

Copy of POLI10003: Theories of International Relations

[View Online](#)

List to be reused by Alix Dietzel in 2020-21 academic year. NOT CURRENT LIST for 2019-20 academic year.

1.

McGlinchey S. Getting Started with International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. E-International Relations Publishing.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2017/12/24/getting-started-with-international-relations-theory/>

2.

Brown C, Ainley K. Introduction: Defining International Relations. In: Understanding International Relations. 4th ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2009:1-17.

3.

Adler E, Pouliot V. International practices. International Theory. 2011;3(01):1-36.
doi:10.1017/S175297191000031X

4.

Buzan B, Little R. Why International Relations has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to do About it. Millennium. 2001;30(1):19-39. doi:10.1177/03058298010300010401

5.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Introduction. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

6.

Dunne T, Hansen L, Wight C. The end of International Relations theory? *European Journal of International Relations*. 2013;19(3):405-425. doi:10.1177/1354066113495485

7.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 16 - The future of international relations: Fears and hopes. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press; 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

8.

Jabri V. Reflections on the Study of International Relations. In: *Issues in International Relations*. Routledge; 2000:289-313.

9.

Jackson R, Sorensen G. IR as an academic subject. In: *Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches*. 4th ed. Oxford University Press; 2010:28-57. <https://www-oxfordpoliticstrove-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/hepl/9780198862208.001.0001/hepl-9780198862208-chapter-2>

10.

Doyle MW, Ikenberry GJ. Chapter 2 - Inventing International Relations: International Relations Theory after 1945'. In: *New Thinking in International Relations Theory*. Westview Press; 1997.

11.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 1 - International Relations and Social Science. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. 3rd ed. Oxford University Press; 2013.

12.

NICHOLSON M. What's the use of International Relations? *Review of International Studies*. 2000;26(2):183-198. doi:10.1017/S0260210500001832

13.

Schroeder PW. History and International Relations Theory: Not Use or Abuse, but Fit or Misfit. *International Security*. 1997;22(1). doi:10.2307/2539329

14.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Introduction: Diversity and Disciplinarity in International Relations Theory. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.
<https://www-oxfordpoliticstrove-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.001.0001/hepl-9780192866455-chapter-1>

15.

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 1 'On the History and Historiography of International Relations'. In: *Handbook of International Relations*. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2013.

16.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 16 - Still a Discipline After All these Debates? In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. 3rd ed. Oxford University Press; 2013.

17.

Walt SM. International Relations: One World, Many Theories. *Foreign Policy*. 1998;(110). doi:10.2307/1149275

18.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 18 - All these theories yet the bodies keep piling up: Theory, Theorists, Theorising. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press; 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

19.

Meiser JW. Introducing Liberalism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. E-International Relations Publishing.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/18/introducing-liberalism-in-international-relations-theory/>

20.

G. John Ikenberry. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. Perspectives on Politics. 2009;7(1):71-87.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/40407217?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

21.

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, eds. Chapter 7 - Liberalism. In: The Globalization of World Politics: An Introduction to International Relations. Sixth edition. Oxford University Press; 2014.

22.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 3 - Liberalism. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

23.

Charvet J, Kaczynska-Nay E. The Liberal Project and Human Rights: The Theory and Practice of a New World Order. Cambridge University Press; 2008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511755972>

24.

Michael W. Doyle. Three Pillars of the Liberal Peace. The American Political Science Review . 2005;99(3):463-466. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30038953>

25.

Franceschet A. The Ethical Foundations of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal. 1999;54(3). doi:10.2307/40203406

26.

Fukuyama F. The End of History and the Last Man. Twentieth anniversary edition. Penguin Books; 2012.

27.

Gardner RN. The Comeback of Liberal Internationalism. The Washington Quarterly. 1990;13(3):23-39. doi:10.1080/01636609009445391

28.

Jean-Yves Haine. The European Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal. 2009;64(2):453-479. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40204518>

29.

