

POLI10003:Theories of International Relations

View Online



Acharya, A., & Buzan, B. (2010). *Non-Western international relations theory: perspectives on and beyond Asia*. Routledge.

Adam Jones. (1996). Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*, 22(4), 405–429.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097459>

Adler, E., & Pouliot, V. (2011). International practices. *International Theory*, 3(01), 1–36.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S175297191000031X>

Alexander Wendt. (1992). Anarchy is what States Make of it: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization*, 46(2), 391–425.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706858>

Axelrod, R., & Keohane, R. O. (1985). Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions. *World Politics*, 38(01), 226–254. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2010357>

Baldwin, D. A. (1993). *Neorealism and neoliberalism: the contemporary debate: Vol. New directions in world politics*. Columbia University Press.

Barkawi, T., & Laffey, M. (2002). Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 31(1), 109–127.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310010601>

Barry Buzan. (2001). The English School: An Underexploited Resource in IR. *Review of International Studies*, 27(3), 471–488.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097749?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Baylis, J., Smith, S., & Owens, P. (Eds.). (2014a). Chapter 7 - Liberalism. In *The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations* (Sixth edition). Oxford University Press.

Baylis, J., Smith, S., & Owens, P. (Eds.). (2014b). Chapter 9 - Marxist Theories of International Relations. In *The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations* (Sixth edition). Oxford University Press.

Beardsworth, R. (2011). *Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory*. Polity.

Bellamy, A. J. (Ed.). (2004). *International Society and its Critics*. Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/0199265208.001.0001>

- Beth A. Simmons, Frank Dobbin and Geoffrey Garrett. (2006). Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. *International Organization*, 60(4), 781–810. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877847>
- Biccum, A. R. (2005). Development and the 'New' Imperialism: a reinvention of colonial discourse in DFID promotional literature. *Third World Quarterly*, 26(6), 1005–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436590500139656>
- Bieler, A., & Morton, A. D. (2004). A critical theory route to hegemony, world order and historical change: neo-Gramscian perspectives in International Relations. *Capital & Class*, 28(1), 85–113. <https://doi.org/10.1177/030981680408200106>
- Björkdahl, A. (2002). Norms in International Relations: Some Conceptual and Methodological Reflections. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 15(1), 9–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09557570220126216>
- Bloomsbury Collections - International Society and the Development of International Relations Theory. (n.d.). <https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/international-society-and-the-development-of-international-relations-theory/>
- Booth, K., & Smith, S. (1995). Chapter 11 - Neorealism in Theory and In Practice. In *International relations theory today*. Polity Press.
- Brock, G. (2009). *Global Justice*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199230938.001.0001>
- Brooke Ackerly and Jacqui True. (2008). Reflexivity in Practice: Power and Ethics in Feminist Research on International Relations. *International Studies Review*, 10(4), 693–707. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25482017>
- Brown, C., & Ainley, K. (2009). Introduction: Defining International Relations. In *Understanding international relations* (4th ed, pp. 1–17). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Brown, G. W. (2009). Grounding cosmopolitanism: from Kant to the idea of a cosmopolitan constitution. Edinburgh University Press. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3366/j.ctt1r1x04>
- Brown, G. W., & Held, D. (Eds.). (2010a). Editor's Introduction. In *The cosmopolitanism reader*. Polity.
- Brown, G. W., & Held, D. (Eds.). (2010b). *The cosmopolitanism reader*. Polity.
- Bull, H. (2002). *The anarchical society: a study of order in world politics* (3rd ed). Palgrave.
- Bulmer, S. (2013). Patriarchal Confusion? Making Sense of Gay and Lesbian Military Identity. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 15(2), 137–156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2012.746565>
- Burchill, S., & Linklater, A. (2013a). Chapter 2 - Realism. In *Theories of international relations* (Fifth edition). Palgrave Macmillan. <https://r2.vlereader.com/EpubReader?ean=1781137311368>

