

POLI22202: Contemporary Political Theory

View Online



1

Welcome – Blackboard Learn.

https://www.ole.bris.ac.uk/webapps/portal/execute/tabs/tabAction?tab_tab_group_id=_17_1

2

POLI22202 Unit Summary.

<https://www.bris.ac.uk/unit-programme-catalogue/UnitDetails.jsa?ayrCode=19%2F20&unitCode=POLI22202>

3

Philosophy & Public Affairs. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10884963>

4

Political Theory. <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/ptx>

5

Ethics. <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/loi/et>

6

Political Studies. <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/psx>

7

Politics, Philosophy & Economics. <http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/ppe>

8

Contemporary Political Theory. <https://link.springer.com/journal/volumesAndIssues/41296>

9

Journal of Political Philosophy. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/14679760>

10

Social Philosophy and Policy.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/social-philosophy-and-policy/all-issues>

11

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. <https://plato.stanford.edu/>

12

Stilz A. 'Why Does the State Matter Morally?', In: Varieties of Sovereignty and Citizenship. University of Pennsylvania Press 2012. 244-64. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=3441798&ppg=253>

13

Simmons J. The Problem and Its Significance. In: Is There a Duty to Obey the Law? Cambridge University Press 2005. 93-101. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511809286.006

14

Simmons J. The Theories. In: Is There a Duty to Obey the Law? Cambridge University Press 2005. 102-20. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511809286.007

15

Simmons, A. John. The Principle of Fair Play. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*; **8**:307-37. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2265067?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

16

Wolff RP. The Conflict between Authority and Autonomy. In: *In defense of anarchism*. New York: : Harper Torchbooks 1976. 20-31.

17

Hampton J. *Political philosophy*. Boulder, Colo: : Westview Press 1997.

18

Raz J. *The morality of freedom*. Oxford: : Clarendon 1986.
<https://bris.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0198248075.001.0001>

19

Raz J. The Obligation to Obey: Revision and Tradition. In: *Ethics in the public domain: essays in the morality of law and politics*. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1994.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198260691.001.0001/acprof-9780198260691-chapter-15>

20

Rousseau J-J. Book I, chapters 6-8. In: *Discours Sur l'Oeconomie Politique; Du Contrat Social: Discourse on Political Economy and the Social Contract*. Oxford University Press 1999.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=737317&ppg=86>

21

Huemer M. *The problem of political authority: an examination of the right to coerce and the duty to obey*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

22

Morris CW. *An essay on the modern state*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/an-essay-on-the-modern-state/8489C389B73031C1514B05AF9300B03E>

23

Scott JC. *Two cheers for anarchism: six easy pieces on autonomy, dignity, and meaningful work and play*. Princeton: : Princeton University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1040747>

24

Simmons AJ. *Moral principles and political obligations*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1979.

25

Stilz A. *Liberal loyalty: freedom, obligation, and the state*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 2009.
<https://bris.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt7rj1r>

26

Stiltz A. Robert Paul Wolff, In Defense of Anarchism. In: Levy JT, ed. *The Oxford Handbook of Classics in Contemporary Political Theory*. 2016.
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198717133.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780198717133-e-7>

27

Renzo M. *Political Authority and Unjust Wars*. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 2019;**99**:336–57. doi:10.1111/phpr.12487

28

Brennan J. *The Right to a Competent Electorate*. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 2011;**61**

:700–24. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9213.2011.699.x

29

Anderson E. Democracy: Intrinsic vs Instrumental value. In: Contemporary debates in political philosophy. Chichester, U.K.: : Wiley-Blackwell 2009. 213–27. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=428114&pg=1>

30

Christiano T. The Authority of Democracy*. Journal of Political Philosophy 2004;**12**:266–90. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2004.00200.x

31

Anderson E. Democracy Intrinsic and instrumental value. In: Contemporary debates in political philosophy. Chichester, U.K.: : Wiley-Blackwell 2009. 213–28. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=428114>

32

Kolodny N. Rule Over None II: Social Equality and the Justification of Democracy. Philosophy & Public Affairs 2014;**42**:287–336. doi:10.1111/papa.12037

33

Abizadeh A. On the Demos and Its Kin: Nationalism, Democracy, and the Boundary Problem. American Political Science Review 2012;**106**:867–82. doi:10.1017/S0003055412000421

