambridge University Press; 2003. doi:10.1017/CCOL0521651670.001

89.

Hampton J. Contracts and Choices: Does Rawls Have a Social Contract Theory? *The Journal of Philosophy*. 1980;77(6). doi:10.2307/2025640

90.

Harsanyi JC. The Maximin Principle. In: Farrelly CP, ed. *Contemporary Political Theory: A Reader*. SAGE; 2004:22-31.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.bris.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781848605411>

91.

Maffettone S. *Rawls: An Introduction*. Polity; 2010.

92.

Mandle J. Rawls's A Theory of Justice: An Introduction. Cambridge University Press; 2009.

93.

Mandle J. What's Left of Liberalism?: An Interpretation and Defense of Justice as Fairness. Lexington; 2000.

94.

Taylor RS. Rawls's Defense of the Priority of Liberty: A Kantian Reconstruction. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2003;31(3):246-271. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3558006>

95.

Anderson ES. What Is the Point of Equality? *Ethics*. 1999;109(2):287-337.
doi:10.1086/233897

96.

Ronald Dworkin. What is Equality? Part 2: Equality of Resources. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 1981;10(4).
https://www.jstor.org/stable/2265047?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

97.

Cohen GA. Self-Ownership, Freedom, and Equality. Cambridge University Press; 1995.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/selfownership-freedom-and-equality/26B021AEDD3ECE97D388586C27C90D18>

98.

Ryan CC. Yours, Mine, and Ours: Property Rights and Individual Liberty. *Ethics*. 1977;87(2):126-141. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2380061>

99.

Friedman MD. Nozick's Libertarian Project: An Elaboration and Defense. Bloomsbury

Academic; 2012.

100.

Mack E. Self-ownership, Marxism, and Egalitarianism. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics*. 2002;1(2):237-276. doi:10.1177/1470594X02001002004

101.

Mack E. Libertarianism. In: Klosko G, ed. *The Oxford Handbook of the History of Political Philosophy*. ; 2011.
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199238804.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199238804-e-41>

102.

Mack E. Self-ownership, Marxism, and Egalitarianism. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics*. 2002;1(1):75-108. doi:10.1177/1470594X02001001004

103.

Tomasi J. *Free Market Fairness*. Princeton University Press; 2013.
<http://princeton.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.23943/princeton/9780691144467.001.0001/upso-9780691144467>

104.

Steiner H. The Natural Right to the Means of Production. *The Philosophical Quarterly*. 1977;27(106). doi:10.2307/2218927

105.

Vallentyne P. Libertarianism and the State. *Social Philosophy and Policy*. 2007;24(01). doi:10.1017/S0265052507070082

106.

Stemplowska Z. Rescuing Luck Egalitarianism. *Journal of Social Philosophy*.

2013;44(4):402-419. doi:10.1111/josp.12039

107.

Lazenby H. One Kiss Too Many? Giving, Luck Egalitarianism and Other-affecting Choice*. Journal of Political Philosophy. Published online April 2009.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2009.00335.x

108.

Tan KC. A Defense of Luck Egalitarianism. The Journal of Philosophy.
2008;105(11):665-690. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20620136>

109.

Otsuka M. Luck, Insurance, and Equality. Ethics. 2002;113(1):40-54. doi:10.1086/341322

110.

Dworkin R. Sovereign Virtue: The Theory and Practice of Equality. Harvard University Press; 2000.

111.

Stemplowska Z. Global Poverty. In: McKinnon C, Jubb R, Tomlin P, eds. Issues in Political Theory. Fourth Edition. Oxford University Press; 2019:199-219.

112.

Zwolinski M. Sweatshops, Choice, and Exploitation. Business Ethics Quarterly.
2007;17(4):689-727. doi:10.5840/beq20071745

113.

Caney S. Justice beyond Borders: A Global Political Theory. Oxford University Press; 2005.

114.

Gilabert P. The Duty to Eradicate Global Poverty: Positive or Negative? Ethical Theory and Moral Practice. 2005;7(5):537-550. doi:10.1007/s10677-005-6489-9

115.

Daskal S. Confining Pogge's Analysis of Global Poverty to Genuinely Negative Duties. Ethical Theory and Moral Practice. 2013;16(2):369-391. doi:10.1007/s10677-012-9349-4

116.

Gauri V, Sonderholm J. Global poverty: four normative positions. Journal of Global Ethics. 2012;8(2-3):193-213. doi:10.1080/17449626.2012.705787

117.

Christiano T, Christman JP. Distributive Justice at Home and Abroad. In: Contemporary Debates in Political Philosophy. Vol 11. Wiley-Blackwell; 2009.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=428114>

118.