Hoffmann S. The Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. Foreign Policy. 1995;(98). doi:10.2307/1148964

30.

Lawson S. Chapter 5 - Liberal International Theory. In: Theories of International Relations: Contending Approaches to World Politics. Polity; 2015. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1956448>

31.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 5 - Liberalism. In: International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

32.

Axelrod R, Keohane RO. Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions. World Politics. 1985;38(01):226-254. doi:10.2307/2010357

33.

Campbell JL, Pedersen OK. The Rise of Neoliberalism and Institutional Analysis. Princeton University Press; 2001.

34.

G. John Ikenberry. The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. Foreign Affairs. 2011;90(3):56-68. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23039408>

35.

Koivisto M, Dunne T. Crisis, What Crisis? Liberal Order Building and World Order Conventions. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 2010;38(3):615-640. doi:10.1177/0305829810363509

36.

Keohane RO, Martin LL. The Promise of Institutionalist Theory. International Security. 1995;20(1). doi:10.2307/2539214

37.

Lisa L. Martin and Beth A. Simmons. Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions. International Organization. 1998;52(4):729-757. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601356>

38.

Beth A. Simmons, Frank Dobbin and Geoffrey Garrett. Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. International Organization. 2006;60(4):781-810. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877847>

39.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 6 - Neoliberalism. In: International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

40.

Reus-Smit C, Snidal D. Chapter 11 - Neoliberal Institutionalism. In: The Oxford Handbook of International Relations. Vol Oxford handbooks of political science. Oxford University Press; 2008.

41.

WEISS TG. How United Nations ideas change history. Review of International Studies. 2010;36(S1):3-23. doi:10.1017/S026021051100009X

42.

Antunes S, Camisao I. Introducing Realism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. E-International Relations Publishing. <https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/27/introducing-realism-in-international-relations-theory/>

43.

Mearsheimer, J., 'Hans Morgenthau and the Iraq War: Realism Versus Neoconservatism.' https://www.opendemocracy.net/democracy-americanpower/morgenthau_2522.jsp

44.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 2 - Realism. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. <https://r2.vlreader.com/EpubReader?ean=1781137311368>

45.

William Bain. Deconfusing Morgenthau: Moral Inquiry and Classical Realism Reconsidered. Review of International Studies. 2000;26(3):445-464. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097688>

46.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 2 - The Timeless Wisdom of Realism. In: International Theory: Positivism and Beyond. Cambridge University Press; 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

47.

Robert G. Gilpin. The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. *International Organization*. 1984;38(2):287-304. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706441>

48.

Guzzini S. The Enduring Dilemmas of Realism in International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2004;10(4):533-568. doi:10.1177/1354066104047848

49.

Jervis, Robert. Hans Morgenthau, Realism, and the Scientific Study of International Politics. *Social Research*. 61(4).
https://search.proquest.com/docview/1297235334?accountid=9730&rfr_id=info%3Axi%2Fsid%3Aprimo

50.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 3 - Classical Realism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

51.

Lebow RN. Tragedy, Politics and Political Science. *International Relations*. 2005;19(3):329-336. doi:10.1177/0047117805055410

52.

Morgenthau HJ. Another "Great Debate": The National Interest of the United States. *American Political Science Review*. 1952;46(04):961-988. doi:10.2307/1952108

53.

Justin Rosenberg. What's the Matter with Realism? *Review of International Studies*. 1990;16(4):285-303. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20097232>

54.

Richard K. Ashley. The Poverty of Neorealism. *International Organization*. 1984;38(2):225-286. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2706440>

55.

Guzzini, G., 'Structural Power: The Limits of Neorealist Power Analysis' *International Organization*, 47(3), 1993, pp. 443-478.
<http://uu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:97877/FULLTEXT02.pdf>

56.

Keohane RO, Waltz KN. *Neorealism and Its Critics. Vol The political economy of international change*. Columbia University Press; 1986.

57.

