

POLI10003:Theories of International Relations

View Online



1.

Brown C, Ainley K. Introduction: Defining International Relations. In: Understanding International Relations. 4th ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2009:1-17.

2.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Introduction: Diversity and Disciplinarity in International Relations Theory. In: International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

3.

Adler E, Pouliot V. International practices. *International Theory*. 2011;3(01):1-36. doi:10.1017/S175297191000031X

4.

Buzan B, Little R. Why International Relations has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to do About it. *Millennium*. 2001;30(1):19-39. doi:10.1177/03058298010300010401

5.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Introduction. In: *Theories of International Relations*. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

6.

Dunne T, Hansen L, Wight C. The end of International Relations theory? *European Journal of International Relations*. 2013;19(3):405-425. doi:10.1177/1354066113495485

7.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 16 - The future of international relations: Fears and hopes. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press; 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

8.

Jabri V. Reflections on the Study of International Relations. In: *Issues in International Relations*. Routledge; 2000:289-313.

9.

Jackson R, Sorensen G. IR as an academic subject. In: *Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches*. 4th ed. Oxford University Press; 2010:28-57.

10.

Doyle MW, Ikenberry GJ. Chapter 2 - Inventing International Relations: International Relations Theory after 1945'. In: *New Thinking in International Relations Theory*. Westview Press; 1997.

11.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 1 - International Relations and Social Science. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. 3rd ed. Oxford University Press; 2013.

12.

NICHOLSON M. What's the use of International Relations? *Review of International Studies*. 2000;26(2):183-198. doi:10.1017/S0260210500001832

13.

Schroeder PW. History and International Relations Theory: Not Use or Abuse, but Fit or Misfit. *International Security*. 1997;22(1). doi:10.2307/2539329

14.

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 1 'On the History and Historiography of International Relations'. In: *Handbook of International Relations*. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2013.

15.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 16 - Still a Discipline After All these Debates? In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. 3rd ed. Oxford University Press; 2013.

16.

Walt SM. International Relations: One World, Many Theories. *Foreign Policy*. 1998;(110). doi:10.2307/1149275

17.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 18 - All these theories yet the bodies keep piling up: Theory, Theorists, Theorising. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press; 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

18.

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, eds. Chapter 7 - Liberalism. In: *The Globalization of World Politics: An Introduction to International Relations*. Sixth edition. Oxford University Press; 2014.

19.

G. John Ikenberry. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2009;7(1):71-87. http://www.jstor.org/stable/40407217?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

20.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 3 - Liberalism. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

21.

Charvet J, Kaczynska-Nay E. The Liberal Project and Human Rights: The Theory and Practice of a New World Order. Cambridge University Press; 2008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511755972>

22.

Michael W. Doyle. Three Pillars of the Liberal Peace. The American Political Science Review . 2005;99(3):463-466. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30038953>

23.

Franceschet A. The Ethical Foundations of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal. 1999;54(3). doi:10.2307/40203406

24.

Fukuyama F. The End of History and the Last Man. Twentieth anniversary edition. Penguin Books; 2012.

25.

Gardner RN. The Comeback of Liberal Internationalism. The Washington Quarterly. 1990;13(3):23-39. doi:10.1080/01636609009445391

26.

Jean-Yves Haine. The European Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal. 2009;64(2):453-479. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40204518>

27.

Hoffmann S. The Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. *Foreign Policy*. 1995;(98).
doi:10.2307/1148964

28.

Lawson S. Chapter 5 - Liberal International Theory. In: *Theories of International Relations: Contending Approaches to World Politics*. Polity; 2015.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1956448>

29.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 5 - Liberalism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

30.

Axelrod R, Keohane RO. Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions. *World Politics*. 1985;38(01):226-254. doi:10.2307/2010357

31.

Campbell JL, Pedersen OK. *The Rise of Neoliberalism and Institutional Analysis*. Princeton University Press; 2001.

32.

G. John Ikenberry. The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. *Foreign Affairs*. 2011;90(3):56-68. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23039408>

33.

Koivisto M, Dunne T. Crisis, What Crisis? Liberal Order Building and World Order Conventions. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2010;38(3):615-640.
doi:10.1177/0305829810363509

34.