Schweiger G. Recognition theory and global poverty. Journal of Global Ethics. 2014;10(3):267-273. doi:10.1080/17449626.2014.969439

119.

Liberto H. Exploitation and the Vulnerability Clause. Ethical Theory and Moral Practice. 2014;17(4):619-629. doi:10.1007/s10677-014-9494-z

120.

Wellman CH. Immigration and Freedom of Association. Ethics. 2008;119(1):109-141. doi:10.1086/592311

121.

Bertram C. Justifying a Migration Regime from an impartial perspective. In: Do States Have the Right to Exclude Immigrants?. Polity Press; 2018:29-63.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=5439385&ppg=29>

122.

Abizadeh A. Democratic Legitimacy and State Coercion: A Reply to David Miller. *Political Theory*. 2010;38(1):121-130. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25655537>

123.

Fine S. The Ethics of Immigration: Self-Determination and the Right to Exclude. *Philosophy Compass*. 2013;8(3):254-268. doi:10.1111/phc3.12019

124.

Wellman CH, Cole P. Debating the Ethics of Immigration: Is There a Right to Exclude? Oxford University Press; 2011.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=800832>

125.

Carens JH. The Ethics of Immigration. Oxford University Press; 2013.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1336461>

126.

Huemer M. Is There a Right to Immigrate? *Social Theory & Practice*. 2010;36(3):429-461.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=rlh&AN=52811108&am;p;site=ehost-live>

127.

Oberman K. Immigration as a Human Right. In: Fine S, Ypi L, eds. *Migration in Political Theory: The Ethics of Movement and Membership*. Oxford University Press; 2016. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199676606.003.0003

128.

Miller D. Is there a Human Right to Immigrate? In: Fine S, Ypi L, eds. *Migration in Political Theory: The Ethics of Movement and Membership*. Oxford University Press; 2016. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199676606.001.0001

129.

Miller D. Why Immigration Controls Are Not Coercive: A Reply to Arash Abizadeh. *Political Theory*. 2010;38(1):111-120. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25655536>

130.

Okin SM. Justice and Gender. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 1987;16(1):42-72. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2265205>

131.

Brighouse H, Olin Wright E. Strong Gender Egalitarianism. *Politics & Society*. 2008;36(3):360-372. doi:10.1177/0032329208320566

132.

Schouten G. Restricting Justice: Political Interventions in the Home and in the Market. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2013;41(4):357-388. doi:10.1111/papa.12022

133.

Baehr AR. Perfectionism, Feminism and Public Reason. *Law and Philosophy*. 2008;27(2):193-222. doi:10.1007/s10982-007-9017-3

134.

Chambers C. *Sex, Culture, and Justice: The Limits of Choice*. Pennsylvania State University Press; 2008.

135.

Chambers C. Gender. In: Issues in Political Theory. Oxford University Press; 2008:265-288.
<https://www.oxfordpoliticstrove.com.bris.idm.oclc.org/view/10.1093/hepl/9780198784067.001.0001/hepl-9780198784067>

136.

Cohen GA. Where the Action Is: On the Site of Distributive Justice. *Philosophy Public Affairs*. 1997;26(1):3-30. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.1997.tb00048.x

137.

Brake E. Rawls and Feminism: What Should Feminists Make of Liberal Neutrality? *Journal of Moral Philosophy*. 2004;1(3):293-309. doi:10.1177/174046810400100305

138.

Schouten G. Citizenship, reciprocity, and the gendered division of labor. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics*. 2017;16(2):174-209. doi:10.1177/1470594X15600830

139.

Satz D. Gender. In: Estlund D, ed. *The Oxford Handbook of Political Philosophy*. ; 2012. <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195376692.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195376692-e-19>

140.

Okin SM. 'Forty acres and a mule' for women: Rawls and feminism. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics*. 2005;4(2):233-248. doi:10.1177/1470594X05052540

141.

Swift A. Justice, Luck and the family. In: Bowles S, Gintis H, Osborne Groves M, eds. *Unequal Chances: Family Background and Economic Success*. Russell Sage Foundation; 2005:256-276.

142.

Okin SM, Howard M. Is Multiculturalism Bad for Women? (Cohen J, Nussbaum MC, eds.). Princeton University Press; 1999.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5542046>

143.

Rawls J. The Idea of Public Reason Revisited. *The University of Chicago Law Review*. 1997;64(3). doi:10.2307/1600311

144.

Simmons J. The Theories. In: Is There a Duty to Obey the Law?. Cambridge University Press; 2005:102-120. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511809286.007