

LAWD30120: Trusts

[View Online](#)

-
1.
Virgo G. The principles of equity and trusts. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.
 2.
Watt G. Trusts & equity. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.
 3.
Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts law. Fifth edition. London: Palgrave; 2017.
 4.
Davies PS, Virgo G, Virgo G. Equity & trusts: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Burn EH, editor. Oxford University Press; 2019.
 5.
Garton J, Moffat G, Bean GMD, Probert R. Moffat's trusts law: text and materials. Sixth edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2015.
 6.
Hudson A. Understanding equity & trusts. Sixth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

7.

Virgo G. The principles of equity and trusts. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

8.

Watt G. Trusts & equity. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

9.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts law. Fifth edition. London: Palgrave; 2017.

10.

Watts G. Introduction to Trusts and Equity [Internet]. Available from: <http://global.oup.com/uk/orc/law/trusts/watt/resources/video/>

11.

Hart, W. G. What Is a Trust. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1899;(3). Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/lqr15&id=296>

12.

Hudson A. Great debates in equity and trusts [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

13.

Garton J, Moffat G, Bean GMD, Probert R. Moffat's trusts law: text and materials. Sixth edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2015.

14.

Scott AW. The Trust as an Instrument of Law Reform. The Yale Law Journal. 1922

Mar;31(5).

15.

Langbein JH. The Secret Life of the Trust: The Trust as an Instrument of Commerce. The Yale Law Journal. 1997 Oct;107(1).

16.

Patrick Parkinson. Reconceptualising the Express Trust. The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2002;61(3):657–683. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4508936>

17.

Virgo G. The principles of equity and trusts. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

18.

Watt G. Trusts & equity. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

19.

Dickens C. Bleak House. London: Penguin; 1994.

20.

Hudson A. Great debates in equity and trusts [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

21.

Neuberger D. Has Equity had its day? [Internet]. 2010. Available from: <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20131203071235/http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/Resources/JCO/Documents/Speeches/mr-speech-hong-kong-lecture-12102010.pdf>

22.

Edelman J. Two Fundamental Questions for the Law of Trusts. *Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 2013;129:66–86. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

23.

Langbein JH. The Contractarian Basis of the Law of Trusts. *The Yale Law Journal*. 1995 Dec;105(3).

24.

Virgo G. *The principles of equity and trusts*. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

25.

Watt G. *Trusts & equity*. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

26.

Webb C, Akkouch T. *Trusts law*. Fifth edition. London: Palgrave; 2017.

27.

Emery CT. The most hallowed principle: certainty of beneficiaries of trusts and powers of appointment. *The Law quarterly review*. London: Stevens & sons; 1982;98:551–586.

28.

Charles Harpum. Administrative Unworkability and Purpose Trusts. *The Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1986;45(3):391–394. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4506923>

29.

Patrick Parkinson. Reconceptualising the Express Trust. *The Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2002;61(3):657–683. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4508936>

30.

Grbich Y. Baden: Awakening the Conceptually Moribund Trust. *The Modern Law Review* [Internet]. Wiley; 1974;37(6):643–656. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1094399>

31.

Glanville L. Williams. The Three Certainties. *The Modern Law Review* [Internet]. Wiley; 1940;4(1):20–26. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1089548>

32.

Grubb A. Powers, Trusts and Classes of Objects. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 1982;46:432–441.

33.

Hayton D. Uncertainty of subject-matter of trusts. *Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 1994;110:335–340. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICD03DB50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

34.

Martin J. Certainty of subject matter: a defence of *Hunter v Moss*. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer* [Internet]. 1996;60:223–227. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA764A961E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

35.

Hudson A. *Great debates in equity and trusts* [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

36.

Virgo G. The principles of equity and trusts. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

37.

Watt G. Trusts & equity. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

38.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts law. Fifth edition. London: Palgrave; 2017.

39.

Halliwell M. Perfecting imperfect gifts and trusts: have we reached the end of the chancellor's foot? The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 2003;67:192-202. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I924BA6F0E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838/View/FullText.html>

40.

