

POLI22202: Contemporary Political Theory

[View Online](#)

1.

Welcome – Blackboard Learn,

https://www.ole.bris.ac.uk/webapps/portal/execute/tabs/tabAction?tab_tab_group_id=_17_1.

2.

POLI22202 Unit Summary,

<https://www.bris.ac.uk/unit-programme-catalogue/UnitDetails.jsa?ayrCode=19%2F20&unitCode=POLI22202>.

3.

Philosophy & Public Affairs.

4.

Political Theory.

5.

Ethics.

6.

Political Studies.

7.

Politics, Philosophy & Economics.

8.

Contemporary Political Theory.

9.

Journal of Political Philosophy.

10.

Social Philosophy and Policy.

11.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, <https://plato.stanford.edu/>.

12.

Stilz, A.: Why Does the State Matter Morally?'. In: Varieties of Sovereignty and Citizenship. pp. 244–264. University of Pennsylvania Press (2012).

13.

Simmons, J.: The Problem and Its Significance. In: Is There a Duty to Obey the Law? pp. 93–101. Cambridge University Press (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511809286.006>.

14.

Simmons, J.: The Theories. In: Is There a Duty to Obey the Law? pp. 102–120. Cambridge University Press (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511809286.007>.

15.

Simmons, A. John: The Principle of Fair Play. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 8, 307-337.

16.

Wolff, R.P.: The Conflict between Authority and Autonomy. In: *In defense of anarchism*. pp. 20-31. Harper Torchbooks, New York (1976).

17.

Hampton, J.: *Political philosophy*. Westview Press, Boulder, Colo (1997).

18.

Raz, J.: *The morality of freedom*. Clarendon, Oxford (1986).

19.

Raz, J.: The Obligation to Obey: Revision and Tradition. In: *Ethics in the public domain: essays in the morality of law and politics*. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1994).

20.

Rousseau, J.-J.: Book I, chapters 6-8. In: *Discours Sur l'Oeconomie Politique; Du Contrat Social: Discourse on Political Economy and the Social Contract*. Oxford University Press (1999).

21.

Huemer, M.: *The problem of political authority: an examination of the right to coerce and the duty to obey*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

22.

Morris, C.W.: *An essay on the modern state*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

23.

Scott, J.C.: Two cheers for anarchism: six easy pieces on autonomy, dignity, and meaningful work and play. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2012).

24.

Simmons, A.J.: Moral principles and political obligations. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J (1979).

25.

Stilz, A.: Liberal loyalty: freedom, obligation, and the state. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (2009).

26.

Stiltz, A.: Robert Paul Wolff, In Defense of Anarchism. In: Levy, J.T. (ed.) The Oxford Handbook of Classics in Contemporary Political Theory (2016).

27.

Renzo, M.: Political Authority and Unjust Wars. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*. 99, 336–357 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1111/phpr.12487>.

28.

Brennan, J.: The Right to a Competent Electorate. *The Philosophical Quarterly*. 61, 700–724 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9213.2011.699.x>.

29.

Anderson, E.: Democracy: Intrinsic vs Instrumental value. In: *Contemporary debates in political philosophy*. pp. 213–227. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, U.K. (2009).

30.

Christiano, T.: The Authority of Democracy*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 12, 266–290 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.2004.00200.x>.

31.

Anderson, E.: Democracy Intrinsic and instrumental value. In: *Contemporary debates in political philosophy*. pp. 213–228. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, U.K. (2009).

32.

Kolodny, N.: Rule Over None II: Social Equality and the Justification of Democracy. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 42, 287–336 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/papa.12037>.

33.

Abizadeh, A.: On the Demos and Its Kin: Nationalism, Democracy, and the Boundary Problem. *American Political Science Review*. 106, 867–882 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055412000421>.

34.

Anderson, E.: Democracy: Instrumental versus Non-Instrumental Value. In: *Contemporary debates in political philosophy*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester (2009).

35.

Anderson, E.: The Epistemology of Democracy. *Episteme*. 3, 8–22 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.3366/epi.2006.3.1-2.8>.

36.

Arneson, R.J.: Democracy is not Intrinsically Just. In: *Justice and democracy: essays for Brian Barry*. pp. 40–58. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

37.

Arneson, R.J.: Defending the Purely Instrumental Account of Democratic Legitimacy. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 11, 122–132 (2003).

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9760.00170>.

38.

Brennan, J.: Polluting The Polls: When Citizens Should Not Vote. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*. 87, 535–549 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00048400802587309>.

39.

Christiano, T.: Democracy as Equality. In: *Democracy*. pp. 31–50. Blackwell, Malden, Mass (2002).

40.

Dworkin, R.: *Sovereign virtue: the theory and practice of equality*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (2000).

41.

