

# LAWD20004: Jurisprudence

## Seminar 1

View Online



---

1

Harold Berman, 'Toward an Integrative Jurisprudence: politics, morality, history' (1988) 76 Calif. Law Rev. 779-802.  
<http://scholarship.law.berkeley.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1891&context=californialawreview>

2

L. Green 'General Jurisprudence: A 25th Anniversary Essay'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies Published Online First: 2005.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=19525091&site=ehost-live>

3

Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr., The Path of the Law.  
[http://www.constitution.org/lrev/owh/path\\_law.htm](http://www.constitution.org/lrev/owh/path_law.htm)

4

Hart HLA. Law as the Union of Primary and Secondary Rules. In: The concept of law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012. 79-99.

5

Hart, Positivism and the Separation of Law and Morals.  
<http://www.umiacs.umd.edu/~horty/courses/readings/hart-1958-positivism-separation.pdf>

6

Dyzenhaus, D. Austin, Hobbes, and Dicey. *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* 2011; **24**:411-30. <https://heinonline-org.bris.idm.oclc.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/caljp24&id=409>

7

Vinx, L. Austin, Kelsen, and the Model of Sovereignty. *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* 2011; **24**:473-92. <https://heinonline-org.bris.idm.oclc.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/caljp24&i=471>

8

Perry, 'Hart's Methodological Positivism'. [http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2133&context=faculty\\_scholarship](http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2133&context=faculty_scholarship)

9

Waluchow, 'Authority and the Practical Difference Thesis: A Defence of Inclusive Legal Positivism'. [https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/034F507ECC20FF415AF6AAF2D461CF56/S1352325200061024a.pdf/authority\\_and\\_the\\_practical\\_difference\\_thesis.pdf](https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/034F507ECC20FF415AF6AAF2D461CF56/S1352325200061024a.pdf/authority_and_the_practical_difference_thesis.pdf)

10

Paulson S. Continental Normativism and its British Counterpart: How different are they? In: *Lloyd's introduction to jurisprudence*. London: : Sweet and Maxwell 2001. 314-28.

11

Gardner, J. Legal Positivism: 5 1/2 Myths. *American Journal of Jurisprudence* 2001;:199-228. <https://heinonline-org.bris.idm.oclc.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/ajj46&i=203>

12

Finnis J. Evaluation and the description of law. In: Natural law and natural rights. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011. 3-22.

13

Borowski M. Discourse, Principles, and the Problem of Law and Morality: Robert Alexy's Three Main Works. *Jurisprudence* 2011;**2**:575-95. doi:10.5235/204033211798716899

14

Simmonds, Gur, Crowe, Rosler and Rundle. Review Symposium: Freedom, Responsible Agency and Law. *Jurisprudence*  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.5235/20403313.5.1.75>

15

Crowe J. Natural Law Beyond Finnis. *Jurisprudence* 2011;**2**:293-308.  
doi:10.5235/204033211798716871

16

R. Kent Greenawalt. 'How Persuasive is Natural Law Theory?'  
<http://scholarship.law.nd.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1614&context=ndlr>

17

Gustav Radbruch. Statutory Lawlessness and Supra-Statutory Law (1946). *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* Published Online First: 2006.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=20780215&site=ehost-live>

18

Simpson AWB. Common Law and Legal Theory, The. In: *Oxford essays in jurisprudence: second series*. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1973. 77-99.

19

Dworkin R. Conventionalism. In: Law's empire. Oxford: : Hart 1998. 114-50.

20

Scott J. Shapiro. 'The Hart-Dworkin Debate: A Short Guide for the Perplexed'.  
[https://law.yale.edu/system/files/documents/pdf/Faculty/Shapiro\\_Hart\\_Dworkin\\_Debate.pdf](https://law.yale.edu/system/files/documents/pdf/Faculty/Shapiro_Hart_Dworkin_Debate.pdf)

21

Lon L. Fuller. 'The Case of the Speluncean Explorers'.  
<https://turtletalk.files.wordpress.com/2012/08/the-speluncean-explorers.pdf>

22

MacCormick N. Children's rights: a test case for theories of right. In: Legal right and social democracy: essays in legal and political philosophy. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1982. 154-66. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198255024.003.0008

23

Hart HLA. Are There Any Natural Rights? The Philosophical Review 1955;**64**.  
doi:10.2307/2182586