Keohane RO, Martin LL. The Promise of Institutional Theory. *International Security*. 1995;20(1). doi:10.2307/2539214

35.

Lisa L. Martin and Beth A. Simmons. Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions. *International Organization*. 1998;52(4):729-757.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601356>

36.

Beth A. Simmons, Frank Dobbin and Geoffrey Garrett. Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. *International Organization*. 2006;60(4):781-810.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877847>

37.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 6 - Neoliberalism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

38.

Reus-Smit C, Snidal D. Chapter 11 - Neoliberal Institutionalism. In: *The Oxford Handbook of International Relations*. Vol Oxford handbooks of political science. Oxford University Press; 2008.

39.

WEISS TG. How United Nations ideas change history. *Review of International Studies*. 2010;36(S1):3-23. doi:10.1017/S026021051100009X

40.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 2 - Realism. In: *Theories of International Relations*. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://r2.vlereader.com/EpubReader?ean=1781137311368>

41.

Mearsheimer, J., 'Hans Morgenthau and the Iraq War: Realism Versus Neoconservatism.'
https://www.opendemocracy.net/democracy-americanpower/morgenthau_2522.jsp

42.

William Bain. Deconfusing Morgenthau: Moral Inquiry and Classical Realism Reconsidered. *Review of International Studies*. 2000;26(3):445-464. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097688>

43.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 2 - The Timeless Wisdom of Realism. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press; 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

44.

Robert G. Gilpin. The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. *International Organization*. 1984;38(2):287-304. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706441>

45.

Guzzini S. The Enduring Dilemmas of Realism in International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2004;10(4):533-568. doi:10.1177/1354066104047848

46.

Jervis, Robert. Hans Morgenthau, Realism, and the Scientific Study of International Politics. *Social Research*. 61(4).
https://search.proquest.com/docview/1297235334?accountid=9730&rfr_id=info%3Axi%2Fsid%3Aprim0

47.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 3 - Classical Realism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

48.

Lebow RN. Tragedy, Politics and Political Science. *International Relations*. 2005;19(3):329-336. doi:10.1177/0047117805055410

49.

Morgenthau HJ. Another "Great Debate": The National Interest of the United States. *American Political Science Review*. 1952;46(04):961-988. doi:10.2307/1952108

50.

Justin Rosenberg. What's the Matter with Realism? *Review of International Studies*. 1990;16(4):285-303. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20097232>

51.

Richard K. Ashley. The Poverty of Neorealism. *International Organization*. 1984;38(2):225-286. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2706440>

52.

Guzzini, G., 'Structural Power: The Limits of Neorealist Power Analysis' *International Organization*, 47(3), 1993, pp. 443-478.
<http://uu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:97877/FULLTEXT02.pdf>

53.

Keohane RO, Waltz KN. *Neorealism and Its Critics. Vol The political economy of international change*. Columbia University Press; 1986.

54.

Booth K, Smith S. Chapter 11 - Neorealism in Theory and In Practice. In: *International Relations Theory Today*. Polity Press; 1995.

55.

Mearsheimer JJ. Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. *International Security*. 1990;15(1). doi:10.2307/2538981

56.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 4 - Structural Realism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

57.

Davis N. A rose by any other name. *Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine*. 2014;25. doi:10.1016/j.jflm.2014.04.021

58.

Waltz KN. *Theory of International Politics*. McGraw-Hill; 1979.

59.

Kenneth N. Waltz. Structural Realism after the Cold War. *International Security*. 2000;25(1):5-41. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2626772>

60.

Michael C. Williams. Neo-Realism and the Future of Strategy. *Review of International Studies*. 1993;19(2):103-121. http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097326?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

61.

Baldwin DA. *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate*. Vol New directions in world politics. Columbia University Press; 1993.

62.

Joseph M. Grieco. Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. *International Organization*. 1988;42(3):485-507. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706787?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

63.

Robert Jervis. Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security*. 1999;24(1):42-63. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539347>

64.

Keohane RO. International Institutions: Two Approaches. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1988;32(4). doi:10.2307/2600589

65.

Nye JS. Neorealism and Neoliberalism. *World Politics*. 1988;40(02):235-251. doi:10.2307/2010363

66.

Powell R. Anarchy in international relations theory: the neorealist-neoliberal debate. *International Organization*. 1994;48(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818300028204

67.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 4 - The English School. In: *Theories of International Relations*. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

68.