Estlund, D.M.: *Democratic authority: a philosophical framework*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2008).

42.

Kolodny, N.: Rule Over None I: What Justifies Democracy? *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 42, 195–229 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/papa.12035>.

43.

Saunders, B.: Democracy, Political Equality and Majority Rule. *Ethics*. 121, 148–177 (2010).

44.

Abizadeh, A.: Democratic Theory and Border Coercion: No Right to Unilaterally Control Your Own Borders. *Political Theory*. 36, 37–65 (2008).

45.

Song, S.: The boundary problem in democratic theory: why the demos should be bounded by the state. *International Theory*. 4, 39–68 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1752971911000248>.

46.

Lopez-Guerra, C.: Should Expatriates Vote?*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 13, 216–234 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.2005.00221.x>.

47.

Goodin, R.E.: Enfranchising All Affected Interests, and Its Alternatives. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 35, 40–68 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1088-4963.2007.00098.x>.

48.

Whelan, F.G.: Democratic Theory and the Boundary Problem. In: *Liberal democracy* (Nomos XXV). New York University Press, New York (1983).

49.

Miller, D.: Democracy's Domain. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 37, 201–228 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1088-4963.2009.01158.x>.

50.

Näsström, S.: The Challenge of the All-Affected Principle. *Political Studies*. 59, 116–134 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2010.00845.x>.

51.

Kolers, A.: The Territorial State in Cosmopolitan Justice. *Social Theory & Practice*. 28, 29–50 (2002).

52.

Buchanan, A.: The Morality of Inclusion. *Social Philosophy and Policy*. 10, 233–257 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0265052500004210>.

53.

Mann, M.: The Dark Side of Democracy: The Modern Tradition of Ethnic and Political Cleansing. *New Left Review*. 235, (1999).

54.

Owen, D.: Constituting the polity, constituting the demos: on the place of the all affected interests principle in democratic theory and in resolving the democratic boundary problem. *Ethics & Global Politics*. 5, 129–152 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.3402/egp.v5i3.18617>.

55.

Dahl, R.A.: *Democracy and its critics*. Yale University Press, New Haven (1989).

56.

Lopez-Guerra, C.: Should Expatriates Vote?*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 13, 216–234 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.2005.00221.x>.

57.

Owen, D.: Resident Aliens, Non-resident Citizens and Voting Rights. In: *Citizenship acquisition and national belonging: migration, membership and the liberal democratic state*. pp. 52–73. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2010).

58.

Owen, D.: Transnational citizenship and the democratic state: modes of membership and voting rights. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*. 14, 641–663 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13698230.2011.617123>.

59.

Waldron, J.: *The harm in hate speech*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (2012).

60.

Dworkin, R.: Foreword. In: Extreme speech and democracy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

61.

Brison, S.J.: The Autonomy Defense of Free Speech. *Ethics*. 108, 312–339 (1998).
<https://doi.org/10.1086/233807>.

62.

Braddon-Mitchell, D., West, C.: What is Free Speech? *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 12, 437–460 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.2004.00208.x>.

63.

Dworkin, R.: Two Concepts of Liberty. In: Ullmann-Margalit, E. and Margalit, A. (eds.) *Isaiah Berlin: a celebration*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois (1991).

64.

Horton, J.: The Satanic Verses Controversy: A Brief Introduction. In: Liberalism, multiculturalism and toleration. Macmillan, Basingstoke (1993).

65.

Jones, P.: Respecting Beliefs and Rebuking Rushdie. In: Horton, J. and Nicholson, P.P. (eds.) *Toleration: philosophy and practice*. Avebury, Aldershot (1992).

66.

Mckinnon, C.: Should We Tolerate Holocaust Denial? *Res Publica*. 13, 9–28 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11158-006-9013-8>.

67.

MacKinnon, C.A.: Feminism unmodified: discourses on life and law. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1987).

68.

Miles, J.K.: Social Theory & Practice. 38, 213–230 (2012).

69.

Mill, J.S., Little, B.: On liberty. John W. Parker, London (1859).

70.

Scanlon, T.M.: A Theory of Freedom of Expression. In: The difficulty of tolerance: essays in political philosophy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).

71.

Waldron, J.: Rushdie and Religion. In: Liberal rights: collected papers, 1981-1991. pp. 134–143. Cambridge Universti Press, Cambridge, [England] (1993).

72.

West, C.: The Free Speech Argument against Pornography. Canadian Journal of Philosophy. 33, 391–422 (2003).

73.

Smith, W.: Democracy, Deliberation and Disobedience. Res Publica. 10, 353–377 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11158-004-2327-5>.

74.

Delmas, C.: Political Resistance: A Matter of Fairness. Law and Philosophy. 33, 465–488 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10982-013-9189-y>.

75.