34

Anderson, E. Democracy: Instrumental versus Non-Instrumental Value. In: Contemporary debates in political philosophy. Chichester: : Wiley-Blackwell 2009. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=428114&pg=1>

35

Anderson E. The Epistemology of Democracy. *Episteme* 2006;**3**:8–22. doi:10.3366/epi.2006.3.1-2.8

36

Arneson RJ. Democracy is not Intrinsically Just. In: *Justice and democracy: essays for Brian Barry*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2004. 40–58. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/justice-and-democracy/democracy-is-not-intrinsically-just/16D69C089CC31609D7177DF8019E99D0>

37

Arneson RJ. Defending the Purely Instrumental Account of Democratic Legitimacy. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2003;**11**:122–32. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00170

38

Brennan J. Polluting The Polls: When Citizens Should Not Vote. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 2009;**87**:535–49. doi:10.1080/00048400802587309

39

Christiano T. Democracy as Equality. In: *Democracy*. Malden, Mass: : Blackwell 2002. 31–50.

40

Dworkin R. *Sovereign virtue: the theory and practice of equality*. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 2000.

41

Estlund DM. *Democratic authority: a philosophical framework*. Princeton: : Princeton University Press 2008.

42

Kolodny N. Rule Over None I: What Justifies Democracy? *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2014;**42**:195–229. doi:10.1111/papa.12035

43

Saunders B. Democracy, Political Equality and Majority Rule. *Ethics* 2010;**121**:148–77. <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/full/10.1086/656474>

44

Abizadeh A. Democratic Theory and Border Coercion: No Right to Unilaterally Control Your Own Borders. *Political Theory* 2008;**36**:37–65. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20452610>

45

Song S. The boundary problem in democratic theory: why the demos should be bounded by the state. *International Theory* 2012;**4**:39–68. doi:10.1017/S1752971911000248

46

Lopez-Guerra C. Should Expatriates Vote?*. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2005;**13**:216–34. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2005.00221.x

47

Goodin RE. Enfranchising All Affected Interests, and Its Alternatives. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2007;**35**:40–68. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2007.00098.x

48

Whelan FG. Democratic Theory and the Boundary Problem. In: *Liberal democracy (Nomos XXV)*. New York: : New York University Press 1983.

49

Miller D. Democracy's Domain. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2009;**37**:201–28. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2009.01158.x

50

Näsström S. The Challenge of the All-Affected Principle. *Political Studies* 2011;**59**:116–34. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2010.00845.x

51

Kolers A. The Territorial State in Cosmopolitan Justice. *Social Theory & Practice* 2002;**28**:29–50. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=rlh&AN=6876301&site=ehost-live>

52

Buchanan A. The Morality of Inclusion. *Social Philosophy and Policy* 1993;**10**:233–57. doi:10.1017/S0265052500004210

53

Mann M. The Dark Side of Democracy: The Modern Tradition of Ethnic and Political Cleansing. *New Left Review* 1999;**235**. <https://newleftreview.org/l/235/michael-mann-the-dark-side-of-democracy-the-modern-tradition-of-ethnic-and-political-cleansing>

54

Owen D. Constituting the polity, constituting the demos: on the place of the all affected interests principle in democratic theory and in resolving the democratic boundary problem. *Ethics & Global Politics* 2012;**5**:129–52. doi:10.3402/egp.v5i3.18617

55

Dahl RA. *Democracy and its critics*. New Haven: : Yale University Press 1989.

56

Lopez-Guerra C. Should Expatriates Vote?*. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2005;**13**:216–34. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2005.00221.x

57

Owen D. Resident Aliens, Non-resident Citizens and Voting Rights. In: Citizenship acquisition and national belonging: migration, membership and the liberal democratic state. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2010. 52-73. <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.bris.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780230246775>

58

Owen D. Transnational citizenship and the democratic state: modes of membership and voting rights. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy* 2011;**14**:641-63. doi:10.1080/13698230.2011.617123

59

Waldron J. The harm in hate speech. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 2012. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=3301269>

60

Dworkin, R. Foreword. In: Extreme speech and democracy. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009. <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199548781.001.0001/acprof-9780199548781-miscMatter-4>

61

Brison SJ. The Autonomy Defense of Free Speech. *Ethics* 1998;**108**:312-39. doi:10.1086/233807

62

Braddon-Mitchell D, West C. What is Free Speech? *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2004;**12**:437-60. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2004.00208.x

63

Dworkin R. Two Concepts of Liberty. In: Ullmann-Margalit E, Margalit A, eds. Isaiah Berlin: a celebration. Chicago, Illinois: : University of Chicago Press 1991.

