

Copy of POLI10003: Theories of International Relations

[View Online](#)

List to be reused by Alix Dietzel in 2020-21 academic year. NOT CURRENT LIST for 2019-20 academic year.

1.

McGlinchey, S.: Getting Started with International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) International Relations Theory. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

2.

Brown, C., Ainley, K.: Introduction: Defining International Relations. In: Understanding international relations. pp. 1-17. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2009).

3.

Adler, E., Pouliot, V.: International practices. International Theory. 3, 1-36 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S175297191000031X>.

4.

Buzan, B., Little, R.: Why International Relations has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to do About it. Millennium. 30, 19-39 (2001).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298010300010401>.

5.

Burchill, S., Linklater, A.: Introduction. In: Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

6.

Dunne, T., Hansen, L., Wight, C.: The end of International Relations theory? European Journal of International Relations. 19, 405-425 (2013).

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066113495485>.

7.

Smith, S., Booth, K., Zalewski, M.: Chapter 16 - The future of international relations: Fears and hopes. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996).

8.

Jabri, V.: Reflections on the Study of International Relations. In: *Issues in international relations*. pp. 289–313. Routledge, London (2000).

9.

Jackson, R., Sorensen, G.: IR as an academic subject. In: *Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches*. pp. 28–57. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

10.

Doyle, M.W., Ikenberry, G.J.: Chapter 2 - Inventing International Relations: International Relations Theory after 1945'. In: *New thinking in international relations theory*. Westview Press, Oxford (1997).

11.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S.: Chapter 1 - International Relations and Social Science. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

12.

NICHOLSON, M.: What's the use of International Relations? *Review of International Studies*. 26, 183–198 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500001832>.

13.

Schroeder, P.W.: *History and International Relations Theory: Not Use or Abuse, but Fit or*

Misfit. *International Security*. 22, (1997). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539329>.

14.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Introduction: Diversity and Disciplinarity in International Relations Theory. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

15.

Carlsnaes, W., Risse-Kappen, T., Simmons, B.A.: Chapter 1 'On the History and Historiography of International Relations'. In: *Handbook of international relations*. SAGE, Los Angeles, [Calif.] (2013).

16.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S.: Chapter 16 - Still a Discipline After All these Debates? In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

17.

Walt, S.M.: *International Relations: One World, Many Theories*. Foreign Policy. (1998). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1149275>.

18.

Smith, S., Booth, K., Zalewski, M.: Chapter 18 - All these theories yet the bodies keep piling up: Theory, Theorists, Theorising. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996).

19.

Meiser, J.W.: Introducing Liberalism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

20.

G. John Ikenberry: Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*. 7, 71–87 (2009).

21.

Baylis, J., Smith, S., Owens, P. eds: Chapter 7 - Liberalism. In: *The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

22.

Burchill, S., Linklater, A.: Chapter 3 - Liberalism. In: *Theories of international relations*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

23.

Charvet, J., Kaczynska-Nay, E.: *The Liberal Project and Human Rights: The Theory and Practice of a New World Order*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008).

24.

Michael W. Doyle: Three Pillars of the Liberal Peace. *The American Political Science Review*. 99, 463–466 (2005).

25.

Franceschet, A.: The Ethical Foundations of Liberal Internationalism. *International Journal*. 54, (1999). <https://doi.org/10.2307/40203406>.

26.

Fukuyama, F.: *The end of history and the last man*. Penguin Books, London (2012).

27.

Gardner, R.N.: The Comeback of Liberal Internationalism. *The Washington Quarterly*. 13, 23–39 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01636609009445391>.

28.

Jean-Yves Haine: The European Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. *International Journal*. 64, 453-479 (2009).

29.

Hoffmann, S.: The Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. *Foreign Policy*. (1995).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1148964>.

30.

Lawson, S.: Chapter 5 - Liberal International Theory. In: *Theories of international relations: contending approaches to world politics*. Polity, Cambridge, England (2015).

31.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Chapter 5 - Liberalism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

32.

Axelrod, R., Keohane, R.O.: Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions. *World Politics*. 38, 226-254 (1985). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2010357>.

33.

Campbell, J.L., Pedersen, O.K.: The rise of neoliberalism and institutional analysis. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (2001).

