

Copy of POLI10003: Theories of International Relations

[View Online](#)

List to be reused by Alix Dietzel in 2020-21 academic year. NOT CURRENT LIST for 2019-20 academic year.

1

McGlinchey S. Getting Started with International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing <https://www.e-ir.info/2017/12/24/getting-started-with-international-relations-theory/>

2

Brown C, Ainley K. Introduction: Defining International Relations. In: Understanding international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2009. 1–17.

3

Adler E, Pouliot V. International practices. International Theory 2011;**3**:1–36. doi:10.1017/S175297191000031X

4

Buzan B, Little R. Why International Relations has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to do About it. Millennium 2001;**30**:19–39. doi:10.1177/03058298010300010401

5

Burchill S, Linklater A. Introduction. In: Theories of international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013. <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

6

Dunne T, Hansen L, Wight C. The end of International Relations theory? *European Journal of International Relations* 2013;**19**:405–25. doi:10.1177/1354066113495485

7

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 16 - The future of international relations: Fears and hopes. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

8

Jabri V. Reflections on the Study of International Relations. In: *Issues in international relations*. London: : Routledge 2000. 289–313.

9

Jackson R, Sorensen G. IR as an academic subject. In: *Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010. 28–57. <https://www-oxfordpoliticstrove-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/hepl/9780198862208.001.0001/hepl-9780198862208-chapter-2>

10

Doyle MW, Ikenberry GJ. Chapter 2 - Inventing International Relations: International Relations Theory after 1945'. In: *New thinking in international relations theory*. Oxford: : Westview Press 1997.

11

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 1 - International Relations and Social Science. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.

12

NICHOLSON M. What's the use of International Relations? *Review of International Studies* 2000;**26**:183–98. doi:10.1017/S0260210500001832

13

Schroeder PW. History and International Relations Theory: Not Use or Abuse, but Fit or Misfit. *International Security* 1997;**22**. doi:10.2307/2539329

14

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Introduction: Diversity and Disciplinarity in International Relations Theory. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.
<https://www-oxfordpoliticstrove-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.001.0001/hepl-9780192866455-chapter-1>

15

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 1 'On the History and Historiography of International Relations'. In: *Handbook of international relations*. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: : SAGE 2013.

16

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 16 - Still a Discipline After All these Debates? In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.

17

Walt SM. *International Relations: One World, Many Theories*. Foreign Policy Published Online First: Spring 1998. doi:10.2307/1149275

18

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 18 - All these theories yet the bodies keep piling up: Theory, Theorists, Theorising. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

19

Meiser JW. Introducing Liberalism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing

<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/18/introducing-liberalism-in-international-relations-theory/>

20

G. John Ikenberry. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. Perspectives on Politics 2009;**7**:71–87.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40407217?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

21

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, editors. Chapter 7 - Liberalism. In: The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014.

22

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 3 - Liberalism. In: Theories of international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

23

Charvet J, Kaczynska-Nay E. The Liberal Project and Human Rights: The Theory and Practice of a New World Order. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511755972>

24

Michael W. Doyle. Three Pillars of the Liberal Peace. The American Political Science Review 2005;**99**:463–6.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/30038953>

25

Franceschet A. The Ethical Foundations of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal 1999;**54**. doi:10.2307/40203406

26

Fukuyama F. The end of history and the last man. Twentieth anniversary edition. London: : Penguin Books 2012.

27

Gardner RN. The Comeback of Liberal Internationalism. The Washington Quarterly 1990;**13**:23–39. doi:10.1080/01636609009445391

28

Jean-Yves Haine. The European Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal 2009;**64**:453–79.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40204518>

29

Hoffmann S. The Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. Foreign Policy Published Online First: Spring 1995. doi:10.2307/1148964

30

Lawson S. Chapter 5 - Liberal International Theory. In: Theories of international relations: contending approaches to world politics. Cambridge, England: : Polity 2015. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1956448>

31

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 5 - Liberalism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

32

Axelrod R, Keohane RO. Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions. World Politics 1985;**38**:226–54. doi:10.2307/2010357

33

Campbell JL, Pedersen OK. The rise of neoliberalism and institutional analysis. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 2001.

