

LAWD30120: Trusts

[View Online](#)

-
1.
Virgo G. The Principles of Equity and Trusts. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.
 2.
Watt G. Trusts & Equity. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.
 3.
Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts Law. Fifth edition. Palgrave; 2017.
 4.
Davies PS, Virgo G, Virgo G. Equity & Trusts: Text, Cases, and Materials. Third edition. (Burn EH, ed.). Oxford University Press; 2019.
 5.
Garton J, Moffat G, Bean GMD, Probert R. Moffat's Trusts Law: Text and Materials. Sixth edition. Cambridge University Press; 2015.
 6.
Hudson A. Understanding Equity & Trusts. Sixth edition. Routledge; 2017.

7.

Virgo G. The Principles of Equity and Trusts. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

8.

Watt G. Trusts & Equity. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

9.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts Law. Fifth edition. Palgrave; 2017.

10.

Watts G. Introduction to Trusts and Equity.
<http://global.oup.com/uk/orc/law/trusts/watt/resources/video/>

11.

Hart, W. G. What Is a Trust. Law Quarterly Review. 1899;(3).
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/lqr15&id=296>

12.

Hudson A. Great Debates in Equity and Trusts. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

13.

Garton J, Moffat G, Bean GMD, Probert R. Moffat's Trusts Law: Text and Materials. Sixth edition. Cambridge University Press; 2015.

14.

Scott AW. The Trust as an Instrument of Law Reform. The Yale Law Journal. 1922;31(5).
doi:10.2307/789370

15.

Langbein JH. The Secret Life of the Trust: The Trust as an Instrument of Commerce. The Yale Law Journal. 1997;107(1). doi:10.2307/797278

16.

Patrick Parkinson. Reconceptualising the Express Trust. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2002;61(3):657-683. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4508936>

17.

Virgo G. The Principles of Equity and Trusts. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

18.

Watt G. Trusts & Equity. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

19.

Dickens C. Bleak House. Penguin; 1994.

20.

Hudson A. Great Debates in Equity and Trusts. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

21.

Neuberger D. Has Equity had its day? Published online 2010.
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20131203071235/http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/Resources/JCO/Documents/Speeches/mr-speech-hong-kong-lecture-12102010.pdf>

22.

Edelman J. Two Fundamental Questions for the Law of Trusts. *Law Quarterly Review*. 2013;129:66-86.

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

23.

Langbein JH. The Contractarian Basis of the Law of Trusts. *The Yale Law Journal*. 1995;105(3). doi:10.2307/797196

24.

Virgo G. *The Principles of Equity and Trusts*. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

25.

Watt G. *Trusts & Equity*. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

26.

Webb C, Akkouch T. *Trusts Law*. Fifth edition. Palgrave; 2017.

27.

Emery CT. The most hallowed principle: certainty of beneficiaries of trusts and powers of appointment. *The Law quarterly review*. 1982;98:551-586.

28.

Charles Harpum. Administrative Unworkability and Purpose Trusts. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 1986;45(3):391-394. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4506923>

29.

Patrick Parkinson. Reconceptualising the Express Trust. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2002;61(3):657-683. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4508936>

30.

Grbich Y. Baden: Awakening the Conceptually Moribund Trust. *The Modern Law Review*. 1974;37(6):643-656. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1094399>

31.

Glanville L. Williams. The Three Certainties. *The Modern Law Review*. 1940;4(1):20-26. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1089548>

32.

Grubb A. Powers, Trusts and Classes of Objects. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*. 1982;46:432-441.

33.

Hayton D. Uncertainty of subject-matter of trusts. *Law Quarterly Review*. 1994;110:335-340. <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICD03DB50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

34.

Martin J. Certainty of subject matter: a defence of *Hunter v Moss*. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*. 1996;60:223-227. <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA764A961E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

35.

Hudson A. *Great Debates in Equity and Trusts*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

36.

Virgo G. *The Principles of Equity and Trusts*. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

37.

Watt G. Trusts & Equity. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

38.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts Law. Fifth edition. Palgrave; 2017.