Booth K, Smith S. Chapter 11 - Neorealism in Theory and In Practice. In: *International Relations Theory Today*. Polity Press; 1995.

58.

Mearsheimer JJ. Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. *International Security*. 1990;15(1). doi:10.2307/2538981

59.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 4 - Structural Realism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

60.

Davis N. A rose by any other name. *Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine*. 2014;25. doi:10.1016/j.jflm.2014.04.021

61.

Waltz KN. Theory of International Politics. McGraw-Hill; 1979.

62.

Kenneth N. Waltz. Structural Realism after the Cold War. *International Security*. 2000;25(1):5-41. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2626772>

63.

Michael C. Williams. Neo-Realism and the Future of Strategy. *Review of International Studies*. 1993;19(2):103-121. http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097326?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

64.

Baldwin DA. Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate. Vol New directions in world politics. Columbia University Press; 1993.

65.

Joseph M. Grieco. Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. *International Organization*. 1988;42(3):485-507. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706787?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

66.

Robert Jervis. Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security*. 1999;24(1):42-63. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539347>

67.

Keohane RO. International Institutions: Two Approaches. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1988;32(4). doi:10.2307/2600589

68.

Nye JS. Neorealism and Neoliberalism. *World Politics*. 1988;40(02):235-251. doi:10.2307/2010363

69.

Powell R. Anarchy in international relations theory: the neorealist-neoliberal debate. *International Organization*. 1994;48(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818300028204

70.

Stivachtis Y. Introducing the English School in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing. <https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/23/introducing-the-english-school-in-international-relations-theory/>

71.

Gallagher AM. A Clash of Responsibilities: Engaging with Realist Critiques of the R2P. *Global Responsibility to Protect*. 2012;4(3):334-357. doi:10.1163/1875984X-00403004

72.

Bellamy AJ, ed. *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press; 2004. doi:10.1093/0199265208.001.0001

73.

Bull H. *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics*. 3rd ed. Palgrave; 2002.

74.

Buzan B. *An Introduction to the English School of International Relations: The Societal Approach*. Polity; 2014.

75.

Buzan B. *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation*. Vol Cambridge studies in international relations. Cambridge University Press; 2004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616617>

76.

Barry Buzan. The English School: An Underexploited Resource in IR. *Review of International Studies*. 2001;27(3):471-488.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097749?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

77.

Dunne T. *Inventing International Society: A History of the English School*. Vol St Anthony's series. Macmillan in association with St Anthony's College, Oxford; 1998.

78.

Martha Finnemore. Exporting the English School? *Review of International Studies*.

2001;27(3):509-513. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097753>

79.

Jackson RH. *The Global Covenant: Human Conduct in a World of States*. Oxford University Press; 2000.

80.

Jackson RH, Sørensen G. Chapter 5 - International Society. In: *Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches*. 4th ed. Oxford University Press; 2010.

81.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 4 - The English School. In: *Theories of International Relations*. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

82.

Linklater A. *The Problem of Harm in World Politics: Theoretical Investigations*. Cambridge University Press; 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790348>

83.

Linklater A, Suganami H. The English School of International Relations: A Contemporary Reassessment. Vol Cambridge Studies in International Relations. Cambridge University Press; 2006. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491528>

84.

LITTLE R. The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations. European Journal of International Relations. 2000;6(3):395-422. doi:10.1177/1354066100006003004

85.

Bloomsbury Collections - International Society and the Development of International Relations Theory.
<https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/international-society-and-the-development-of-international-relations-theory/>

86.

Wheeler NJ. Saving Strangers: Humanitarian Intervention in International Society. Oxford University Press; 2000.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=3052740>

87.

Wheeler NJ. Pluralist or Solidarist Conceptions of International Society: Bull and Vincent on Humanitarian Intervention. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 1992;21(3):463-487. doi:10.1177/03058298920210030201

88.

Pal M. Introducing Marxism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. E-International Relations Publishing.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/25/introducing-marxism-in-international-relations-theory/>

89.