Gallagher AM. A Clash of Responsibilities: Engaging with Realist Critiques of the R2P. *Global Responsibility to Protect*. 2012;4(3):334-357. doi:10.1163/1875984X-00403004

69.

Bellamy AJ, ed. *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press; 2004. doi:10.1093/0199265208.001.0001

70.

Bull H. *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics*. 3rd ed. Palgrave; 2002.

71.

Buzan B. *An Introduction to the English School of International Relations: The Societal Approach*. Polity; 2014.

72.

Buzan B. *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation*. Vol Cambridge studies in international relations. Cambridge University Press; 2004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616617>

73.

Barry Buzan. *The English School: An Underexploited Resource in IR*. *Review of International Studies*. 2001;27(3):471-488.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097749?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

74.

Dunne T. *Inventing International Society: A History of the English School*. Vol St Anthony's series. Macmillan in association with St Anthony's College, Oxford; 1998.

75.

Martha Finnemore. *Exporting the English School?* *Review of International Studies*. 2001;27(3):509-513. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097753>

76.

Jackson RH. *The Global Covenant: Human Conduct in a World of States*. Oxford University Press; 2000.

77.

Jackson RH, Sørensen G. Chapter 5 - International Society. In: Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches. 4th ed. Oxford University Press; 2010.

78.

Linklater A. The Problem of Harm in World Politics: Theoretical Investigations. Cambridge University Press; 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790348>

79.

Linklater A, Suganami H. The English School of International Relations: A Contemporary Reassessment. Vol Cambridge Studies in International Relations. Cambridge University Press; 2006. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491528>

80.

LITTLE R. The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations. European Journal of International Relations. 2000;6(3):395-422. doi:10.1177/1354066100006003004

81.

Bloomsbury Collections - International Society and the Development of International Relations Theory. <https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/international-society-and-the-development-of-international-relations-theory/>

82.

Wheeler NJ. Saving Strangers: Humanitarian Intervention in International Society. Oxford University Press; 2000. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=3052740>

83.

Wheeler NJ. Pluralist or Solidarist Conceptions of International Society: Bull and Vincent on Humanitarian Intervention. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 1992;21(3):463-487. doi:10.1177/03058298920210030201

84.

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, eds. Chapter 9 - Marxist Theories of International Relations. In: The Globalization of World Politics: An Introduction to International Relations. Sixth edition. Oxford University Press; 2014.

85.

Dunn, B., 'Can Marxism Explain the Crisis?' The Socialist Review, 363 (November), 2011. <http://socialistreview.org.uk/363/can-marxism-explain-crisis>

86.

Burnham P. Marx, international political economy and globalisation. Capital & Class. 2001;25(3):103-112. doi:10.1177/030981680107500109

87.

Bieler A, Morton AD. A critical theory route to hegemony, world order and historical change: neo-Gramscian perspectives in International Relations. Capital & Class. 2004;28(1):85-113. doi:10.1177/030981680408200106

88.

Callinicos A. Marxism and the International: The Future of the Capitalist State, Historical Materialism and Globalisation. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 2004;6(3):426-433. doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2004.00148.x

89.

Cox RW. Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 1981;10(2):126-155.

90.

Cox RW. Gramsci, hegemony and international relations: An essay in method. Millennium : journal of international studies. 1983;12(2):162-175.

91.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 7 - Critical Theory. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

92.

el-Ojeili C. Reflections on Wallerstein: The Modern World-System, Four Decades on. *Critical Sociology*. 2015;41(4-5):679-700. doi:10.1177/0896920513497377

93.

Randall D. Germain and Michael Kenny. Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. *Review of International Studies*. 1998;24(1):3-21.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097503>

94.

Gill SR, Law D. Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1989;33(4). doi:10.2307/2600523

95.

Gramsci A, Hoare Q, Nowell-Smith G. *Selections from the Prison Notebooks of Antonio Gramsci*. Lawrence and Wishart; 1971.

96.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 5 - Marx and Marxism. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

97.

Maclean J. Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 1988;17(2):295-319.
doi:10.1177/03058298880170021201

98.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 8 - Marxism and Critical Theory. In: International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

99.