Law Commission - Making a Will [Internet]. Law Commission Consultation Paper; 2017. p. 226-237. Available from: <https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/wills/>

41.

Garton J. The role of the trust mechanism in the Rule in Re Rose. The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 2003;67:364-367. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA73B9D90E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

42.

Roberts N. Donationes mortis causa in a dematerialised world. The Conveyancer and

property lawyer [Internet]. 2013;113-128. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE22491D0A31E11E28E17C4EDF535ADDD/View/FullText.html>

43.

Abigail Doggett. Explaining 'RE: Rose': The Search Goes On? The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2003;62(2):263-266. Available from:
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/4508993>

44.

Rickett C. Completely constituting an inter vivos trust: property rules? The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 2001;65. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA74317A1E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

45.

Luxton P. In search of perfection: the Re Rose rule rationale. The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 2012;76:70-75. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID134E9B050A411E18A8AA01CC7CE21D9/View/FullText.html>

46.

Pawlowski M. Death bed gifts. New law journal [Internet]. London Butterworth; 1994;144. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal>

47.

Virgo G. The principles of equity and trusts. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

48.

Watt G. Trusts & equity. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

49.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts law. Fifth edition. London: Palgrave; 2017.

50.

Challinor E. Debunking the myth of secret trusts. The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 2005; Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

51.

Allan, G. G. The Secret is out There: Searching for the Legal Justification for the Doctrine of Secret Trusts through Analysis of the Case Law. Common Law World Review [Internet]. 2011;(4). Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/comlwr40&id=319>

52.

Critchley P. Instruments of fraud, testamentary dispositions, and the doctrine of secret trusts. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1999;115:631–654. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

53.

Kincaid D. The Tangled Web: the Relationship between a Secret Trust and a Will. The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 2000;420–426. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

54.

Wilde D. Secret and semi-secret trusts: justifying distinctions between the two. The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 1995;366–367. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

wse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK

55.

Law Commission - Making a Will [Internet]. Law Commission Consultation Paper; 2017. p. 226–237. Available from: <https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/wills/>

56.

□□Evans S. Should professionally drafted half-secret trusts be extinct after *Larke v Nugus*? The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 2014; Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBro> wse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK

57.

T. G. Youdan. Formalities for Trusts of Land, and the Doctrine in *Rochefoucauld v. Boustead*. The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1984;43(2):306–336. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4506654>

58.

Virgo G. The principles of equity and trusts. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

59.

Watt G. Trusts & equity. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

60.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts law. Fifth edition. London: Palgrave; 2017.

61.

Jaffey P. Explaining the trust. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2015;131. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk>

k%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK

62.

Brian Green. Grey, Oughtred and Vandervell. A Contextual Reappraisal. *The Modern Law Review* [Internet]. Wiley; 1984;47(4):385–421. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1095817>

63.

Griffiths G. An inevitable tension? The disclosure of letters of wishes. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer* [Internet]. 2008; Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

64.

Matthews P. The comparative importance of the rule in *Saunders v Vautier*. *Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 2006;122:266–264. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

65.

Battersby G. Formalities for the disposition of equitable interests under a trust. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*. 1979;43:17–37.

66.

Scott, A. Nature of Rights of the Cestui Que Trust. *Columbia Law Review* [Internet]. 1917;(4). Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/clr17&id=293>

67.

Stone, H. F. Nature of the Rights of the Cestui Que Trust. *Columbia Law Review* [Internet].

1917;(6). Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/clr17&id=491>

68.

Edelman J. Two Fundamental Questions for the Law of Trusts. *Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 2013;129:66–86. Available from:
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

69.

Patrick Parkinson. Reconceptualising the Express Trust. *The Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2002;61(3):657–683. Available from:
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/4508936>

70.

Virgo G. *The principles of equity and trusts*. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

71.

Watt G. *Trusts & equity*. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

72.

Webb C, Akkouch T. *Trusts law*. Fifth edition. London: Palgrave; 2017.

73.