34.

G. John Ikenberry: The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. *Foreign Affairs*. 90, 56-68 (2011).

35.

Koivisto, M., Dunne, T.: Crisis, What Crisis? Liberal Order Building and World Order Conventions. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 38, 615–640 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829810363509>.

36.

Keohane, R.O., Martin, L.L.: The Promise of Institutional Theory. *International Security*. 20, (1995). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539214>.

37.

Lisa L. Martin and Beth A. Simmons: Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions. *International Organization*. 52, 729–757 (1998).

38.

Beth A. Simmons, Frank Dobbin and Geoffrey Garrett: Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. *International Organization*. 60, 781–810 (2006).

39.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Chapter 6 - Neoliberalism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

40.

Reus-Smit, C., Snidal, D.: Chapter 11 - Neoliberal Institutionalism. In: *The Oxford handbook of international relations*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

41.

WEISS, T.G.: How United Nations ideas change history. *Review of International Studies*. 36, 3–23 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S026021051100009X>.

42.

Antunes, S., Camisao, I.: Introducing Realism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations

Publishing, Bristol.

43.

Mearsheimer, J., 'Hans Morgenthau and the Iraq War: Realism Versus Neoconservatism.', https://www.opendemocracy.net/democracy-americanpower/morgenthau_2522.jsp.

44.

Burchill, S., Linklater, A.: Chapter 2 - Realism. In: Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

45.

William Bain: Deconfusing Morgenthau: Moral Inquiry and Classical Realism Reconsidered. Review of International Studies. 26, 445–464 (2000).

46.

Smith, S., Booth, K., Zalewski, M.: Chapter 2 - The Timeless Wisdom of Realism. In: International Theory: Positivism and Beyond. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996).

47.

Robert G. Gilpin: The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. International Organization. 38, 287–304 (1984).

48.

Guzzini, S.: The Enduring Dilemmas of Realism in International Relations. European Journal of International Relations. 10, 533–568 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066104047848>.

49.

Jervis, Robert: Hans Morgenthau, Realism, and the Scientific Study of International Politics. Social Research. 61,.

50.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Chapter 3 - Classical Realism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

51.

Lebow, R.N.: Tragedy, Politics and Political Science. International Relations. 19, 329–336 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117805055410>.

52.

Morgenthau, H.J.: Another "Great Debate": The National Interest of the United States. American Political Science Review. 46, 961–988 (1952). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1952108>.

53.

Justin Rosenberg: What's the Matter with Realism? Review of International Studies. 16, 285–303 (1990).

54.

Richard K. Ashley: The Poverty of Neorealism. International Organization. 38, 225–286 (1984).

55.

Guzzini, G., 'Structural Power: The Limits of Neorealist Power Analysis' International Organization, 47(3), 1993, pp. 443-478.

56.

Keohane, R.O., Waltz, K.N.: Neorealism and its critics. Columbia University Press, New York (1986).

57.

Booth, K., Smith, S.: Chapter 11 - Neorealism in Theory and In Practice. In: International relations theory today. Polity Press, Cambridge (1995).

58.

Mearsheimer, J.J.: Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. International Security. 15, (1990). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2538981>.

59.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Chapter 4 - Structural Realism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

60.

Davis, N.: A rose by any other name. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine. 25, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jflm.2014.04.021>.

61.

Waltz, K.N.: Theory of international politics. McGraw-Hill, London (1979).

62.

Kenneth N. Waltz: Structural Realism after the Cold War. International Security. 25, 5–41 (2000).

63.

Michael C. Williams: Neo-Realism and the Future of Strategy. Review of International Studies. 19, 103–121 (1993).

64.

Baldwin, D.A.: Neorealism and neoliberalism: the contemporary debate. Columbia University Press, New York (1993).

65.

Joseph M. Grieco: Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. *International Organization*. 42, 485–507 (1988).

66.

Robert Jervis: Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security*. 24, 42–63 (1999).

67.

Keohane, R.O.: International Institutions: Two Approaches. *International Studies Quarterly*. 32, (1988). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600589>.

68.

Nye, J.S.: Neorealism and Neoliberalism. *World Politics*. 40, 235–251 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2010363>.