Ferreira MF. Introducing Critical Theory in International Relations. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. E-International Relations Publishing.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/18/introducing-critical-theory-in-international-relations/>

90.

Dunn, B., 'Can Marxism Explain the Crisis?' The Socialist Review, 363 (November), 2011.
<http://socialistreview.org.uk/363/can-marxism-explain-crisis>

91.

Burnham P. Marx, international political economy and globalisation. Capital & Class. 2001;25(3):103-112. doi:10.1177/030981680107500109

92.

Bieler A, Morton AD. A critical theory route to hegemony, world order and historical change: neo-Gramscian perspectives in International Relations. Capital & Class. 2004;28(1):85-113. doi:10.1177/030981680408200106

93.

Callinicos A. Marxism and the International: The Future of the Capitalist State, Historical Materialism and Globalisation. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 2004;6(3):426-433. doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2004.00148.x

94.

Cox RW. Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 1981;10(2):126-155.

95.

Cox RW. Gramsci, hegemony and international relations: An essay in method. Millennium : journal of international studies. 1983;12(2):162-175.

96.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 7 - Critical Theory. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

97.

el-Ojeili C. Reflections on Wallerstein: The Modern World-System, Four Decades on. *Critical Sociology*. 2015;41(4-5):679-700. doi:10.1177/0896920513497377

98.

Randall D. Germain and Michael Kenny. Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. *Review of International Studies*. 1998;24(1):3-21.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097503>

99.

Gill SR, Law D. Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1989;33(4). doi:10.2307/2600523

100.

Gramsci A, Hoare Q, Nowell-Smith G. *Selections from the Prison Notebooks of Antonio Gramsci*. Lawrence and Wishart; 1971.

101.

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, eds. Chapter 9 - Marxist Theories of International Relations. In: *The Globalization of World Politics: An Introduction to International Relations*. Sixth edition. Oxford University Press; 2014.
<https://www-oxfordpoliticstrove-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/hepl/9780192898142.001.0001/hepl-9780192898142-chapter-8>

102.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 5 - Marx and Marxism. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

103.

Maclean J. Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 1988;17(2):295-319. doi:10.1177/03058298880170021201

104.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 8 - Marxism and Critical Theory. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

105.

Wallerstein I. Chapter 2 - The Modern World-System as a Capitalist World-Economy. In: *World-Systems Analysis: An Introduction*. Vol A John Hope Franklin Center book. Duke University Press; 2004:23-41. <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=3007861&ppg=38>

106.

Immanuel Wallerstein. The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. 1974;16(4):387-415. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/178015>

107.

Theys S. Introducing Constructivism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing. <https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/23/introducing-constructivism-in-international-relations-theory/>

108.

Richard Price. A Genealogy of the Chemical Weapons Taboo. *International Organization*. 1995;49(1):73-103. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706867?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

109.

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 5 - Constructivism in International Relations: Sources, Contributions and Debates. In: Handbook of International Relations. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2013.

110.

Pretorius J. The Security Imaginary: Explaining Military Isomorphism. Security Dialogue. 2008;39(1):99-120. doi:10.1177/0967010607086825

111.

Björkdahl A. Norms in International Relations: Some Conceptual and Methodological Reflections. Cambridge Review of International Affairs. 2002;15(1):9-23. doi:10.1080/09557570220126216

112.

R. Charli Carpenter. Vetting the Advocacy Agenda: Network Centrality and the Paradox of Weapons Norms. International Organization. 2011;65(1):69-102. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23016104>

113.

Checkel JT. The Constructive Turn in International Relations Theory. World Politics. 1998;50(02):324-348. doi:10.1017/S0043887100008133

114.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

115.

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink. International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. International Organization. 1998;52(4):887-917. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601361?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

116.

Finnemore M, Sikkink K. Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2001;4(1):391-416. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.391

117.

Hopf T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security*. 1998;23(1). doi:10.2307/2539267

118.

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 3 - Ethics and Norms in International Relations. In: *Handbook of International Relations*. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2013.