Delmas, C.: Disobedience, Civil and Otherwise. *Criminal Law and Philosophy*. 11, 195–211 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11572-014-9347-9>.

76.

Brownlee, K.: Features of a Paradigm Case of Civil Disobedience. *Res Publica*. 10, 337–351 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11158-004-2326-6>.

77.

Brownlee, K.: Conscience and conviction: the case for civil disobedience. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

78.

Celikates, R.: Democratizing civil disobedience. *Philosophy & Social Criticism*. 42, 982–994 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0191453716638562>.

79.

Lefkowitz, D.: In Defense of Penalizing (but not Punishing) Civil Disobedience. *Res Publica*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11158-017-9362-5>.

80.

David Lyons: Moral Judgment, Historical Reality, and Civil Disobedience. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 27, 31–49 (1998).

81.

Weinstock, D.: How Democratic is Civil Disobedience? *Criminal Law and Philosophy*. 10, 707–720 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11572-015-9367-0>.

82.

Rawls, J.: A theory of justice. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1972).

83.

Rawls, J.: Justice as fairness. In: A theory of justice. pp. 3–46. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1999).

84.

G. A. Cohen: Where the Action is: On the Site of Distributive Justice. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 26, (1997).

85.

Parfit, D., Scanlon, T.: The Tanner Lectures on Human Values at UC Berkeley - Lecture Three: Contractualism,
http://www.language.berkeley.edu/SA_MP3files/Tanner/1836_3.mp3.

86.

Freeman, S.R.: The Original Position. In: Rawls. pp. 141–198. Routledge, London (2007).

87.

Arneson, R.J.: Against Rawlsian Equality of Opportunity. *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition*. 93, 77–112 (1999).

88.

Freeman, S.: ntroduction. In: The Cambridge companion to Rawls. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CCOL0521651670.001>.

89.

Hampton, J.: Contracts and Choices: Does Rawls Have a Social Contract Theory? *The Journal of Philosophy*. 77, (1980). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2025640>.

90.

Harsanyi, J.C.: The Maximin Principle. In: Farrelly, C.P. (ed.) *Contemporary political theory: a reader*. pp. 22–31. SAGE, London (2004).

91.

Maffettone, S.: *Rawls: an introduction*. Polity, Cambridge (2010).

92.

Mandle, J.: *Rawls's A theory of justice: an introduction*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

93.

Mandle, J.: *What's left of liberalism?: an interpretation and defense of justice as fairness*. Lexington, Lanham (2000).

94.

Taylor, R.S.: Rawls's Defense of the Priority of Liberty: A Kantian Reconstruction. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 31, 246–271 (2003).

95.

Anderson, E.S.: What Is the Point of Equality? *Ethics*. 109, 287–337 (1999).
<https://doi.org/10.1086/233897>.

96.

Ronald Dworkin: What is Equality? Part 2: Equality of Resources. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 10, (1981).

97.

Cohen, G.A.: *Self-ownership, freedom, and equality*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

98.

Ryan, C.C.: Yours, Mine, and Ours: Property Rights and Individual Liberty. *Ethics*. 87, 126–141 (1977).

99.

Friedman, M.D.: *Nozick's libertarian project: an elaboration and defense*. Bloomsbury Academic, London (2012).

100.

Mack, E.: Self-ownership, Marxism, and Egalitarianism. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics*. 1, 237–276 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470594X02001002004>.

101.

Mack, E.: Libertarianism. In: Klosko, G. (ed.) *The Oxford Handbook of the History of Political Philosophy* (2011).

102.

Mack, E.: Self-ownership, Marxism, and Egalitarianism. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics*. 1, 75–108 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470594X02001001004>.

103.

Tomasi, J.: *Free market fairness*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2013).

104.

Steiner, H.: The Natural Right to the Means of Production. *The Philosophical Quarterly*. 27, (1977). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2218927>.

105.

Vallentyne, P.: Libertarianism and the State. *Social Philosophy and Policy*. 24, (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0265052507070082>.

106.

Stemplowska, Z.: Rescuing Luck Egalitarianism. *Journal of Social Philosophy*. 44, 402–419 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/josp.12039>.

107.

Lazenby, H.: One Kiss Too Many? Giving, Luck Egalitarianism and Other-affecting Choice*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.2009.00335.x>.

108.

Tan, K.-C.: A Defense of Luck Egalitarianism. *The Journal of Philosophy*. 105, 665–690 (2008).

109.

Otsuka, M.: Luck, Insurance, and Equality. *Ethics*. 113, 40–54 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1086/341322>.

110.

Dworkin, R.: *Sovereign virtue: the theory and practice of equality*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (2000).

111.

Stemplowska, Z.: Global Poverty. In: McKinnon, C., Jubb, R., and Tomlin, P. (eds.) *Issues in political theory*. pp. 199–219. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2019).