69.

Powell, R.: Anarchy in international relations theory: the neorealist-neoliberal debate. *International Organization*. 48, (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300028204>.

70.

Stivachtis, Y.: Introducing the English School in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

71.

Gallagher, A.M.: A Clash of Responsibilities: Engaging with Realist Critiques of the R2P. *Global Responsibility to Protect*. 4, 334–357 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1163/1875984X-00403004>.

72.

Bellamy, A.J. ed: International Society and its Critics. Oxford University Press (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/0199265208.001.0001>.

73.

Bull, H.: The anarchical society: a study of order in world politics. Palgrave, Basingstoke (2002).

74.

Buzan, B.: An introduction to the English school of international relations: the societal approach. Polity, Cambridge (2014).

75.

Buzan, B.: From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

76.

Barry Buzan: The English School: An Underexploited Resource in IR. Review of International Studies. 27, 471–488 (2001).

77.

Dunne, T.: Inventing international society: a history of the English school. Macmillan in association with St Anthony's College, Oxford, Basingstoke (1998).

78.

Martha Finnemore: Exporting the English School? Review of International Studies. 27, 509–513 (2001).

79.

Jackson, R.H.: The global covenant: human conduct in a world of states. Oxford University

Press, Oxford (2000).

80.

Jackson, R.H., Sørensen, G.: Chapter 5 - International Society. In: Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

81.

Burchill, S., Linklater, A.: Chapter 4 - The English School. In: Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

82.

Linklater, A.: The Problem of Harm in World Politics: Theoretical Investigations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2011).

83.

Linklater, A., Suganami, H.: The English School of International Relations: A Contemporary Reassessment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).

84.

LITTLE, R.: The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations. European Journal of International Relations. 6, 395–422 (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066100006003004>.

85.

Bloomsbury Collections - International Society and the Development of International Relations Theory,
<https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/international-society-and-the-development-of-international-relations-theory/>.

86.

Wheeler, N.J.: *Saving strangers: humanitarian intervention in international society*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000).

87.

Wheeler, N.J.: Pluralist or Solidarist Conceptions of International Society: Bull and Vincent on Humanitarian Intervention. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 21, 463–487 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298920210030201>.

88.

Pal, M.: Introducing Marxism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

89.

Ferreira, M.F.: Introducing Critical Theory in International Relations. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

90.

Dunn, B., 'Can Marxism Explain the Crisis?' *The Socialist Review*, 363 (November), 2011, <http://socialistreview.org.uk/363/can-marxism-explain-crisis>.

91.

Burnham, P.: Marx, international political economy and globalisation. *Capital & Class*. 25, 103–112 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1177/030981680107500109>.

92.

Bieler, A., Morton, A.D.: A critical theory route to hegemony, world order and historical change: neo-Gramscian perspectives in International Relations. *Capital & Class*. 28, 85–113 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1177/030981680408200106>.

93.

Callinicos, A.: Marxism and the International: The Future of the Capitalist State, Historical Materialism and Globalisation. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 6,

426–433 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2004.00148.x>.

94.

Cox, R.W.: Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 10, 126–155 (1981).

95.

Cox, R.W.: Gramsci, hegemony and international relations: An essay in method. *Millennium: journal of international studies*. 12, 162–175 (1983).

96.

Burchill, S., Linklater, A.: Chapter 7 - Critical Theory. In: *Theories of international relations*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

97.

el-Ojeili, C.: Reflections on Wallerstein: The Modern World-System, Four Decades on. *Critical Sociology*. 41, 679–700 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0896920513497377>.

98.

Randall D. Germain and Michael Kenny: Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. *Review of International Studies*. 24, 3–21 (1998).

99.

Gill, S.R., Law, D.: Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly*. 33, (1989). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600523>.

100.

Gramsci, A., Hoare, Q., Nowell-Smith, G.: *Selections from the prison notebooks of Antonio Gramsci*. Lawrence and Wishart, London (1971).

101.

Baylis, J., Smith, S., Owens, P. eds: Chapter 9 - Marxist Theories of International Relations. In: The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

102.

Burchill, S., Linklater, A.: Chapter 5 - Marx and Marxism. In: Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

103.