64

Horton J. The Satanic Verses Controversy: A Brief Introduction. In: Liberalism, multiculturalism and toleration. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 1993.

65

Jones P. Respecting Beliefs and Rebuking Rushdie. In: Horton J, Nicholson PP, eds. Toleration: philosophy and practice. Aldershot: : Avebury 1992.

66

Mckinnon C. Should We Tolerate Holocaust Denial? Res Publica 2007;**13**:9–28.
doi:10.1007/s11158-006-9013-8

67

MacKinnon CA. Feminism unmodified: discourses on life and law. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1987.

68

Miles JK. Social Theory & Practice. 2012;**38**
:213–30.<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=rlh&AN=75500645&site=ehost-live>

69

Mill JS, Little B. On liberty. London: : John W. Parker 1859.

70

Scanlon TM. A Theory of Freedom of Expression. In: The difficulty of tolerance: essays in political philosophy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/difficulty-of-tolerance/theory-of-freedom-of-express>

ion/3F20BF32C62FC6F810ED20ADF9CE0065

71

Waldron J. Rushdie and Religion. In: *Liberal rights: collected papers, 1981-1991*. Cambridge, [England]: : Cambridge Universtiy Press 1993. 134-43.

72

West C. The Free Speech Argument against Pornography. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 2003;**33**:391-422. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40232191>

73

Smith W. Democracy, Deliberation and Disobedience. *Res Publica* 2004;**10**:353-77. doi:10.1007/s11158-004-2327-5

74

Delmas C. Political Resistance: A Matter of Fairness. *Law and Philosophy* 2014;**33**:465-88. doi:10.1007/s10982-013-9189-y

75

Delmas C. Disobedience, Civil and Otherwise. *Criminal Law and Philosophy* 2017;**11**:195-211. doi:10.1007/s11572-014-9347-9

76

Brownlee K. Features of a Paradigm Case of Civil Disobedience. *Res Publica* 2004;**10**:337-51. doi:10.1007/s11158-004-2326-6

77

Brownlee K. *Conscience and conviction: the case for civil disobedience*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012. <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199592944.001.0001/acprof-9780199592944>

78

Celikates R. Democratizing civil disobedience. *Philosophy & Social Criticism* 2016;**42**:982-94. doi:10.1177/0191453716638562

79

Lefkowitz D. In Defense of Penalizing (but not Punishing) Civil Disobedience. *Res Publica* Published Online First: 4 May 2017. doi:10.1007/s11158-017-9362-5

80

David Lyons. Moral Judgment, Historical Reality, and Civil Disobedience. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 1998;**27**:31-49. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2672840>

81

Weinstock D. How Democratic is Civil Disobedience? *Criminal Law and Philosophy* 2016;**10**:707-20. doi:10.1007/s11572-015-9367-0

82

Rawls J. *A theory of justice*. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1972.

83

Rawls J. Justice as fairness. In: *A theory of justice*. Cambridge, Mass: : Belknap Press of Harvard University Press 1999. 3-46.

84

G. A. Cohen. Where the Action is: On the Site of Distributive Justice. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 1997;**26**. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2961909?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

85

Parfit D, Scanlon T. The Tanner Lectures on Human Values at UC Berkeley - Lecture Three: Contractualism. http://www.language.berkeley.edu/SA_MP3files/Tanner/1836_3.mp3

86

Freeman SR. The Original Position. In: Rawls. London: : Routledge 2007. 141–98.

