

LAWD20004: Jurisprudence

Seminar 1

View Online



-
1.
Harold Berman, 'Toward an Integrative Jurisprudence: politics, morality, history' (1988) 76 Calif. Law Rev. 779-802. Available from:
<http://scholarship.law.berkeley.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1891&context=californialawreview>

 2.
L. Green 'General Jurisprudence: A 25th Anniversary Essay'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies [Internet]. 2005; Available from:
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=19525091&site=ehost-live>

 3.
Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr., The Path of the Law [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.constitution.org/lrev/owh/path_law.htm

 4.
Hart HLA. Law as the Union of Primary and Secondary Rules. In: The concept of law. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012. p. 79-99.

 5.
Hart, Positivism and the Separation of Law and Morals [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.umiacs.umd.edu/~horty/courses/readings/hart-1958-positivism-separation.pdf>

6.

Dyzenhaus, D. Austin, Hobbes, and Dicey. *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* [Internet]. 2011;24(2):411-30. Available from: <https://heinonline-org.bris.idm.oclc.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/caljp24&id=409>

7.

Vinx, L. Austin, Kelsen, and the Model of Sovereignty. *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* [Internet]. 2011;24(2):473-92. Available from: <https://heinonline-org.bris.idm.oclc.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/caljp24&i=471>

8.

Perry, 'Hart's Methodological Positivism' [Internet]. Available from: http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2133&context=faculty_scholarship

9.

Waluchow, 'Authority and the Practical Difference Thesis: A Defence of Inclusive Legal Positivism'. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/034F507ECC20FF415AF6AAF2D461CF56/S1352325200061024a.pdf/authority_and_the_practical_difference_thesis.pdf

10.

Paulson S. *Continental Normativism and its British Counterpart: How different are they?* In: *Lloyd's introduction to jurisprudence*. 7th ed. London: Sweet and Maxwell; 2001. p. 314-28.

11.

Gardner, J. Legal Positivism: 5 1/2 Myths. *American Journal of Jurisprudence* [Internet]. 2001;(46):199-228. Available from: <https://heinonline-org.bris.idm.oclc.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/ajj46&i=203>

12.

Finnis J. Evaluation and the description of law. In: Natural law and natural rights. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. p. 3–22.

13.

Borowski M. Discourse, Principles, and the Problem of Law and Morality: Robert Alexy's Three Main Works. *Jurisprudence*. 2011 Dec;2(2):575–95.

14.

Simmonds, Gur, Crowe, Rosler and Rundle. Review Symposium: Freedom, Responsible Agency and Law. *Jurisprudence* [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.5235/20403313.5.1.75>

15.

Crowe J. Natural Law Beyond Finnis. *Jurisprudence*. 2011 Dec;2(2):293–308.

16.

R. Kent Greenawalt. 'How Persuasive is Natural Law Theory?' Available from: <http://scholarship.law.nd.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1614&context=ndlr>

17.

Gustav Radbruch. Statutory Lawlessness and Supra-Statutory Law (1946). *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* [Internet]. 2006; Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=20780215&site=ehost-live>

18.

Simpson AWB. Common Law and Legal Theory, The. In: *Oxford essays in jurisprudence: second series*. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1973. p. 77–99.

19.

Dworkin R. Conventionalism. In: *Law's empire*. Oxford: Hart; 1998. p. 114–50.

20.

Scott J. Shapiro. 'The Hart-Dworkin Debate: A Short Guide for the Perplexed' [Internet]. Available from:
https://law.yale.edu/system/files/documents/pdf/Faculty/Shapiro_Hart_Dworkin_Debate.pdf

21.

Lon L. Fuller. 'The Case of the Speluncean Explorers'. Available from:
<https://turtletalk.files.wordpress.com/2012/08/the-speluncean-explorers.pdf>

22.

MacCormick N. Children's rights: a test case for theories of right. In: Legal right and social democracy: essays in legal and political philosophy [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1982. p. 154-66. Available from:
<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198255024.001.0001/acprof-9780198255024>

23.

Hart HLA. Are There Any Natural Rights? *The Philosophical Review*. 1955 Apr;64(2).

24.