34

G. John Ikenberry. The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. Foreign Affairs 2011;**90**:56–68.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/23039408>

35

Koivisto M, Dunne T. Crisis, What Crisis? Liberal Order Building and World Order Conventions. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 2010;**38**:615–40.
doi:10.1177/0305829810363509

36

Keohane RO, Martin LL. The Promise of Institutional Theory. International Security 1995;**20**. doi:10.2307/2539214

37

Lisa L. Martin and Beth A. Simmons. Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions. International Organization 1998;**52**
:729–57.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601356>

38

Beth A. Simmons, Frank Dobbin and Geoffrey Garrett. Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. International Organization 2006;**60**
:781–810.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877847>

39

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 6 - Neoliberalism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

40

Reus-Smit C, Snidal D. Chapter 11 - Neoliberal Institutionalism. In: The Oxford handbook of international relations. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

41

WEISS TG. How United Nations ideas change history. Review of International Studies 2010; **36**:3–23. doi:10.1017/S026021051100009X

42

Antunes S, Camisao I. Introducing Realism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/27/introducing-realism-in-international-relations-theory/>

43

Mearsheimer, J., 'Hans Morgenthau and the Iraq War: Realism Versus Neoconservatism.'
https://www.opendemocracy.net/democracy-americanpower/morgenthau_2522.jsp

44

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 2 - Realism. In: Theories of international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://r2.vlereader.com/EpubReader?ean=1781137311368>

45

William Bain. Deconfusing Morgenthau: Moral Inquiry and Classical Realism Reconsidered. Review of International Studies 2000;**26**:445–64.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097688>

46

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 2 - The Timeless Wisdom of Realism. In: International Theory: Positivism and Beyond. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

47

Robert G. Gilpin. The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. International Organization 1984;**38**:287–304.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706441>

48

Guzzini S. The Enduring Dilemmas of Realism in International Relations. European Journal of International Relations 2004;**10**:533–68. doi:10.1177/1354066104047848

49

Jervis, Robert. Hans Morgenthau, Realism, and the Scientific Study of International Politics. Social Research;**61**
.https://search.proquest.com/docview/1297235334?accountid=9730&rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

50

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 3 - Classical Realism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

51

Lebow RN. Tragedy, Politics and Political Science. International Relations 2005;**19**:329–36. doi:10.1177/0047117805055410

52

Morgenthau HJ. Another "Great Debate": The National Interest of the United States. American Political Science Review 1952;**46**:961–88. doi:10.2307/1952108

53

Justin Rosenberg. What's the Matter with Realism? Review of International Studies 1990;**16**:285–303.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/20097232>

54

Richard K. Ashley. The Poverty of Neorealism. International Organization 1984;**38**

:225-86.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2706440>

55

Guzzini, G., 'Structural Power: The Limits of Neorealist Power Analysis' *International Organization*, 47(3), 1993, pp. 443-478.

<http://uu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:97877/FULLTEXT02.pdf>

56

Keohane RO, Waltz KN. *Neorealism and its critics*. New York: : Columbia University Press 1986.

57

Booth K, Smith S. Chapter 11 - Neorealism in Theory and In Practice. In: *International relations theory today*. Cambridge: : Polity Press 1995.

58

Mearsheimer JJ. Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. *International Security* 1990;**15**. doi:10.2307/2538981

59

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 4 - Structural Realism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

60

Davis N. A rose by any other name. *Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine* 2014;**25**. doi:10.1016/j.jflm.2014.04.021

61

Waltz KN. *Theory of international politics*. London: : McGraw-Hill 1979.

62

Kenneth N. Waltz. Structural Realism after the Cold War. *International Security* 2000;**25**:5–41.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2626772>

63

Michael C. Williams. Neo-Realism and the Future of Strategy. *Review of International Studies* 1993;**19**:103–21.http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097326?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

64

Baldwin DA. *Neorealism and neoliberalism: the contemporary debate*. New York: : Columbia University Press 1993.

65

Joseph M. Grieco. Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. *International Organization* 1988;**42**:485–507.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706787?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

66

Robert Jervis. Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security* 1999;**24**:42–63.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539347>

67

Keohane RO. International Institutions: Two Approaches. *International Studies Quarterly* 1988;**32**. doi:10.2307/2600589

68

Nye JS. Neorealism and Neoliberalism. *World Politics* 1988;**40**:235–51. doi:10.2307/2010363

69

Powell R. Anarchy in international relations theory: the neorealist-neoliberal debate. *International Organization* 1994;**48**. doi:10.1017/S0020818300028204

70

Stivachtis Y. Introducing the English School in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/23/introducing-the-english-school-in-international-relations-theory/>

71

Gallagher AM. A Clash of Responsibilities: Engaging with Realist Critiques of the R2P. *Global Responsibility to Protect* 2012;**4**:334–57. doi:10.1163/1875984X-00403004

72

Bellamy AJ, editor. *International Society and its Critics*. Oxford University Press 2004. doi:10.1093/0199265208.001.0001

73

Bull H. *The anarchical society: a study of order in world politics*. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: : Palgrave 2002.