Maclean, J.: Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 17, 295–319 (1988).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170021201>.

104.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Chapter 8 - Marxism and Critical Theory. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

105.

Wallerstein, I.: Chapter 2 - The Modern World-System as a Capitalist World-Economy. In: World-systems analysis: an introduction. pp. 23–41. Duke University Press, Durham, N.C. (2004).

106.

Immanuel Wallerstein: The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis. Comparative Studies in Society and History. 16, 387–415 (1974).

107.

Theys, S.: Introducing Constructivism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) International Relations Theory. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

108.

Richard Price: A Genealogy of the Chemical Weapons Taboo. *International Organization*. 49, 73–103 (1995).

109.

Carlsnaes, W., Risse-Kappen, T., Simmons, B.A.: Chapter 5 - Constructivism in International Relations: Sources, Contributions and Debates. In: *Handbook of international relations*. SAGE, Los Angeles, [Calif.] (2013).

110.

Pretorius, J.: The Security Imaginary: Explaining Military Isomorphism. *Security Dialogue*. 39, 99–120 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010607086825>.

111.

Björkdahl, A.: Norms in International Relations: Some Conceptual and Methodological Reflections. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*. 15, 9–23 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09557570220126216>.

112.

R. Charli Carpenter: Vetting the Advocacy Agenda: Network Centrality and the Paradox of Weapons Norms. *International Organization*. 65, 69–102 (2011).

113.

Checkel, J.T.: The Constructive Turn in International Relations Theory. *World Politics*. 50, 324–348 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100008133>.

114.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

115.

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink: International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization*. 52, 887–917 (1998).

116.

Finnemore, M., Sikkink, K.: Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 4, 391–416 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.391>.

117.

Hopf, T.: The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security*. 23, (1998). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539267>.

118.

Carlsnaes, W., Risse-Kappen, T., Simmons, B.A.: Chapter 3 - Ethics and Norms in International Relations. In: *Handbook of international relations*. SAGE, Los Angeles, [Calif.] (2013).

119.

Della Porta, D., Keating, M. eds: Chapter 5 - Constructivism: What It Is (Not) and How It Matters. In: *Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences: a pluralist perspective*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008).

120.

Onuf, N.G.: *Making sense, making worlds: constructivism in social theory and international relations*. Routledge, New York (2013).

121.

Ronen Palan: A World of Their Making: An Evaluation of the Constructivist Critique in International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 26, 575–598 (2000).

122.

Reus-Smit, C.: Imagining Society: Constructivism and the English School. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 4, 487–509 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856X.00091>.

123.

Burchill, S., Linklater, A.: Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: *Theories of international relations*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

124.

Weldes, J.: Constructing National Interests'. *European journal of international relations*. 2, 275–318 (1996).

125.

Alexander Wendt: Anarchy is what States Make of it: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization*. 46, 391–425 (1992).

126.

McMorrow, A.: Introducing Poststructuralism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

127.

Considine, L., 'Back to the Rough Ground! A Grammatical Approach to Trust and International Relations', *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 44(1)1 (2015), pp. 109-127.

128.

Smith, S., Booth, K., Zalewski, M.: 'Chapter 11 - The Achievements of Poststructuralism. In: *International theory: positivism and beyond*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996).

129.

Callinicos, A.: Chapter 3 - The Aporias of Poststructuralism. In: *Against postmodernism: a marxist critique*. pp. 62–91. Polity Press, Cambridge (1989).

130.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Chapter 11 - Poststructuralism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

131.

David Campbell: *Global Inscription: How Foreign Policy Constitutes the United States. Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 15, 263–286 (1990).

132.

Shapiro, M.J., Der Derian, J.: *International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics*. Lexington Books, Lexington, Mass (1989).

133.

Burchill, S., Linklater, A.: Chapter 8 - Post-Structuralism. In: *Theories of international relations*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2013).

134.

Doty, R.: The Logic of Differance in International Relations: US Colonization of the Philippines. In: Beer, F.A. and Hariman, R. (eds.) *Post-realism: the rhetorical turn in international relations*. pp. 331–345. Michigan State University press, East Lansing (1996).

135.