119.

Della Porta D, Keating M, eds. Chapter 5 - Constructivism: What It Is (Not) and How It Matters. In: *Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences: A Pluralist Perspective*. Cambridge University Press; 2008. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801938>

120.

Onuf NG. Making Sense, Making Worlds: Constructivism in Social Theory and International Relations. Vol *The new international relations*. Routledge; 2013.

121.

Ronen Palan. A World of Their Making: An Evaluation of the Constructivist Critique in International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 2000;26(4):575-598. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097700>

122.

Reus-Smit C. Imagining Society: Constructivism and the English School. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2002;4(3):487-509. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.00091

123.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

124.

Weldes J. Constructing National Interests'. *European journal of international relations*. 1996;2:275-318. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1354066196002003001>

125.

Alexander Wendt. Anarchy is what States Make of it: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization*. 1992;46(2):391-425.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706858>

126.

McMorrow A. Introducing Poststructuralism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/13/introducing-poststructuralism-in-international-relations-theory/>

127.

Considine, L., 'Back to the Rough Ground! A Grammatical Approach to Trust and International Relations', *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 44(1)1 (2015), pp. 109-127.
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/86659/1/Laura%20Considine%20%27Back%20to%20the%20Rough%20Ground%27%20Millennium%20Article%20June%202015.pdf>

128.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. 'Chapter 11 - The Achievements of Poststructuralism. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press; 1996.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054.013>

129.

Callinicos A. Chapter 3 - The Aporias of Poststructuralism. In: *Against Postmodernism: A Marxist Critique*. Polity Press; 1989:62-91.

130.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 11 - Poststructuralism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

131.

David Campbell. *Global Inscription: How Foreign Policy Constitutes the United States*. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 1990;15(3):263-286.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/40644685?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

132.

Shapiro MJ, Der Derian J. *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics*. Vol World Politics Series. Lexington Books; 1989.

133.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 8 - Post-Structuralism. In: *Theories of International Relations*. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

134.

Doty R. The Logic of Differance in International Relations: US Colonization of the Philippines. In: Beer FA, Hariman R, eds. *Post-Realism: The Rhetorical Turn in International Relations*. Vol Rhetoric and public affairs series. Michigan State University press; 1996:331-345.

135.

Edkins J, Pin-Fat V. *Through the Wire: Relations of Power and Relations of Violence*. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2005;34(1):1-24.
doi:10.1177/03058298050340010101

136.

Edkins J, Vaughan-Williams N. Critical Theorists and International Relations. Vol Interventions. Routledge; 2009.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=411003>

137.

Hansen L. Discourse Analysis, Identity and Foreign Policy. In: Security as Practice: Discourse Analysis and the Bosnian War. Vol The new international relations series. Routledge; 2006:17-36.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=200689&ppg=33>

138.

MILLIKEN J. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: European Journal of International Relations. 1999;5(2):225-254. doi:10.1177/1354066199005002003

139.

Foucault M, Rabinow P. The Foucault Reader. Penguin Books; 1986.

140.

Hollinger R. 'Chapter 7 - Modernity, Post-Modernism and International Relations'. In: Postmodernism and the Social Sciences: A Thematic Approach. Vol Contemporary social theory. Sage; 1994.

141.

Shapiro M. Textualising Global Politics. In: International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics. Vol World Politics Series. Lexington Books; 1989:11-22.

142.

Rosenau P. Once Again Into the Fray: International Relations Confronts the Humanities. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 1990;19(1):83-110.

doi:10.1177/03058298900190010701

143.

Cynthia Weber. Reconsidering Statehood: Examining the Sovereignty/Intervention Boundary. *Review of International Studies*. 1992;18(3):199-216.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097298?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

144.

Smith S. Introducing Feminism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/01/04/feminism-in-international-relations-theory/>

145.

Roff HM. Gendering a Warbot. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 2016;18(1):1-18.
doi:10.1080/14616742.2015.1094246

146.