74

Buzan B. *An introduction to the English school of international relations: the societal approach*. Cambridge: : Polity 2014.

75

Buzan B. *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2004.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616617>

76

Barry Buzan. The English School: An Underexploited Resource in IR. Review of International Studies 2001;**27**
:471-88.http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097749?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

77

Dunne T. Inventing international society: a history of the English school. Basingstoke: : Macmillan in association with St Anthony's College, Oxford 1998.

78

Martha Finnemore. Exporting the English School? Review of International Studies 2001;**27**
:509-13.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097753>

79

Jackson RH. The global covenant: human conduct in a world of states. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000.

80

Jackson RH, Sørensen G. Chapter 5 - International Society. In: Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

81

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 4 - The English School. In: Theories of international relations . Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

82

Linklater A. The Problem of Harm in World Politics: Theoretical Investigations. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790348>

83

Linklater A, Suganami H. The English School of International Relations: A Contemporary Reassessment. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491528>

84

LITTLE R. The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations. European Journal of International Relations 2000;**6**:395–422.
[doi:10.1177/1354066100006003004](https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066100006003004)

85

Bloomsbury Collections - International Society and the Development of International Relations Theory.
<https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/international-society-and-the-development-of-international-relations-theory/>

86

Wheeler NJ. Saving strangers: humanitarian intervention in international society. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=3052740>

87

Wheeler NJ. Pluralist or Solidarist Conceptions of International Society: Bull and Vincent on Humanitarian Intervention. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 1992;**21**:463–87.
[doi:10.1177/03058298920210030201](https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298920210030201)

88

Pal M. Introducing Marxism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/25/introducing-marxism-in-international-relations-theory/>

89

Ferreira MF. Introducing Critical Theory in International Relations. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/18/introducing-critical-theory-in-international-relations/>

90

Dunn, B., 'Can Marxism Explain the Crisis?' The Socialist Review, 363 (November), 2011.
<http://socialistreview.org.uk/363/can-marxism-explain-crisis>

91

Burnham P. Marx, international political economy and globalisation. Capital & Class 2001;
25:103–12. doi:10.1177/030981680107500109

92

Bieler A, Morton AD. A critical theory route to hegemony, world order and historical change: neo-Gramscian perspectives in International Relations. Capital & Class 2004;**28**:85–113. doi:10.1177/030981680408200106

93

Callinicos A. Marxism and the International: The Future of the Capitalist State, Historical Materialism and Globalisation. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations 2004;**6**:426–33. doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2004.00148.x

94

Cox RW. Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 1981;**10**:126–55.

95

Cox RW. Gramsci, hegemony and international relations: An essay in method. Millennium : journal of international studies 1983;**12**:162–75.

96

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 7 - Critical Theory. In: Theories of international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

97

el-Ojeili C. Reflections on Wallerstein: The Modern World-System, Four Decades on. *Critical Sociology* 2015;**41**:679–700. doi:10.1177/0896920513497377

98

Randall D. Germain and Michael Kenny. Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. *Review of International Studies* 1998;**24**:3–21.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097503>

99

Gill SR, Law D. Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly* 1989;**33**. doi:10.2307/2600523

100

Gramsci A, Hoare Q, Nowell-Smith G. *Selections from the prison notebooks of Antonio Gramsci*. London: : Lawrence and Wishart 1971.