87

Arneson RJ. Against Rawlsian Equality of Opportunity. *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition* 1999;**93**:77–112.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/4320904>

88

Freeman S. Introduction. In: *The Cambridge companion to Rawls*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003. doi:10.1017/CCOL0521651670.001

89

Hampton J. Contracts and Choices: Does Rawls Have a Social Contract Theory? *The Journal of Philosophy* 1980;**77**. doi:10.2307/2025640

90

Harsanyi JC. The Maximin Principle. In: Farrelly CP, ed. *Contemporary political theory: a reader*. London: : SAGE 2004. 22–31.<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.bris.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781848605411>

91

Maffettone S. *Rawls: an introduction*. Cambridge: : Polity 2010.

92

Mandle J. *Rawls's A theory of justice: an introduction*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University

Press 2009.

93

Mandle J. What's left of liberalism?: an interpretation and defense of justice as fairness. Lanham: : Lexington 2000.

94

Taylor RS. Rawls's Defense of the Priority of Liberty: A Kantian Reconstruction. Philosophy & Public Affairs 2003;**31**:246–71.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3558006>

95

Anderson ES. What Is the Point of Equality? Ethics 1999;**109**:287–337. doi:10.1086/233897

96

Ronald Dworkin. What is Equality? Part 2: Equality of Resources. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1981;**10**.https://www.jstor.org/stable/2265047?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

97

Cohen GA. Self-ownership, freedom, and equality. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1995.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/selfownership-freedom-and-equality/26B021AEDD3ECE97D388586C27C90D18>

98

Ryan CC. Yours, Mine, and Ours: Property Rights and Individual Liberty. Ethics 1977;**87**:126–41.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2380061>

99

Friedman MD. Nozick's libertarian project: an elaboration and defense. London: :

Bloomsbury Academic 2012.

100

Mack E. Self-ownership, Marxism, and Egalitarianism. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics* 2002;**1**:237–76. doi:10.1177/1470594X02001002004

101

Mack E. Libertarianism. In: Klosko G, ed. *The Oxford Handbook of the History of Political Philosophy*. 2011.
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199238804.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199238804-e-41>

102

Mack E. Self-ownership, Marxism, and Egalitarianism. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics* 2002;**1**:75–108. doi:10.1177/1470594X02001001004

103

Tomasi J. *Free market fairness*. Princeton: : Princeton University Press 2013.
<http://princeton.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.23943/princeton/9780691144467.001.0001/upso-9780691144467>

104

Steiner H. The Natural Right to the Means of Production. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 1977;**27**. doi:10.2307/2218927

105

Vallentyne P. Libertarianism and the State. *Social Philosophy and Policy* 2007;**24**. doi:10.1017/S0265052507070082

106

Stemplowska Z. Rescuing Luck Egalitarianism. *Journal of Social Philosophy* 2013;**44**

:402–19. doi:10.1111/josp.12039

107

Lazenby H. One Kiss Too Many? Giving, Luck Egalitarianism and Other-affecting Choice*. *Journal of Political Philosophy* Published Online First: April 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2009.00335.x

108

Tan K-C. A Defense of Luck Egalitarianism. *The Journal of Philosophy* 2008;**105**:665–90. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20620136>

109

Otsuka M. Luck, Insurance, and Equality. *Ethics* 2002;**113**:40–54. doi:10.1086/341322

110

Dworkin R. *Sovereign virtue: the theory and practice of equality*. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 2000.

111

Stemplowska Z. Global Poverty. In: McKinnon C, Jubb R, Tomlin P, eds. *Issues in political theory*. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2019. 199–219.

112

Zwolinski M. Sweatshops, Choice, and Exploitation. *Business Ethics Quarterly* 2007;**17**:689–727. doi:10.5840/beq20071745

113

Caney S. *Justice beyond borders: a global political theory*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2005.

114

Gilbert P. The Duty to Eradicate Global Poverty: Positive or Negative? *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 2005;**7**:537–50. doi:10.1007/s10677-005-6489-9

115

Daskal S. Confining Pogge's Analysis of Global Poverty to Genuinely Negative Duties. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 2013;**16**:369–91. doi:10.1007/s10677-012-9349-4

116

Gauri V, Sonderholm J. Global poverty: four normative positions. *Journal of Global Ethics* 2012;**8**:193–213. doi:10.1080/17449626.2012.705787

117

Christiano T, Christman JP. *Distributive Justice at Home and Abroad*. In: *Contemporary debates in political philosophy*. Chichester, U.K.: : Wiley-Blackwell 2009. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=428114>