Wallerstein I. Chapter 2 - The Modern World-System as a Capitalist World-Economy. In: World-Systems Analysis: An Introduction. Vol A John Hope Franklin Center book. Duke University Press; 2004:23-41.

100.

Immanuel Wallerstein. The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis. Comparative Studies in Society and History. 1974;16(4):387-415. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/178015>

101.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

102.

Richard Price. A Genealogy of the Chemical Weapons Taboo. International Organization. 1995;49(1):73-103. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706867?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

103.

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 5 - Constructivism in International Relations: Sources, Contributions and Debates. In: Handbook of International Relations. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2013.

104.

Björkdahl A. Norms in International Relations: Some Conceptual and Methodological Reflections. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*. 2002;15(1):9-23.
doi:10.1080/09557570220126216

105.

R. Charli Carpenter. Vetting the Advocacy Agenda: Network Centrality and the Paradox of Weapons Norms. *International Organization*. 2011;65(1):69-102.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/23016104>

106.

Checkel JT. The Constructive Turn in International Relations Theory. *World Politics*. 1998;50(02):324-348. doi:10.1017/S0043887100008133

107.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

108.

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink. International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization*. 1998;52(4):887-917.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601361?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

109.

Finnemore M, Sikkink K. Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2001;4(1):391-416. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.391

110.

Hopf T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security*. 1998;23(1). doi:10.2307/2539267

111.

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 3 - Ethics and Norms in International Relations. In: Handbook of International Relations. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2013.

112.

Della Porta D, Keating M, eds. Chapter 5 - Constructivism: What It Is (Not) and How It Matters. In: Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences: A Pluralist Perspective. Cambridge University Press; 2008. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801938>

113.

Onuf NG. Making Sense, Making Worlds: Constructivism in Social Theory and International Relations. Vol The new international relations. Routledge; 2013.

114.

Ronen Palan. A World of Their Making: An Evaluation of the Constructivist Critique in International Relations. Review of International Studies. 2000;26(4):575-598. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097700>

115.

Reus-Smit C. Imagining Society: Constructivism and the English School. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 2002;4(3):487-509. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.00091

116.

Weldes J. Constructing National Interests'. European journal of international relations. 1996;2:275-318. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1354066196002003001>

117.

Alexander Wendt. Anarchy is what States Make of it: The Social Construction of Power Politics. International Organization. 1992;46(2):391-425. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706858>

118.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 8 - Post-Structuralism. In: Theories of International Relations. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

119.

Considine, L., 'Back to the Rough Ground! A Grammatical Approach to Trust and International Relations', *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 44(1)1 (2015), pp. 109-127.

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/86659/1/Laura%20Considsine%20%27Back%20to%20the%20Rough%20Ground%27%20Millennium%20Article%20June%202015.pdf>

120.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. 'Chapter 11 - The Achievements of Poststructuralism. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press; 1996.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054.013>

121.

Callinicos A. Chapter 3 - The Aporias of Poststructuralism. In: *Against Postmodernism: A Marxist Critique*. Polity Press; 1989:62-91.

122.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 11 - Poststructuralism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

123.

David Campbell. *Global Inscription: How Foreign Policy Constitutes the United States*. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 1990;15(3):263-286.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/40644685?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

124.

Shapiro MJ, Der Derian J. *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics*. Vol World Politics Series. Lexington Books; 1989.

125.

Doty R. The Logic of Differance in International Relations: US Colonization of the Philippines. In: Beer FA, Hariman R, eds. Post-Realism: The Rhetorical Turn in International Relations. Vol Rhetoric and public affairs series. Michigan State University press; 1996:331-345.

126.

Edkins J, Pin-Fat V. Through the Wire: Relations of Power and Relations of Violence. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 2005;34(1):1-24.
doi:10.1177/03058298050340010101

127.

Edkins J, Vaughan-Williams N. Critical Theorists and International Relations. Vol Interventions. Routledge; 2009.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=411003>

128.

Hansen L. Discourse Analysis, Identity and Foreign Policy. In: Security as Practice: Discourse Analysis and the Bosnian War. Vol The new international relations series. Routledge; 2006:17-36.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=200689&ppg=33>

129.

MILLIKEN J. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: European Journal of International Relations. 1999;5(2):225-254. doi:10.1177/1354066199005002003

130.