- Burchill, S., & Linklater, A. (2013b). Chapter 3 - Liberalism. In *Theories of international relations* (Fifth edition). Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>
- Burchill, S., & Linklater, A. (2013c). Chapter 4 - The English School. In *Theories of international relations* (Fifth edition). Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>
- Burchill, S., & Linklater, A. (2013d). Chapter 5 - Marx and Marxism. In *Theories of international relations* (Fifth edition). Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>
- Burchill, S., & Linklater, A. (2013e). Chapter 7 - Critical Theory. In *Theories of international relations* (Fifth edition). Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>
- Burchill, S., & Linklater, A. (2013f). Chapter 8 - Post-Structuralism. In *Theories of international relations* (Fifth edition). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Burchill, S., & Linklater, A. (2013g). Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In *Theories of international relations* (Fifth edition). Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>
- Burchill, S., & Linklater, A. (2013h). Introduction. In *Theories of international relations* (Fifth edition). Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>
- Burnham, P. (2001). Marx, international political economy and globalisation. *Capital & Class*, 25(3), 103–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/030981680107500109>
- Buzan, B. (2004). *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation: Vol. Cambridge studies in international relations*. Cambridge University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616617>
- Buzan, B. (2014). *An introduction to the English school of international relations: the societal approach*. Polity.
- Buzan, B., & Little, R. (2001). Why International Relations has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to do About it. *Millennium*, 30(1), 19–39.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298010300010401>
- Callinicos, A. (1989). Chapter 3 - The Aporias of Poststructuralism. In *Against postmodernism: a marxist critique* (pp. 62–91). Polity Press.
- Callinicos, A. (2004). Marxism and the International: The Future of the Capitalist State, Historical Materialism and Globalisation. *The British Journal of Politics and International*

Relations, 6(3), 426–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2004.00148.x>

Campbell, J. L., & Pedersen, O. K. (2001). *The rise of neoliberalism and institutional analysis*. Princeton University Press.

Caney, S. (2010). Climate change and the duties of the advantaged. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*, 13(1), 203–228. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13698230903326331>

Carlsnaes, W., Risse-Kappen, T., & Simmons, B. A. (2013a). Chapter 1 'On the History and Historiography of International Relations'. In *Handbook of international relations* (2nd ed). SAGE.

Carlsnaes, W., Risse-Kappen, T., & Simmons, B. A. (2013b). Chapter 3 - Ethics and Norms in International Relations. In *Handbook of international relations* (2nd ed). SAGE.

Carlsnaes, W., Risse-Kappen, T., & Simmons, B. A. (2013c). Chapter 5 - Constructivism in International Relations: Sources, Contributions and Debates. In *Handbook of international relations* (2nd ed). SAGE.

Carpenter, R. C. (2006). Recognizing Gender-Based Violence Against Civilian Men and Boys in Conflict Situations. *Security Dialogue*, 37(1), 83–103. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010606064139>

Charvet, J., & Kaczynska-Nay, E. (2008). *The Liberal Project and Human Rights: The Theory and Practice of a New World Order*. Cambridge University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511755972>

Checkel, J. T. (1998). The Constructive Turn in International Relations Theory. *World Politics*, 50(02), 324–348. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100008133>

Chowdhury, G., & Nair, S. (2002). Introduction: Power in a postcolonial world: race, gender and class in international relations. In *Power, postcolonialism, and international relations: reading race, gender, and class: Vol. Routledge advances in international relations and global politics* (pp. 1–32). Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315017181>

Considine, L., 'Back to the Rough Ground! A Grammatical Approach to Trust and International Relations', *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 44(1)1 (2015), pp. 109-127. (n.d.). <http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/86659/1/Laura%20Considsine%20%27Back%20to%20the%20Rough%20Ground%27%20Millennium%20Article%20June%202015.pdf>

Cox, R. W. (1981). Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 10(2), 126–155.

Cox, R. W. (1983). Gramsci, hegemony and international relations: An essay in method. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies.*, 12(2), 162–175.

Cynthia Weber. (1992). Reconsidering Statehood: Examining the Sovereignty/Intervention Boundary. *Review of International Studies*, 18(3), 199–216. http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097298?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

- Darby, P. (2004). Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 33(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298040330010101>
- David Campbell. (1990). Global Inscription: How Foreign Policy Constitutes the United States. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, 15(3), 263–286. http://www.jstor.org/stable/40644685?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents
- Davis, N. (2014). A rose by any other name. *Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine*, 25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jflm.2014.04.021>
- Della Porta, D., & Keating, M. (Eds.). (2008). Chapter 5 - Constructivism: What It Is (Not) and How It Matters. In *Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences: a pluralist perspective*. Cambridge University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801938>
- Dietzel, A. (n.d.). Global Justice. In *International Relations Theory: A Practical Introduction*. <https://www.e-ir.info/2018/01/02/global-justice-in-international-relations-theory/>
- Dietzel, A. (2017). The Paris Agreement - Protecting the Human Right to Health? *Global Policy*, 8(3), 313–321. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.12421>
- Doty, R. (1996). The Logic of Differance in International Relations: US Colonization of the Philippines. In F. A. Beer & R. Hariman (Eds.), *Post-realism: the rhetorical turn in international relations: Vol. Rhetoric and public affairs series* (pp. 331–345). Michigan State University press.
- Doyle, M. W., & Ikenberry, G. J. (1997). Chapter 2 - Inventing International Relations: International Relations Theory after 1945'. In *New thinking in international relations theory*. Westview Press.
- Dunn, B., 'Can Marxism Explain the Crisis?' *The Socialist Review*, 363 (November), 2011. (n.d.). <http://socialistreview.org.uk/363/can-marxism-explain-crisis>
- Dunne, T. (1998). *Inventing international society: a history of the English school: Vol. St Anthony's series*. Macmillan in association with St Anthony's College, Oxford.
- Dunne, T., Hansen, L., & Wight, C. (2013). The end of International Relations theory? *European Journal of International Relations*, 19(3), 405–425. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066113495485>
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (2013a). Chapter 1 - International Relations and Social Science. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (2013b). Chapter 16 - Still a Discipline After All these Debates? In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016a). Chapter 3 - Classical Realism. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.

- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016b). Chapter 4 - Structural Realism. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016c). Chapter 5 - Liberalism. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016d). Chapter 6 - Neoliberalism. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016e). Chapter 8 - Marxism and Critical Theory. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016f). Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016g). Chapter 10 - Feminism. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016h). Chapter 11 - Poststructuralism. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016i). Chapter 12 - Postcolonialism. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016j). Introduction: Diversity and Disciplinarity in International Relations Theory. In *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Edkins, J., & Pin-Fat, V. (2005). Through the Wire: Relations of Power and Relations of Violence. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 34(1), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298050340010101>
- Edkins, J., & Vaughan-Williams, N. (2009). *Critical theorists and international relations: Vol. Interventions*. Routledge. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=411003>
- el-Ojeili, C. (2015). Reflections on Wallerstein: The Modern World-System, Four Decades on. *Critical Sociology*, 41(4-5), 679-700. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0896920513497377>
- Enloe, C. H. (2014). Chapter 5 - Gender makes the World Go Round: Where are the Women? In *Bananas, beaches and bases: making feminist sense of international politics* (Second edition, completely revised and updated). University of California Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1687669>
- Epstein, C. (2014). The postcolonial perspective: an introduction. *International Theory*, 6 (02), 294-311. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1752971914000219>

- Finnemore, M., & Sikkink, K. (2001). Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 4(1), 391–416. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.391>
- Foucault, M., & Rabinow, P. (1986). *The Foucault reader*. Penguin Books.
- Franceschet, A. (1999). The Ethical Foundations of Liberal Internationalism. *International Journal*, 54(3). <https://doi.org/10.2307/40203406>
- Fukuyama, F. (2012). *The end of history and the last man* (Twentieth anniversary edition). Penguin Books.
- G. John Ikenberry. (2009). Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*, 7(1), 71–87. http://www.jstor.org/stable/40407217?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents
- G. John Ikenberry. (2011). The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. *Foreign Affairs*, 90(3), 56–68. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23039408>
- Gallagher, A. M. (2012). A Clash of Responsibilities: Engaging with Realist Critiques of the R2P. *Global Responsibility to Protect*, 4(3), 334–357. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1875984X-00403004>
- Gardiner, S. M. (2010). *Climate ethics: essential readings*. Oxford University Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=547959>
- Gardner, R. N. (1990). The Comeback of Liberal Internationalism. *The Washington Quarterly*, 13(3), 23–39. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01636609009445391>
- Gill, S. R., & Law, D. (1989). Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly*, 33(4). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600523>
- Gramsci, A., Hoare, Q., & Nowell-Smith, G. (1971). *Selections from the prison notebooks of Antonio Gramsci*. Lawrence and Wishart.
- GROVOGUI, S. N. (2002). Regimes of Sovereignty: International Morality and the African Condition. *European Journal of International Relations*, 8(3), 315–338. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066102008003001>
- Gruffydd Jones, B. (Ed.). (2006). *Decolonizing international relations*. Rowman and Littlefield. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1343740>
- Guzzini, G., 'Structural Power: The Limits of Neorealist Power Analysis' *International Organization*, 47(3), 1993, pp. 443–478. (n.d.). <http://uu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:97877/FULLTEXT02.pdf>
- Guzzini, S. (2004). The Enduring Dilemmas of Realism in International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*, 10(4), 533–568. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066104047848>
- Hansen, L. (2006). Discourse Analysis, Identity and Foreign Policy. In *Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war: Vol. The new international relations series* (pp.

17–36). Routledge.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=200689&ppg=33>

Hayden, P. (2005). *Cosmopolitan global politics: Vol. Ethics and global politics*. Ashgate.