112.

Zwolinski, M.: Sweatshops, Choice, and Exploitation. *Business Ethics Quarterly*. 17, 689–727 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.5840/beq20071745>.

113.

Caney, S.: *Justice beyond borders: a global political theory*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2005).

114.

Gilabert, P.: The Duty to Eradicate Global Poverty: Positive or Negative? *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*. 7, 537–550 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10677-005-6489-9>.

115.

Daskal, S.: Confining Pogge's Analysis of Global Poverty to Genuinely Negative Duties. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*. 16, 369–391 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10677-012-9349-4>.

116.

Gauri, V., Sonderholm, J.: Global poverty: four normative positions. *Journal of Global Ethics*. 8, 193–213 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449626.2012.705787>.

117.

Christiano, T., Christman, J.P.: Distributive Justice at Home and Abroad. In: *Contemporary debates in political philosophy*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, U.K. (2009).

118.

Schweiger, G.: Recognition theory and global poverty. *Journal of Global Ethics*. 10, 267–273 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449626.2014.969439>.

119.

Liberto, H.: Exploitation and the Vulnerability Clause. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*. 17, 619–629 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10677-014-9494-z>.

120.

Wellman, C.H.: Immigration and Freedom of Association. *Ethics*. 119, 109–141 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1086/592311>.

121.

Bertram, C.: Justifying a Migration Regime from an impartial perspective. In: Do states have the right to exclude immigrants? pp. 29–63. Polity Press (2018).

122.

Abizadeh, A.: Democratic Legitimacy and State Coercion: A Reply to David Miller. *Political Theory*. 38, 121–130 (2010).

123.

Fine, S.: The Ethics of Immigration: Self-Determination and the Right to Exclude. *Philosophy Compass*. 8, 254–268 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/phc3.12019>.

124.

Wellman, C.H., Cole, P.: Debating the ethics of immigration: is there a right to exclude? Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

125.

Carens, J.H.: The ethics of immigration. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

126.

Huemer, M.: Is There a Right to Immigrate? *Social Theory & Practice*. 36, 429–461 (2010).

127.

Oberman, K.: Immigration as a Human Right. In: Fine, S. and Ypi, L. (eds.) *Migration in political theory: the ethics of movement and membership*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199676606.003.0003>.

128.

Miller, D.: Is there a Human Right to Immigrate? In: Fine, S. and Ypi, L. (eds.) *Migration in political theory: the ethics of movement and membership*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199676606.001.0001>.

129.

Miller, D.: Why Immigration Controls Are Not Coercive: A Reply to Arash Abizadeh. *Political Theory*. 38, 111-120 (2010).

130.

Okin, S.M.: Justice and Gender. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 16, 42-72 (1987).

131.

Brighouse, H., Olin Wright, E.: Strong Gender Egalitarianism. *Politics & Society*. 36, 360-372 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329208320566>.

132.

Schouten, G.: Restricting Justice: Political Interventions in the Home and in the Market. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 41, 357-388 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/papa.12022>.

133.

Baehr, A.R.: Perfectionism, Feminism and Public Reason. *Law and Philosophy*. 27, 193-222 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10982-007-9017-3>.

134.

Chambers, C.: *Sex, culture, and justice: the limits of choice*. Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park, Pennsylvania (2008).

135.

Chambers, C.: Gender. In: Issues in political theory. pp. 265–288. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

136.

Cohen, G.A.: Where the Action Is: On the Site of Distributive Justice. *Philosophy Public Affairs*. 26, 3–30 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1088-4963.1997.tb00048.x>.

137.

Brake, E.: Rawls and Feminism: What Should Feminists Make of Liberal Neutrality? *Journal of Moral Philosophy*. 1, 293–309 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1177/174046810400100305>.

138.

Schouten, G.: Citizenship, reciprocity, and the gendered division of labor. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics*. 16, 174–209 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470594X15600830>.

139.

Satz, D.: Gender. In: Estlund, D. (ed.) *The Oxford Handbook of Political Philosophy* (2012).

140.

Okin, S.M.: 'Forty acres and a mule' for women: Rawls and feminism. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics*. 4, 233–248 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470594X05052540>.

141.

Swift, A.: Justice, Luck and the family. In: Bowles, S., Gintis, H., and Osborne Groves, M. (eds.) *Unequal chances: family background and economic success*. pp. 256–276. Russell Sage Foundation, New York (2005).

142.

Okin, S.M., Howard, M.: Is multiculturalism bad for women? Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1999).

143.

Rawls, J.: The Idea of Public Reason Revisited. *The University of Chicago Law Review*. 64, (1997). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1600311>.

144.

Simmons, J.: The Theories. In: Is There a Duty to Obey the Law? pp. 102–120. Cambridge University Press (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511809286.007>.