39.

Halliwell M. Perfecting imperfect gifts and trusts: have we reached the end of the chancellor's foot? The Conveyancer and property lawyer. 2003;67:192-202.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I924BA6F0E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838/View/FullText.html>

40.

Law Commission - Making a Will. 2017;231:226-237.
<https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/wills/>

41.

Garton J. The role of the trust mechanism in the Rule in Re Rose. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. 2003;67:364-367.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA73B9D90E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

42.

Roberts N. Donationes mortis causa in a dematerialised world. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. Published online 2013:113-128.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE22491D0A31E11E28E17C4EDF535ADDD/View/FullText.html>

43.

Abigail Doggett. Explaining 'RE: Rose': The Search Goes On? The Cambridge Law Journal. 2003;62(2):263-266. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4508993>

44.

Rickett C. Completely constituting an inter vivos trust: property rules? *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*. 2001;65.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA74317A1E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

45.

Luxton P. In search of perfection: the Re Rose rule rationale. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*. 2012;76:70-75.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID134E9B050A411E18A8AA01CC7CE21D9/View/FullText.html>

46.

Pawlowski M. Death bed gifts. *New law journal*. 1994;144.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal>

47.

Virgo G. *The Principles of Equity and Trusts*. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

48.

Watt G. *Trusts & Equity*. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

49.

Webb C, Akkouch T. *Trusts Law*. Fifth edition. Palgrave; 2017.

50.

Challinor E. Debunking the myth of secret trusts. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*. Published online 2005.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBro>

wse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK

51.

Allan, G. G. The Secret is out There: Searching for the Legal Justification for the Doctrine of Secret Trusts through Analysis of the Case Law. *Common Law World Review*. 2011;(4).
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/complr40&id=319>

52.

Critchley P. Instruments of fraud, testamentary dispositions, and the doctrine of secret trusts. *Law Quarterly Review*. 1999;115:631-654.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBro>
wse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK

53.

Kincaid D. The Tangled Web: the Relationship between a Secret Trust and a Will. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*. Published online 2000:420-426.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBro>
wse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK

54.

Wilde D. Secret and semi-secret trusts: justifying distinctions between the two. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*. Published online 1995:366-367.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBro>
wse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK

55.

Law Commission - Making a Will. 2017;231:226-237.
<https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/wills/>

56.

□□Evans S. Should professionally drafted half-secret trusts be extinct after *Larke v Nugus*? The Conveyancer and property lawyer. Published online 2014.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

57.

T. G. Youdan. Formalities for Trusts of Land, and the Doctrine in *Rochefoucauld v. Boustead*. The Cambridge Law Journal. 1984;43(2):306-336.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/4506654>

58.

Virgo G. The Principles of Equity and Trusts. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

59.

Watt G. Trusts & Equity. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

60.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts Law. Fifth edition. Palgrave; 2017.

61.

Jaffey P. Explaining the trust. Law Quarterly Review. 2015;131.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

62.

Brian Green. Grey, Oughtred and Vandervell. A Contextual Reappraisal. The Modern Law Review. 1984;47(4):385-421. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1095817>

63.

Griffiths G. An inevitable tension? The disclosure of letters of wishes. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. Published online 2008.

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

64.

Matthews P. The comparative importance of the rule in *Saunders v Vautier*. Law Quarterly Review. 2006;122:266-264.

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

65.

Battersby G. Formalities for the disposition of equitable interests under a trust. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. 1979;43:17-37.

66.

Scott, A. Nature of Rights of the Cestui Que Trust. Columbia Law Review. 1917;(4).

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/clr17&id=293>

67.

Stone, H. F. Nature of the Rights of the Cestui Que Trust. Columbia Law Review. 1917;(6).

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/clr17&id=491>

68.

Edelman J. Two Fundamental Questions for the Law of Trusts. Law Quarterly Review. 2013;129:66-86.

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

69.

Patrick Parkinson. Reconceptualising the Express Trust. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2002;61(3):657-683. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4508936>

70.