Edkins, J., Pin-Fat, V.: *Through the Wire: Relations of Power and Relations of Violence*. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 34, 1–24 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298050340010101>.

136.

Edkins, J., Vaughan-Williams, N.: Critical theorists and international relations. Routledge, London (2009).

137.

Hansen, L.: Discourse Analysis, Identity and Foreign Policy. In: Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war. pp. 17–36. Routledge, London (2006).

138.

MILLIKEN, J.: The Study of Discourse in International Relations: European Journal of International Relations. 5, 225–254 (1999).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066199005002003>.

139.

Foucault, M., Rabinow, P.: The Foucault reader. Penguin Books, Harmondsworth (1986).

140.

Hollinger, R.: 'Chapter 7 - Modernity, Post-Modernism and International Relations'. In: Postmodernism and the social sciences: a thematic approach. Sage, Thousand Oaks, Calif (1994).

141.

Shapiro, M.: Textualising Global Politics. In: International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics. pp. 11–22. Lexington Books, Lexington, Mass (1989).

142.

Rosenau, P.: Once Again Into the Fray: International Relations Confronts the Humanities. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 19, 83–110 (1990).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298900190010701>.

143.

Cynthia Weber: Reconsidering Statehood: Examining the Sovereignty/Intervention Boundary. *Review of International Studies*. 18, 199–216 (1992).

144.

Smith, S.: Introducing Feminism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

145.

Roff, H.M.: Gendering a Warbot. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 18, 1–18 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2015.1094246>.

146.

Brooke Ackerly and Jacqui True: Reflexivity in Practice: Power and Ethics in Feminist Research on International Relations. *International Studies Review*. 10, 693–707 (2008).

147.

Bulmer, S.: Patriarchal Confusion? Making Sense of Gay and Lesbian Military Identity. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 15, 137–156 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2012.746565>.

148.

Enloe, C.H.: Chapter 5 - Gender makes the World Go Round: Where are the Women? In: *Bananas, beaches and bases: making feminist sense of international politics*. University of California Press, Berkeley (2014).

149.

Carpenter, R.C.: Recognizing Gender-Based Violence Against Civilian Men and Boys in Conflict Situations. *Security Dialogue*. 37, 83–103 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010606064139>.

150.

Terrell Carver, Molly Cochran and Judith Squires: Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs,

Masculinities. *Review of International Studies*. 24, 283–297 (1998).

151.

Hooper, C.: *Manly states: masculinities, international relations, and gender politics*. Columbia University Press, New York (2001).

152.

Adam Jones: Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? *Feminist Critiques of International Relations*. *Review of International Studies*. 22, 405–429 (1996).

153.

Peterson V. S., 'Feminist Theories Within, Invisible To, and Beyond IR' *Brown Journal of World Affairs*, 10(2), 2004, pp. 1-11.

154.

Shepherd, L.J. ed: *Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations*. Routledge, London (2015).

155.

Steans, J.: *Engaging from the Margins: Feminist Encounters with the 'Mainstream' of International Relations*. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 5, 428–454 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856X.00114>.

156.

Steans, J.: *Gender, Feminism, and International Relations*. In: *Gender and international relations: issues, debates and future directions*. pp. 7–19. Polity, Cambridge (2006).

157.

Tickner, J.A.: Chapter 1 - *Engendered Insecurities: Feminist Perspectives on International Relations*. In: *Gender in international relations: feminist perspectives on achieving global security*. Columbia University Press, New York (1992).

158.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Chapter 10 - Feminism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

159.

J. Ann Tickner: You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly*. 41, 611–632 (1997).

160.

WAYLEN, G.: You still don't understand: why troubled engagements continue between feminists and (critical) IPE. *Review of International Studies*. 32, (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210506006966>.

161.

Weber, C.: Good girls, little girls and bad girls: Male paranoia in Robert Keohane's critique of feminist international relations'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 23, 337–349 (1994).

162.

Nair, S.: Introducing Postcolonialism in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

163.

De Genova, N.: The "migrant crisis" as racial crisis: do Black Lives Matter in Europe? *Ethnic and Racial Studies*. 41, 1765–1782 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2017.1361543>.

164.