101

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, editors. Chapter 9 - Marxist Theories of International Relations. In: *The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014.
<https://www-oxfordpoliticstrove-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/hepl/9780192898142.001.0001/hepl-9780192898142-chapter-8>

102

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 5 - Marx and Marxism. In: *Theories of international relations*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

103

Maclean J. Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 1988;**17**:295–319.
doi:10.1177/03058298880170021201

104

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 8 - Marxism and Critical Theory. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

105

Wallerstein I. Chapter 2 - The Modern World-System as a Capitalist World-Economy. In: *World-systems analysis: an introduction*. Durham, N.C.: : Duke University Press 2004. 23–41.<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=3007861&ppg=38>

106

Immanuel Wallerstein. The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis. *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 1974;**16**:387–415.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/178015>

107

Theys S. Introducing Constructivism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing <https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/23/introducing-constructivism-in-international-relations-theory/>

108

Richard Price. A Genealogy of the Chemical Weapons Taboo. *International Organization* 1995;**49**:73–103.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706867?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

109

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 5 - Constructivism in International Relations: Sources, Contributions and Debates. In: *Handbook of international relations*. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: : SAGE 2013.

110

Pretorius J. The Security Imaginary: Explaining Military Isomorphism. *Security Dialogue* 2008;**39**:99–120. doi:10.1177/0967010607086825

111

Björkdahl A. Norms in International Relations: Some Conceptual and Methodological Reflections. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs* 2002;**15**:9–23. doi:10.1080/09557570220126216

112

R. Charli Carpenter. Vetting the Advocacy Agenda: Network Centrality and the Paradox of Weapons Norms. *International Organization* 2011;**65**:69–102. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23016104>

113

Checkel JT. The Constructive Turn in International Relations Theory. *World Politics* 1998;**50**:324–48. doi:10.1017/S0043887100008133

114

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

115

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink. International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization* 1998;**52**:887–917. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601361?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

116

Finnemore M, Sikkink K. Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2001;**4**:391–416. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.391

117

Hopf T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security* 1998;**23**. doi:10.2307/2539267

118

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 3 - Ethics and Norms in International Relations. In: *Handbook of international relations*. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: : SAGE 2013.

119

Della Porta D, Keating M, editors. Chapter 5 - Constructivism: What It Is (Not) and How It Matters. In: *Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences: a pluralist perspective*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801938>

120

Onuf NG. *Making sense, making worlds: constructivism in social theory and international relations*. New York: : Routledge 2013.

121

Ronen Palan. A World of Their Making: An Evaluation of the Constructivist Critique in International Relations. *Review of International Studies* 2000;**26**:575-98.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097700>

122

Reus-Smit C. Imagining Society: Constructivism and the English School. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations* 2002;**4**:487-509. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.00091

123

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: *Theories of international relations*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311>

368

124

Weldes J. Constructing National Interests'. *European journal of international relations* 1996; **2**:275–318.<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1354066196002003001>

125

Alexander Wendt. Anarchy is what States Make of it: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization* 1992;**46**:391–425.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706858>

126

McMorrow A. Introducing Poststructuralism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/02/13/introducing-poststructuralism-in-international-relations-theory/>

127

Considine, L., 'Back to the Rough Ground! A Grammatical Approach to Trust and International Relations', *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 44(1)1 (2015), pp. 109-127.
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/86659/1/Laura%20Considine%20%27Back%20to%20the%20Rough%20Ground%27%20Millennium%20Article%20June%202015.pdf>

128

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. 'Chapter 11 - The Achievements of Poststructuralism. In: *International theory: positivism and beyond*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054.013>

129

Callinicos A. Chapter 3 - The Aporias of Poststructuralism. In: *Against postmodernism: a marxist critique*. Cambridge: : Polity Press 1989. 62–91.

130

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 11 - Poststructuralism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

131

David Campbell. Global Inscription: How Foreign Policy Constitutes the United States. Alternatives: Global, Local, Political 1990;**15**:263-86.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40644685?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

132

Shapiro MJ, Der Derian J. International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics. Lexington, Mass: : Lexington Books 1989.

133

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 8 - Post-Structuralism. In: Theories of international relations . Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

134

Doty R. The Logic of Differance in International Relations: US Colonization of the Philippines. In: Beer FA, Hariman R, eds. Post-realism: the rhetorical turn in international relations. East Lansing: : Michigan State University press 1996. 331-45.

135

Edkins J, Pin-Fat V. Through the Wire: Relations of Power and Relations of Violence. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 2005;**34**:1-24.
doi:10.1177/03058298050340010101

136

Edkins J, Vaughan-Williams N. Critical theorists and international relations. London: : Routledge 2009. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=411003>

137

Hansen L. Discourse Analysis, Identity and Foreign Policy. In: Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war. London: : Routledge 2006. 17-36.<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=200689&ppg=33>

138

MILLIKEN J. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: European Journal of International Relations 1999;**5**:225-54. doi:10.1177/1354066199005002003

139

Foucault M, Rabinow P. The Foucault reader. Harmondsworth: : Penguin Books 1986.