Brooke Ackerly and Jacqui True. Reflexivity in Practice: Power and Ethics in Feminist Research on International Relations. *International Studies Review*. 2008;10(4):693-707.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/25482017>

147.

Bulmer S. Patriarchal Confusion? Making Sense of Gay and Lesbian Military Identity. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 2013;15(2):137-156.
doi:10.1080/14616742.2012.746565

148.

Enloe CH. Chapter 5 - Gender makes the World Go Round: Where are the Women? In: *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. Second edition, completely revised and updated. University of California Press; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1687669>

149.

Carpenter RC. Recognizing Gender-Based Violence Against Civilian Men and Boys in Conflict Situations. *Security Dialogue*. 2006;37(1):83-103. doi:10.1177/0967010606064139

150.

Terrell Carver, Molly Cochran and Judith Squires. Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. *Review of International Studies*. 1998;24(2):283-297.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097523>

151.

Hooper C. *Manly States: Masculinities, International Relations, and Gender Politics*. Columbia University Press; 2001.

152.

Adam Jones. Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 1996;22(4):405-429.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097459>

153.

Peterson V. S., 'Feminist Theories Within, Invisible To, and Beyond IR' *Brown Journal of World Affairs*, 10(2), 2004, pp. 1-11.
<http://www.u.arizona.edu/~spikep/Publications/VSP%20Fem%20in&bey%20IR%20BrownJ%20P&P2004.pdf>

154.

Shepherd LJ, ed. *Gender Matters in Global Politics: A Feminist Introduction to International Relations*. Second edition. Routledge; 2015.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315879819>

155.

Steans J. Engaging from the Margins: Feminist Encounters with the 'Mainstream' of International Relations. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2003;5(3):428-454. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.00114

156.

Steans J. Gender, Feminism, and International Relations. In: Gender and International Relations: Issues, Debates and Future Directions. 2nd ed., rev.expanded. Polity; 2006:7-19.

157.

Tickner JA. Chapter 1 - Engendered Insecurities: Feminist Perspectives on International Relations. In: Gender in International Relations: Feminist Perspectives on Achieving Global Security. Vol New directions in world politics. Columbia University Press; 1992.

158.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 10 - Feminism. In: International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.
<https://www-oxfordpoliticstrove-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.001.0001/hepl-9780192866455-chapter-12>

159.

J. Ann Tickner. You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists. International Studies Quarterly. 1997;41(4):611-632.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

160.

WAYLEN G. You still don't understand: why troubled engagements continue between feminists and (critical) IPE. Review of International Studies. 2006;32(01).
doi:10.1017/S0260210506006966

161.

Weber C. Good girls, little girls and bad girls: Male paranoia in Robert Keohane's critique of feminist international relations'. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 1994;23:337-349.

162.

Nair S. Introducing Postcolonialism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. E-International Relations Publishing. <https://www.e-ir.info/2017/12/08/postcolonialism-in-international-relations-theory/>

163.

De Genova N. The "migrant crisis" as racial crisis: do Black Lives Matter in Europe? Ethnic and Racial Studies. 2018;41(10):1765-1782. doi:10.1080/01419870.2017.1361543

164.

Rita Abrahamsen. African Studies and the Postcolonial Challenge. African Affairs. 2003;102(407):189-210. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3518676>

165.

Acharya A, Buzan B. Non-Western International Relations Theory: Perspectives on and beyond Asia. Routledge; 2010.

166.

Barkawi T, Laffey M. Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 2002;31(1):109-127. doi:10.1177/03058298020310010601

167.

Biccum AR. Development and the 'New' Imperialism: a reinvention of colonial discourse in DFID promotional literature. Third World Quarterly. 2005;26(6):1005-1020. doi:10.1080/01436590500139656

168.

Pinar Bilgin. Thinking past 'Western' IR? Third World Quarterly. 2008;29(1):5-23. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20455023>

169.