Foucault M, Rabinow P. The Foucault Reader. Penguin Books; 1986.

131.

Hollinger R. 'Chapter 7 - Modernity, Post-Modernism and International Relations'. In: Postmodernism and the Social Sciences: A Thematic Approach. Vol Contemporary social

theory. Sage; 1994.

132.

Shapiro M. Textualising Global Politics. In: International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics. Vol World Politics Series. Lexington Books; 1989:11-22.

133.

Rosenau P. Once Again Into the Fray: International Relations Confronts the Humanities. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 1990;19(1):83-110.
doi:10.1177/03058298900190010701

134.

Cynthia Weber. Reconsidering Statehood: Examining the Sovereignty/Intervention Boundary. Review of International Studies. 1992;18(3):199-216.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097298?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

135.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 10 - Feminism. In: International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

136.

Roff HM. Gendering a Warbot. International Feminist Journal of Politics. 2016;18(1):1-18.
doi:10.1080/14616742.2015.1094246

137.

Brooke Ackerly and Jacqui True. Reflexivity in Practice: Power and Ethics in Feminist Research on International Relations. International Studies Review. 2008;10(4):693-707.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/25482017>

138.

Bulmer S. Patriarchal Confusion? Making Sense of Gay and Lesbian Military Identity.

International Feminist Journal of Politics. 2013;15(2):137-156.
doi:10.1080/14616742.2012.746565

139.

Enloe CH. Chapter 5 - Gender makes the World Go Round: Where are the Women? In: Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics. Second edition, completely revised and updated. University of California Press; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1687669>

140.

Carpenter RC. Recognizing Gender-Based Violence Against Civilian Men and Boys in Conflict Situations. Security Dialogue. 2006;37(1):83-103. doi:10.1177/0967010606064139

141.

Terrell Carver, Molly Cochran and Judith Squires. Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. Review of International Studies. 1998;24(2):283-297.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097523>

142.

Hooper C. Manly States: Masculinities, International Relations, and Gender Politics. Columbia University Press; 2001.

143.

Adam Jones. Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. Review of International Studies. 1996;22(4):405-429.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097459>

144.

Peterson V. S., 'Feminist Theories Within, Invisible To, and Beyond IR' Brown Journal of World Affairs, 10(2), 2004, pp. 1-11.
<http://www.u.arizona.edu/~spikep/Publications/VSP%20Fem%20in&bey%20IR%20Brownj%20P&P2004.pdf>

145.

Shepherd LJ, ed. *Gender Matters in Global Politics: A Feminist Introduction to International Relations*. Second edition. Routledge; 2015.

<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315879819>

146.

Steans J. Engaging from the Margins: Feminist Encounters with the 'Mainstream' of International Relations. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*.

2003;5(3):428-454. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.00114

147.

Steans J. Gender, Feminism, and International Relations. In: *Gender and International Relations: Issues, Debates and Future Directions*. 2nd ed., rev.expanded. Polity; 2006:7-19.

148.

Tickner JA. Chapter 1 - Engendered Insecurities: Feminist Perspectives on International Relations. In: *Gender in International Relations: Feminist Perspectives on Achieving Global Security*. Vol New directions in world politics. Columbia University Press; 1992.

149.

J. Ann Tickner. You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1997;41(4):611-632.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

150.

WAYLEN G. You still don't understand: why troubled engagements continue between feminists and (critical) IPE. *Review of International Studies*. 2006;32(01).

doi:10.1017/S0260210506006966

151.

Weber C. Good girls, little girls and bad girls: Male paranoia in Robert Keohane's critique of feminist international relations'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 1994;23:337-349.

152.

Epstein C. The postcolonial perspective: an introduction. *International Theory*. 2014;6(02):294-311. doi:10.1017/S1752971914000219

153.

Seth, Sanjay (2011), 'Postcolonial Theory and the Critique of International Relations,' *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 40 (1), pp. 167-183. doi:10.1177/0305829811412325?journalCode=mila

154.

Rita Abrahamsen. African Studies and the Postcolonial Challenge. *African Affairs*. 2003;102(407):189-210. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3518676>

155.

Acharya A, Buzan B. *Non-Western International Relations Theory: Perspectives on and beyond Asia*. Routledge; 2010.