Gewirth A. Why Rights are Indispensable. *Mind*. 1986;XCV(379):329-44.

25.

Feinberg, 'The Nature and Value of Rights'. Available from:
<https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/BF00137935.pdf>

26.

Kymlicka W, Donaldson S. Animals and the Frontiers of Citizenship. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2014 Jun 1;34(2):201-19.

27.

Raz, J. J. Legal Rights. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* [Internet]. 1984;4(1):1-21. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/oxfjls4&id=7>

28.

Lyons D. New Indian Claims and Original Rights to Land. In: *Reading Nozick: essays on Anarchy, state and Utopia*. Oxford: Blackwell; 1982. p. 355-79.

29.

Nozick R. Distributive justice (section II). In: *Anarchy, state, and utopia*. Oxford: Blackwell; 1974. p. 228-31.

30.

Nozick R. Distributive justice (section I). In: *Anarchy, state, and utopia*. Oxford: Blackwell; 1974. p. 149-74.

31.

Simmonds S. Utilitarianism. In: *Central issues in jurisprudence: justice, law and rights*. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2013. p. 17-46.

32.

Nussbaum, 'Rawls's Political Liberalism: A Reassessment'. Available from:
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1467-9337.2010.00471.x/epdf>

33.

Denise Meyerson. Three Versions of Liberal Tolerance: Dworkin, Rawls, Raz. *Jurisprudence* [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20403313.2012.11423535?src=recsys>

34.

Cotterrell R. Why Jurisprudence Is Not Legal Philosophy. *Jurisprudence*. 2014 Jul 8;5(1):41-55.

35.

Tamanaha BZ. An Analytical Map of Social Scientific Approaches to the Concept of Law. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 1995;15(4):501-35.

36.

Cotterrell R. Why Must Legal Ideas Be Interpreted Sociologically? *Journal of Law and Society*. 1998 Jun;25(2):171-92.

37.

Donal Coffey. Custom and Living Law. *Jurisprudence* [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20403313.2012.11423536>

38.

'Law, State and Class Struggle' by Alan Hunt, *Marxism Today*, June 1976 - UNZ.org [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.unz.org/Pub/MarxismToday-1976jun-00178>

39.

Carbado, D. W. Critical What What. *Connecticut Law Review* [Internet]. 2011;43(5):1593-644. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/conlr43&id=1611>

40.

Manifesto of the Communist Party [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/download/pdf/Manifesto.pdf>

41.

Ireland P. History, Critical Legal Studies and the Mysterious Disappearance of Capitalism. *The Modern Law Review*. 2002 Jan;65(1):120–40.

42.

G. A. Cohen. Freedom, Justice and Capitalism. *New Left Review* [Internet]. 1981;126. Available from: <https://newleftreview.org/l/126/g-a-cohen-freedom-justice-and-capitalism>

43.

Tushnet, M. Critical Legal Studies: A Political History. *Yale Law Journal* [Internet]. 1991;100(5):1515–44. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/ylr100&id=1529>

44.

David Andrew Price. Taking Rights Cynically: A Review of Critical Legal Studies. *The Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. 1989;48(2):271–301. Available from: https://www.jstor.org/stable/4507287?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

45.

Menkel-Meadow, C. Feminist Legal Theory, Critical Legal Studies, and Legal Education Or the Fem-Crits Go to Law School. *Journal of Legal Education* [Internet]. 1988;38(1):61–86. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/jled38&id=73>

46.

Cornell, D. Loyalty and the Limits of Kantian Impartiality. *Harvard Law Review* [Internet]. 1994;107(8):2081–94. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/hlr107&id=2101>

47.

Crenshaw, K. Twenty Years of Critical Race Theory: Looking back to Move Forward. Connecticut Law Review [Internet]. 2011;43(5):1253-354. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/conlr43&id=1611>

48.

Crenshaw, 'Demarginalising the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics'. Available from: <http://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1052&context=uclf>

49.

Harris AP. Race and Essentialism in Feminist Legal Theory. Stanford Law Review. 1990 Feb;42(3).

50.

Kline, M. Race, Racism, and Feminist Legal Theory. Harvard Women's Law Journal [Internet]. 1989;(12):115-50. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/hwlj12&id=125>