Chowdhury G, Nair S. Introduction: Power in a postcolonial world: race, gender and class in international relations. In: Power, Postcolonialism, and International Relations: Reading Race, Gender, and Class. Vol Routledge advances in international relations and global politics. Routledge; 2002:1-32. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315017181>

170.

Darby P. Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 2004;33(1):1-32. doi:10.1177/03058298040330010101

171.

Epstein C. The postcolonial perspective: an introduction. International Theory. 2014;6(02):294-311. doi:10.1017/S1752971914000219

172.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 12 - Postcolonialism. In: International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

173.

Hobson JM. The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010. Cambridge University Press; 2012. <https://bris.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139096829>

174.

Gruffydd Jones B, ed. Decolonizing International Relations. Rowman and Littlefield; 2006. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1343740>

175.

Matin K. Redeeming the universal: Postcolonialism and the inner life of Eurocentrism. European Journal of International Relations. 2013;19(2):353-377. doi:10.1177/1354066111425263

176.

Seth S, ed. *Postcolonial Theory and International Relations: A Critical Introduction*. Vol Interventions. Routledge; 2013.

177.

Seth, Sanjay (2011), 'Postcolonial Theory and the Critique of International Relations,' *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 40 (1), pp. 167-183.
doi:10.1177/0305829811412325?journalCode=mila

178.

TAYLOR L. Decolonizing International Relations: Perspectives from Latin America. *International Studies Review*. 2012;14(3):386-400. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2486.2012.01125.x

179.

Tucker K. Unraveling Coloniality in International Relations: Knowledge, Relationality, and Strategies for Engagement. *International Political Sociology*. 2018;12(3):215-232.
doi:10.1093/ips/oly005

180.

Vasilaki R. Provincialising IR? Deadlocks and Prospects in Post-Western IR Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2012;41(1):3-22.
doi:10.1177/0305829812451720

181.

Dietzel A. Introducing Global Justice in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/01/02/global-justice-in-international-relations-theory/>

182.

Dietzel A. The Paris Agreement - Protecting the Human Right to Health? *Global Policy*. 2017;8(3):313-321. doi:10.1111/1758-5899.12421

183.

Brown GW, Held D, eds. Editor's Introduction. In: The Cosmopolitanism Reader. Polity; 2010.

184.

Beardsworth R. Cosmopolitanism and International Relations Theory. Polity; 2011.

185.

Brock G. Global Justice. Oxford University Press; 2009.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199230938.001.0001

186.

Brown GW, Held D, eds. The Cosmopolitanism Reader. Polity; 2010.

187.

Brown GW. Grounding Cosmopolitanism: From Kant to the Idea of a Cosmopolitan Constitution. Edinburgh University Press; 2009.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3366/j.ctt1r1x04>

188.

Caney S. Climate change and the duties of the advantaged. Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy. 2010;13(1):203-228. doi:10.1080/13698230903326331

189.

Gardiner SM. Climate Ethics: Essential Readings. Oxford University Press; 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=547959>

190.

Lawrence P. Justice for Future Generations: Climate Change and International Law. Edward Elgar Publishing; 2014.

191.

Hayden P. Cosmopolitan Global Politics. Vol Ethics and global politics. Ashgate; 2005.

192.

Held D. Cosmopolitanism: Ideals and Realities. Polity Press; 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1180922>

193.

Held D. Cosmopolitanism: globalisation tamed? Review of International Studies. 2003;29(04). doi:10.1017/S0260210503004650

194.

Lu C. The One and Many Faces of Cosmopolitanism. Journal of Political Philosophy. 2000;8(2):244-267. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00101

195.

Moss J, ed. Climate Change and Justice. Cambridge University Press; 2015.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316145340>

196.

Shue H. Climate Justice: Vulnerability and Protection. Oxford University Press; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1695931>

197.

Tan KC. Justice without Borders: Cosmopolitanism, Nationalism, and Patriotism. Vol Contemporary Political Theory. Cambridge University Press; 2004.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511490385>