Rita Abrahamsen: African Studies and the Postcolonial Challenge. *African Affairs*. 102, 189–210 (2003).

165.

Acharya, A., Buzan, B.: *Non-Western international relations theory: perspectives on and beyond Asia*. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2010).

166.

Barkawi, T., Laffey, M.: Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 31, 109–127 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310010601>.

167.

Biccum, A.R.: Development and the 'New' Imperialism: a reinvention of colonial discourse in DFID promotional literature. *Third World Quarterly*. 26, 1005–1020 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01436590500139656>.

168.

Pinar Bilgin: Thinking past 'Western' IR? *Third World Quarterly*. 29, 5–23 (2008).

169.

Chowdhury, G., Nair, S.: Introduction: Power in a postcolonial world: race, gender and class in international relations. In: *Power, postcolonialism, and international relations: reading race, gender, and class*. pp. 1–32. Routledge, London (2002).

170.

Darby, P.: Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 33, 1–32 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298040330010101>.

171.

Epstein, C.: The postcolonial perspective: an introduction. *International Theory*. 6, 294–311 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1752971914000219>.

172.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: Chapter 12 - Postcolonialism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

173.

Hobson, J.M.: The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

174.

Gruffydd Jones, B. ed: Decolonizing international relations. Rowman and Littlefield, Lanham, Md (2006).

175.

Matin, K.: Redeeming the universal: Postcolonialism and the inner life of Eurocentrism. European Journal of International Relations. 19, 353–377 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066111425263>.

176.

Seth, S. ed: Postcolonial theory and international relations: a critical introduction. Routledge, Abingdon (2013).

177.

Seth, Sanjay (2011), 'Postcolonial Theory and the Critique of International Relations,' Millennium: Journal of International Studies, 40 (1), pp. 167-183.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829811412325?journalCode=mila>.

178.

TAYLOR, L.: Decolonizing International Relations: Perspectives from Latin America. International Studies Review. 14, 386–400 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2486.2012.01125.x>.

179.

Tucker, K.: Unraveling Coloniality in International Relations: Knowledge, Relationality, and Strategies for Engagement. *International Political Sociology*. 12, 215–232 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/oly005>.

180.

Vasilaki, R.: Provincialising IR? Deadlocks and Prospects in Post-Western IR Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 41, 3–22 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829812451720>.

181.

Dietzel, A.: Introducing Global Justice in International Relations Theory. In: McGlinchey, S. and et al. (eds.) *International Relations Theory*. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol.

182.

Dietzel, A.: The Paris Agreement - Protecting the Human Right to Health? *Global Policy*. 8, 313–321 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.12421>.

183.

Brown, G.W., Held, D. eds: Editor's Introduction. In: *The cosmopolitanism reader*. Polity, Cambridge (2010).

184.

Beardsworth, R.: *Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory*. Polity, Cambridge (2011).

185.

Brock, G.: *Global Justice*. Oxford University Press (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199230938.001.0001>.

186.

Brown, G.W., Held, D. eds: The cosmopolitanism reader. Polity, Cambridge (2010).

187.

Brown, G.W.: Grounding cosmopolitanism: from Kant to the idea of a cosmopolitan constitution. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh [Scotland] (2009).

188.

Caney, S.: Climate change and the duties of the advantaged. Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy. 13, 203-228 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13698230903326331>.

189.

Gardiner, S.M.: Climate ethics: essential readings. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

190.

Lawrence, P.: Justice for future generations: climate change and international law. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham (2014).

191.

Hayden, P.: Cosmopolitan global politics. Ashgate, Aldershot (2005).

192.

Held, D.: Cosmopolitanism: ideals and realities. Polity Press, Cambridge (2010).

193.

Held, D.: Cosmopolitanism: globalisation tamed? Review of International Studies. 29,

(2003). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210503004650>.

194.

Lu, C.: The One and Many Faces of Cosmopolitanism. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 8, 244–267 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9760.00101>.

195.

Moss, J. ed: *Climate Change and Justice*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2015).

196.

Shue, H.: *Climate justice: vulnerability and protection*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

197.

Tan, K.-C.: *Justice without Borders: Cosmopolitanism, Nationalism, and Patriotism*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).