Virgo G. *The Principles of Equity and Trusts*. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

71.

Watt G. *Trusts & Equity*. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

72.

Webb C, Akkouch T. *Trusts Law*. Fifth edition. Palgrave; 2017.

73.

Rosy Thornton. Ethical Investments: A Case of Disjointed Thinking. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2008;67(2):396-422. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25166411>

74.

Hicks A. The Trustee Act 2000 and the modern meaning of "investment". *Trust Law International*. 2001;15:203-213.
<https://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/auth/checkbrowser.do?t=1645125976589&bhcp=1>

75.

Hudson A. *Great Debates in Equity and Trusts*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

76.

Fox D. Non-excludable trustee duties. *Trusts & Trustees*. 2011;17(1):17-26.
doi:10.1093/tandt/ttq115

77.

Birks P. The content of fiduciary obligation. Trust Law International. Published online 2002. <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

78.

Matthew Conaglen. A Re-Appraisal of the Fiduciary Self-Dealing and Fair-Dealing Rules. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2006;65(2):366-396. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4509206>

79.

Capper D. Compensation for Breach of Trust. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. Published online 1997. <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

80.

Nobles RL. Charities and ethical investment. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. Published online 1992. <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

81.

Conaglen M. The nature and function of fiduciary loyalty. Law Quarterly Review. 2005;121. <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

82.

Edelman J. When do fiduciary duties arise? Law quarterly review. 2010;126:302-327. <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

83.

Law Commission. Trustees' Powers and Duties. Published 1999.
<https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/trustees-powers-and-duties/>

84.

Virgo G. The Principles of Equity and Trusts. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

85.

Watt G. Trusts & Equity. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

86.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts Law. Fifth edition. Palgrave; 2017.

87.

Halliwell M, Prochaska E. Assistance and dishonesty: ring-a-ring o'roses. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. Published online 2006.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

88.

Hudson A. Great Debates in Equity and Trusts. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

89.

Ryan D. Royal Brunei dishonesty: a clear welcome for Barlow Clowes. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. Published online 2007.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

90.

Millett P. Tracing the proceeds of fraud. *Law quarterly review*. 1991;107.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

91.

Conaglen M. Difficulties with tracing backwards. *Law quarterly review*. 2011;127.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

92.

Lee J. Constructing and limiting liability in equity. *Law quarterly review*. 2015;131.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

93.

Evans S. Rethinking Tracing and the Law of Restitution. *Law quarterly review*. 1999;115.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

94.

Turner PG. Tracing to and fro. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2016;75(03):462-465.
doi:10.1017/S0008197316000726

95.

Virgo G. *The Principles of Equity and Trusts*. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

96.

Watt G. Trusts & Equity. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2018.

97.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts Law. Fifth edition. Palgrave; 2017.

98.

Synge M. Poverty: an essential element in charity after all? The Cambridge Law Journal. 2011;70(3):649-668. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41301013>

99.

Charity Commission. Preston Down Trust: case report. Published online 2016. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/preston-down-trust-case-report>

100.

Pawlowski M, Summers J. Private purpose trusts – a reform proposal. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. Published online 2007:440-455.

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

101.

Harding M. Trusts for Religious Purposes and the Question of Public Benefit. The Modern Law Review. 2008;71(2):159-182. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25151191>

102.

Rahmatian A. The continued relevance of the "poor relations" and "poor employees" cases under the Charities Act 2006. The Conveyancer and property lawyer. Published online 2009.

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

103.

Sloan B. Public schools for public benefit? *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2012;71(1):45-47.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/23253784>

104.

P. S. Atiyah. Public Benefit in Charities. *The Modern Law Review*. 1958;21(2):138-154.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/1091061>

105.

Charity Commission. Public benefit: the public benefit requirement (PB1). Published online 2013.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/public-benefit-the-public-benefit-requirement-pb1>

106.

Charity Commission. Charitable purposes. Published online 2013.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/charitable-purposes>

107.

Charity Commission. Legal analysis: public benefit. Published online 2013.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/legal-analysis-public-benefit>