140

Hollinger R. 'Chapter 7 - Modernity, Post-Modernism and International Relations'. In: Postmodernism and the social sciences: a thematic approach. Thousand Oaks, Calif: : Sage 1994.

141

Shapiro M. Textualising Global Politics. In: International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics. Lexington, Mass: : Lexington Books 1989. 11-22.

142

Rosenau P. Once Again Into the Fray: International Relations Confronts the Humanities. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 1990;**19**:83-110. doi:10.1177/03058298900190010701

143

Cynthia Weber. Reconsidering Statehood: Examining the Sovereignty/Intervention Boundary. Review of International Studies 1992;**18**:199-216.http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097298?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

144

Smith S. Introducing Feminism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. *International Relations Theory*. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing <https://www.e-ir.info/2018/01/04/feminism-in-international-relations-theory/>

145

Roff HM. Gendering a Warbot. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 2016;**18**:1–18. doi:10.1080/14616742.2015.1094246

146

Brooke Ackerly and Jacqui True. Reflexivity in Practice: Power and Ethics in Feminist Research on International Relations. *International Studies Review* 2008;**10**:693–707. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25482017>

147

Bulmer S. Patriarchal Confusion? Making Sense of Gay and Lesbian Military Identity. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 2013;**15**:137–56. doi:10.1080/14616742.2012.746565

148

Enloe CH. Chapter 5 - Gender makes the World Go Round: Where are the Women? In: *Bananas, beaches and bases: making feminist sense of international politics*. Berkeley: : University of California Press 2014. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1687669>

149

Carpenter RC. Recognizing Gender-Based Violence Against Civilian Men and Boys in Conflict Situations. *Security Dialogue* 2006;**37**:83–103. doi:10.1177/0967010606064139

150

Terrell Carver, Molly Cochran and Judith Squires. Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. *Review of International Studies* 1998;**24**:283-97.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097523>

151

Hooper C. Manly states: masculinities, international relations, and gender politics. New York: : Columbia University Press 2001.

152

Adam Jones. Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. *Review of International Studies* 1996;**22**:405-29.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097459>

153

Peterson V. S., 'Feminist Theories Within, Invisible To, and Beyond IR' *Brown Journal of World Affairs*, 10(2), 2004, pp. 1-11.
<http://www.u.arizona.edu/~spikep/Publications/VSP%20Fem%20in&bey%20IR%20BrownJ%20P&P2004.pdf>

154

Shepherd LJ, editor. Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. Second edition. London: : Routledge 2015.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315879819>

155

Steans J. Engaging from the Margins: Feminist Encounters with the 'Mainstream' of International Relations. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations* 2003;**5**:428-54. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.00114

156

Steans J. Gender, Feminism, and International Relations. In: Gender and international relations: issues, debates and future directions. Cambridge: : Polity 2006. 7-19.

157

Tickner JA. Chapter 1 - Engendered Insecurities: Feminist Perspectives on International Relations. In: Gender in international relations: feminist perspectives on achieving global security. New York: : Columbia University Press 1992.

158

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 10 - Feminism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.
<https://www-oxfordpoliticstrove-com.bris.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.001.0001/hepl-9780192866455-chapter-12>

159

J. Ann Tickner. You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists. International Studies Quarterly 1997;**41**
 :611-32.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

160

WAYLEN G. You still don't understand: why troubled engagements continue between feminists and (critical) IPE. Review of International Studies 2006;**32**.
 doi:10.1017/S0260210506006966

161

Weber C. Good girls, little girls and bad girls: Male paranoia in Robert Keohane's critique of feminist international relations'. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 1994;**23**
 :337-49.

162

Nair S. Introducing Postcolonialism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing
<https://www.e-ir.info/2017/12/08/postcolonialism-in-international-relations-theory/>

163

De Genova N. The "migrant crisis" as racial crisis: do Black Lives Matter in Europe? Ethnic

and Racial Studies 2018;**41**:1765–82. doi:10.1080/01419870.2017.1361543

164

Rita Abrahamsen. African Studies and the Postcolonial Challenge. African Affairs 2003;**102**:189–210.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3518676>

165

Acharya A, Buzan B. Non-Western international relations theory: perspectives on and beyond Asia. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2010.