24

Gewirth A. Why Rights are Indispensable. Mind 1986;**XCV**:329-44.  
doi:10.1093/mind/XCV.379.329

25

Feinberg, 'The Nature and Value of Rights'.  
<https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/BF00137935.pdf>

26

Kymlicka W, Donaldson S. Animals and the Frontiers of Citizenship. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2014;**34**:201-19. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqu001

27

Raz, J. J. Legal Rights. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 1984;**4**:1-21.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/oxfjls4&id=7>

28

Lyons D. New Indian Claims and Original Rights to Land. In: *Reading Nozick: essays on Anarchy, state and Utopia*. Oxford: : Blackwell 1982. 355-79.

29

Nozick R. Distributive justice (section II). In: *Anarchy, state, and utopia*. Oxford: : Blackwell 1974. 228-31.

30

Nozick R. Distributive justice (section I). In: *Anarchy, state, and utopia*. Oxford: : Blackwell 1974. 149-74.

31

Simmonds S. Utilitarianism. In: *Central issues in jurisprudence: justice, law and rights*. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2013. 17-46.

32

Nussbaum, 'Rawls's Political Liberalism: A Reassessment'.  
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1467-9337.2010.00471.x/epdf>

33

Denise Meyerson. Three Versions of Liberal Tolerance: Dworkin, Rawls, Raz. *Jurisprudence*  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20403313.2012.11423535?src=recsys>

34

Cotterrell R. Why Jurisprudence Is Not Legal Philosophy. *Jurisprudence* 2014;**5**:41–55. doi:10.5235/20403313.5.1.41

35

Tamanaha BZ. An Analytical Map of Social Scientific Approaches to the Concept of Law. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 1995;**15**:501–35. doi:10.1093/ojls/15.4.501

36

Cotterrell R. Why Must Legal Ideas Be Interpreted Sociologically? *Journal of Law and Society* 1998;**25**:171–92. doi:10.1111/1467-6478.00086

37

Donal Coffey. Custom and Living Law. *Jurisprudence*  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20403313.2012.11423536>

38

'Law, State and Class Struggle' by Alan Hunt, *Marxism Today*, June 1976 - UNZ.org.  
<http://www.unz.org/Pub/MarxismToday-1976jun-00178>

39

Carbado, D. W. Critical What What. *Connecticut Law Review* 2011;**43**:1593–644.  
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/conlr43&id=1611>

40

Manifesto of the Communist Party.  
<https://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/download/pdf/Manifesto.pdf>

41

Ireland P. History, Critical Legal Studies and the Mysterious Disappearance of Capitalism. *The Modern Law Review* 2002;**65**:120–40. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00371

42

G. A. Cohen. Freedom, Justice and Capitalism. *New Left Review* 1981;**126**  
.https://newleftreview.org/l/126/g-a-cohen-freedom-justice-and-capitalism

43

Tushnet, M. Critical Legal Studies: A Political History. *Yale Law Journal* 1991;**100**  
:1515–44.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/ylr100&  
amp;id=1529

44

David Andrew Price. Taking Rights Cynically: A Review of Critical Legal Studies. *The Cambridge Law Journal* 1989;**48**  
:271–301.https://www.jstor.org/stable/4507287?seq=1#page\_scan\_tab\_contents

45

Menkel-Meadow, C. Feminist Legal Theory, Critical Legal Studies, and Legal Education Or the Fem-Crits Go to Law School. *Journal of Legal Education* 1988;**38**  
:61–86.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/jled38&am  
p;id=73

46

Cornell, D. Loyalty and the Limits of Kantian Impartiality. *Harvard Law Review* 1994;**107**  
:2081–94.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/hlr107&  
amp;id=2101

47

Crenshaw, K. Twenty Years of Critical Race Theory: Looking back to Move Forward. *Connecticut Law Review* 2011;**43**  
:1253–354.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/conlr4  
3&id=1611

48

Crenshaw, 'Demarginalising the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics'.

<http://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1052&context=ucf>

49

Harris AP. Race and Essentialism in Feminist Legal Theory. *Stanford Law Review* 1990;**42**.

doi:10.2307/1228886

50

Kline, M. Race, Racism, and Feminist Legal Theory. *Harvard Women's Law Journal*

1989;:115-50.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/hwlj12&id=125>