118

Schweiger G. Recognition theory and global poverty. *Journal of Global Ethics* 2014;**10**:267–73. doi:10.1080/17449626.2014.969439

119

Liberto H. Exploitation and the Vulnerability Clause. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 2014;**17**:619–29. doi:10.1007/s10677-014-9494-z

120

Wellman CH. Immigration and Freedom of Association. *Ethics* 2008;**119**:109–41. doi:10.1086/592311

121

Bertram C. Justifying a Migration Regime from an impartial perspective. In: Do states have the right to exclude immigrants? Polity Press 2018.
29–63. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=5439385&pg=29>

122

Abizadeh A. Democratic Legitimacy and State Coercion: A Reply to David Miller. *Political Theory* 2010;**38**:121–30. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25655537>

123

Fine S. The Ethics of Immigration: Self-Determination and the Right to Exclude. *Philosophy Compass* 2013;**8**:254–68. doi:10.1111/phc3.12019

124

Wellman CH, Cole P. *Debating the ethics of immigration: is there a right to exclude?* Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=800832>

125

Carens JH. *The ethics of immigration.* Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1336461>

126

Huemer M. Is There a Right to Immigrate? *Social Theory & Practice* 2010;**36**:429–61. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=rh&AN=52811108&site=ehost-live>

127

Oberman K. Immigration as a Human Right. In: Fine S, Ypi L, eds. *Migration in political theory: the ethics of movement and membership.* Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199676606.003.0003

128

Miller D. Is there a Human Right to Immigrate? In: Fine S, Ypi L, eds. Migration in political theory: the ethics of movement and membership. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199676606.001.0001

129

Miller D. Why Immigration Controls Are Not Coercive: A Reply to Arash Abizadeh. Political Theory 2010;**38**:111–20. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25655536>

130

Okin SM. Justice and Gender. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1987;**16**:42–72. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2265205>

131

Brighouse H, Olin Wright E. Strong Gender Egalitarianism. Politics & Society 2008;**36**:360–72. doi:10.1177/0032329208320566

132

Schouten G. Restricting Justice: Political Interventions in the Home and in the Market. Philosophy & Public Affairs 2013;**41**:357–88. doi:10.1111/papa.12022

133

Baehr AR. Perfectionism, Feminism and Public Reason. Law and Philosophy 2008;**27**:193–222. doi:10.1007/s10982-007-9017-3

134

Chambers C. Sex, culture, and justice: the limits of choice. University Park, Pennsylvania: : Pennsylvania State University Press 2008.

135

Chambers C. Gender. In: *Issues in political theory*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. 265–88. <https://www-oxfordpoliticstrove-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/view/10.1093/hepl/9780198784067.001.0001/hepl-9780198784067>

136

Cohen GA. Where the Action Is: On the Site of Distributive Justice. *Philosophy Public Affairs* 1997;**26**:3–30. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.1997.tb00048.x

137

Brake E. Rawls and Feminism: What Should Feminists Make of Liberal Neutrality? *Journal of Moral Philosophy* 2004;**1**:293–309. doi:10.1177/174046810400100305

138

Schouten G. Citizenship, reciprocity, and the gendered division of labor. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics* 2017;**16**:174–209. doi:10.1177/1470594X15600830

139

Satz D. Gender. In: Estlund D, ed. *The Oxford Handbook of Political Philosophy*. 2012. <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195376692.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195376692-e-19>

140

Okin SM. 'Forty acres and a mule' for women: Rawls and feminism. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics* 2005;**4**:233–48. doi:10.1177/1470594X05052540

141

Swift A. Justice, Luck and the family. In: Bowles S, Gintis H, Osborne Groves M, eds. *Unequal chances: family background and economic success*. New York: : Russell Sage Foundation 2005. 256–76.

142

Okin SM, Howard M. Is multiculturalism bad for women? Princeton, New Jersey: : Princeton University Press 1999.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=5542046>

143

Rawls J. The Idea of Public Reason Revisited. The University of Chicago Law Review 1997; **64**. doi:10.2307/1600311

144

Simmons J. The Theories. In: Is There a Duty to Obey the Law? Cambridge University Press 2005. 102–20. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511809286.007