166

Barkawi T, Laffey M. Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 2002;**31**:109–27. doi:10.1177/03058298020310010601

167

Biccum AR. Development and the 'New' Imperialism: a reinvention of colonial discourse in DFID promotional literature. Third World Quarterly 2005;**26**:1005–20. doi:10.1080/01436590500139656

168

Pinar Bilgin. Thinking past 'Western' IR? Third World Quarterly 2008;**29**:5–23.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20455023>

169

Chowdhury G, Nair S. Introduction: Power in a postcolonial world: race, gender and class in international relations. In: Power, postcolonialism, and international relations: reading race, gender, and class. London: : Routledge 2002. 1–32.<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315017181>

170

Darby P. Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 2004;**33**:1–32.
doi:10.1177/03058298040330010101

171

Epstein C. The postcolonial perspective: an introduction. *International Theory* 2014;**6**:294–311. doi:10.1017/S1752971914000219

172

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 12 - Postcolonialism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

173

Hobson JM. *The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.
<https://bris.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139096829>

174

Gruffydd Jones B, editor. *Decolonizing international relations*. Lanham, Md: : Rowman and Littlefield 2006. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1343740>

175

Matin K. Redeeming the universal: Postcolonialism and the inner life of Eurocentrism. *European Journal of International Relations* 2013;**19**:353–77.
doi:10.1177/1354066111425263

176

Seth S, editor. *Postcolonial theory and international relations: a critical introduction*. Abingdon: : Routledge 2013.

177

Seth, Sanjay (2011), 'Postcolonial Theory and the Critique of International Relations,' Millennium: Journal of International Studies, 40 (1), pp. 167-183.
doi:10.1177/0305829811412325?journalCode=mila

178

TAYLOR L. Decolonizing International Relations: Perspectives from Latin America. International Studies Review 2012;**14**:386–400. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2486.2012.01125.x

179

Tucker K. Unraveling Coloniality in International Relations: Knowledge, Relationality, and Strategies for Engagement. International Political Sociology 2018;**12**:215–32.
doi:10.1093/ips/oly005

180

Vasilaki R. Provincialising IR? Deadlocks and Prospects in Post-Western IR Theory. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 2012;**41**:3–22.
doi:10.1177/0305829812451720

181

Dietzel A. Introducing Global Justice in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey S, et al., eds. International Relations Theory. Bristol: : E-International Relations Publishing
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/01/02/global-justice-in-international-relations-theory/>

182

Dietzel A. The Paris Agreement - Protecting the Human Right to Health? Global Policy 2017;**8**:313–21. doi:10.1111/1758-5899.12421

183

Brown GW, Held D, editors. Editor's Introduction. In: The cosmopolitanism reader. Cambridge: : Polity 2010.

184

Beardsworth R. Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory. Cambridge: : Polity 2011.

185

Brock G. Global Justice. Oxford University Press 2009.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199230938.001.0001

186

Brown GW, Held D, editors. The cosmopolitanism reader. Cambridge: : Polity 2010.

187

Brown GW. Grounding cosmopolitanism: from Kant to the idea of a cosmopolitan constitution. Edinburgh [Scotland]: : Edinburgh University Press 2009.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3366/j.ctt1r1x04>

188

Caney S. Climate change and the duties of the advantaged. Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy 2010;**13**:203–28. doi:10.1080/13698230903326331

189

Gardiner SM. Climate ethics: essential readings. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=547959>

190

Lawrence P. Justice for future generations: climate change and international law. Cheltenham: : Edward Elgar Publishing 2014.

191

Hayden P. Cosmopolitan global politics. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2005.

192

Held D. Cosmopolitanism: ideals and realities. Cambridge: : Polity Press 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1180922>

193

Held D. Cosmopolitanism: globalisation tamed? Review of International Studies 2003;**29**.
doi:10.1017/S0260210503004650

194

Lu C. The One and Many Faces of Cosmopolitanism. Journal of Political Philosophy 2000;**8**:244-67. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00101

195

Moss J, editor. Climate Change and Justice. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2015.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316145340>

196

Shue H. Climate justice: vulnerability and protection. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1695931>

197

Tan K-C. Justice without Borders: Cosmopolitanism, Nationalism, and Patriotism. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2004.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511490385>