156.

Barkawi T, Laffey M. Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2002;31(1):109-127. doi:10.1177/03058298020310010601

157.

Biccum AR. Development and the 'New' Imperialism: a reinvention of colonial discourse in DFID promotional literature. *Third World Quarterly*. 2005;26(6):1005-1020. doi:10.1080/01436590500139656

158.

Pinar Bilgin. Thinking past 'Western' IR? *Third World Quarterly*. 2008;29(1):5-23.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20455023>

159.

Chowdhury G, Nair S. Introduction: Power in a postcolonial world: race, gender and class in international relations. In: *Power, Postcolonialism, and International Relations: Reading Race, Gender, and Class*. Vol Routledge advances in international relations and global politics. Routledge; 2002:1-32. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315017181>

160.

Darby P. Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2004;33(1):1-32.
doi:10.1177/03058298040330010101

161.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. Chapter 12 - Postcolonialism. In: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

162.

GROVOGUI SN. Regimes of Sovereignty: International Morality and the African Condition. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2002;8(3):315-338.
doi:10.1177/1354066102008003001

163.

Gruffydd Jones B, ed. *Decolonizing International Relations*. Rowman and Littlefield; 2006.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1343740>

164.

Matin K. Redeeming the universal: Postcolonialism and the inner life of Eurocentrism. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2013;19(2):353-377.
doi:10.1177/1354066111425263

165.

Seth S, ed. *Postcolonial Theory and International Relations: A Critical Introduction*. Vol Interventions. Routledge; 2013.

166.

TAYLOR L. Decolonizing International Relations: Perspectives from Latin America. *International Studies Review*. 2012;14(3):386-400. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2486.2012.01125.x

167.

Tickner AB. Core, periphery and (neo)imperialist International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2013;19(3):627-646. doi:10.1177/1354066113494323

168.

Vasilaki R. Provincialising IR? Deadlocks and Prospects in Post-Western IR Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2012;41(1):3-22. doi:10.1177/0305829812451720

169.

Brown GW, Held D, eds. Editor's Introduction. In: *The Cosmopolitanism Reader*. Polity; 2010.

170.

Dietzel A. The Paris Agreement - Protecting the Human Right to Health? *Global Policy*. 2017;8(3):313-321. doi:10.1111/1758-5899.12421

171.

Beardsworth R. *Cosmopolitanism and International Relations Theory*. Polity; 2011.

172.

Brock G. *Global Justice*. Oxford University Press; 2009.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199230938.001.0001

173.

Brown GW, Held D, eds. *The Cosmopolitanism Reader*. Polity; 2010.

174.

Brown GW. *Grounding Cosmopolitanism: From Kant to the Idea of a Cosmopolitan Constitution*. Edinburgh University Press; 2009.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3366/j.ctt1r1x04>

175.

Caney S. Climate change and the duties of the advantaged. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*. 2010;13(1):203-228. doi:10.1080/13698230903326331

176.

Dietzel A. *Global Justice*. In: *International Relations Theory: A Practical Introduction*.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/01/02/global-justice-in-international-relations-theory/>

177.

Gardiner SM. *Climate Ethics: Essential Readings*. Oxford University Press; 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=547959>

178.

Lawrence P. *Justice for Future Generations: Climate Change and International Law*. Edward Elgar Publishing; 2014.

179.

Hayden P. *Cosmopolitan Global Politics. Vol Ethics and global politics*. Ashgate; 2005.

180.

Held D. *Cosmopolitanism: Ideals and Realities*. Polity Press; 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1180922>

181.

Held D. *Cosmopolitanism: globalisation tamed?* *Review of International Studies*. 2003;29(04). doi:10.1017/S0260210503004650

182.

Lu C. *The One and Many Faces of Cosmopolitanism*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2000;8(2):244-267. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00101

183.

Moss J, ed. *Climate Change and Justice*. Cambridge University Press; 2015.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316145340>

184.

Shue H. *Climate Justice: Vulnerability and Protection*. Oxford University Press; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1695931>

185.

Tan KC. *Justice without Borders: Cosmopolitanism, Nationalism, and Patriotism*. Vol Contemporary Political Theory. Cambridge University Press; 2004.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511490385>