Rosy Thornton. Ethical Investments: A Case of Disjointed Thinking. *The Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2008;67(2):396–422. Available from:
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/25166411>

74.

Hicks A. The Trustee Act 2000 and the modern meaning of "investment". *Trust Law International* [Internet]. 2001;15:203–213. Available from: <https://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/auth/checkbrowser.do?t=1645125976589&bhcp=1>

75.

Hudson A. Great debates in equity and trusts [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

76.

Fox D. Non-excludable trustee duties. *Trusts & Trustees*. 2011 Feb 1;17(1):17–26.

77.

Birks P. The content of fiduciary obligation. *Trust Law International* [Internet]. 2002; Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

78.

Matthew Conaglen. A Re-Appraisal of the Fiduciary Self-Dealing and Fair-Dealing Rules. *The Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2006;65(2):366–396. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4509206>

79.

Capper D. Compensation for Breach of Trust. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer* [Internet]. 1997; Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

80.

Nobles RL. Charities and ethical investment. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer*

[Internet]. 1992; Available from:

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

81.

Conaglen M. The nature and function of fiduciary loyalty. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2005;121. Available from:

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

82.

Edelman J. When do fiduciary duties arise? Law quarterly review [Internet].

2010;126:302–327. Available from:

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

83.

Law Commission. Trustees' Powers and Duties [Internet]. 1999. Available from:

<https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/trustees-powers-and-duties/>

84.

Virgo G. The principles of equity and trusts. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

85.

Watt G. Trusts & equity. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

86.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts law. Fifth edition. London: Palgrave; 2017.

87.

Halliwell M, Prochaska E. Assistance and dishonesty: ring-a-ring o'roses. The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 2006; Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

88.

Hudson A. Great debates in equity and trusts [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=4763159>

89.

Ryan D. Royal Brunei dishonesty: a clear welcome for Barlow Clowes. The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. 2007; Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

90.

Millett P. Tracing the proceeds of fraud. Law quarterly review [Internet]. 1991;107. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

91.

Conaglen M. Difficulties with tracing backwards. Law quarterly review [Internet]. 2011;127. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrokse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

92.

Lee J. Constructing and limiting liability in equity. Law quarterly review [Internet]. 2015;131. Available from:

<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

93.

Evans S. Rethinking Tracing and the Law of Restitution. Law quarterly review [Internet]. 1999;115. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

94.

Turner PG. Tracing to and fro. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2016 Nov;75(03):462-465.

95.

Virgo G. The principles of equity and trusts. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

96.

Watt G. Trusts & equity. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

97.

Webb C, Akkouch T. Trusts law. Fifth edition. London: Palgrave; 2017.

98.

Synge M. Poverty: an essential element in charity after all? The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2011;70(3):649-668. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41301013>

99.

Charity Commission. Preston Down Trust: case report [Internet]. 2016. Available from:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/preston-down-trust-case-report>

100.

Pawlowski M, Summers J. Private purpose trusts – a reform proposal. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer* [Internet]. 2007;440–455. Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

101.

Harding M. Trusts for Religious Purposes and the Question of Public Benefit. *The Modern Law Review* [Internet]. Wiley; 2008;71(2):159–182. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25151191>

102.

Rahmatian A. The continued relevance of the "poor relations" and "poor employees" cases under the Charities Act 2006. *The Conveyancer and property lawyer* [Internet]. 2009; Available from: <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.bris.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fwestlawuk.thomsonreuters.co.uk%2FBrowse%2FHome%2FWestlawUK>

103.

Sloan B. Public schools for public benefit? *The Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2012;71(1):45–47. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23253784>

104.

P. S. Atiyah. Public Benefit in Charities. *The Modern Law Review* [Internet]. Wiley; 1958;21(2):138–154. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1091061>

105.

Charity Commission. Public benefit: the public benefit requirement (PB1) [Internet]. 2013. Available from:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/public-benefit-the-public-benefit-requirement-pb1>

106.

Charity Commission. Charitable purposes [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/charitable-purposes>

107.

Charity Commission. Legal analysis: public benefit [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/legal-analysis-public-benefit>