Held, D. (2003). *Cosmopolitanism: globalisation tamed?* *Review of International Studies*, 29 (04). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210503004650>

Held, D. (2010). *Cosmopolitanism: ideals and realities*. Polity Press.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1180922>

Hoffmann, S. (1995). *The Crisis of Liberal Internationalism*. *Foreign Policy*, 98.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/1148964>

Hollinger, R. (1994). 'Chapter 7 - Modernity, Post-Modernism and International Relations'. In *Postmodernism and the social sciences: a thematic approach: Vol. Contemporary social theory*. Sage.

Hooper, C. (2001). *Manly states: masculinities, international relations, and gender politics*. Columbia University Press.

Hopf, T. (1998). *The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory*.

International Security, 23(1). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539267>

Immanuel Wallerstein. (1974). *The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis*. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 16(4), 387–415. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/178015>

J. Ann Tickner. (1997). *You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists*. *International Studies Quarterly*, 41(4), 611–632.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Jabri, V. (2000). *Reflections on the Study of International Relations*. In *Issues in international relations* (pp. 289–313). Routledge.

Jackson, R. H. (2000). *The global covenant: human conduct in a world of states*. Oxford University Press.

Jackson, R. H., & Sørensen, G. (2010). Chapter 5 - *International Society*. In *Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches* (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Jackson, R., & Sorensen, G. (2010). *IR as an academic subject*. In *Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches* (4th ed, pp. 28–57). Oxford University Press.

Jean-Yves Haine. (2009). *The European Crisis of Liberal Internationalism*. *International Journal*, 64(2), 453–479. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40204518>

Jervis, Robert. (n.d.). *Hans Morgenthau, Realism, and the Scientific Study of International Politics*. *Social Research*, 61(4).

https://search.proquest.com/docview/1297235334?accountid=9730&rfr_id=info%3Axi%2Fsid%3Aprimo

- Joseph M. Grieco. (1988). Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. *International Organization*, 42(3), 485–507.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706787?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents
- Justin Rosenberg. (1990). What's the Matter with Realism? *Review of International Studies*, 16(4), 285–303. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20097232>
- Kenneth N. Waltz. (2000). Structural Realism after the Cold War. *International Security*, 25(1), 5–41. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2626772>
- Keohane, R. O. (1988). International Institutions: Two Approaches. *International Studies Quarterly*, 32(4). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600589>
- Keohane, R. O., & Martin, L. L. (1995). The Promise of Institutional Theory. *International Security*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539214>
- Keohane, R. O., & Waltz, K. N. (1986). *Neorealism and its critics: Vol. The political economy of international change*. Columbia University Press.
- Koivisto, M., & Dunne, T. (2010). Crisis, What Crisis? Liberal Order Building and World Order Conventions. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 38(3), 615–640.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829810363509>
- Lawrence, P. (2014). *Justice for future generations: climate change and international law*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Lawson, S. (2015). Chapter 5 - Liberal International Theory. In *Theories of international relations: contending approaches to world politics*. Polity.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1956448>
- Lebow, R. N. (2005). Tragedy, Politics and Political Science. *International Relations*, 19(3), 329–336. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117805055410>
- Linklater, A. (2011). *The Problem of Harm in World Politics: Theoretical Investigations*. Cambridge University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790348>
- Linklater, A., & Suganami, H. (2006). *The English School of International Relations: A Contemporary Reassessment: Vol. Cambridge Studies in International Relations*. Cambridge University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491528>
- Lisa L. Martin and Beth A. Simmons. (1998). Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions. *International Organization*, 52(4), 729–757.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601356>
- LITTLE, R. (2000). The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*, 6(3), 395–422.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066100006003004>
- Lu, C. (2000). The One and Many Faces of Cosmopolitanism. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, 8(2), 244–267. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9760.00101>
- Maclean, J. (1988). *Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect*.

Millennium: Journal of International Studies, 17(2), 295–319.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170021201>

Martha Finnemore. (2001). Exporting the English School? *Review of International Studies*, 27(3), 509–513. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097753>

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink. (1998). International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization*, 52(4), 887–917.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601361?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Matin, K. (2013). Redeeming the universal: Postcolonialism and the inner life of Eurocentrism. *European Journal of International Relations*, 19(2), 353–377.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066111425263>

Mearsheimer, J., 'Hans Morgenthau and the Iraq War: Realism Versus Neoconservatism.' (n.d.). https://www.opendemocracy.net/democracy-americanpower/morgenthau_2522.jsp

Mearsheimer, J. J. (1990). Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. *International Security*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2538981>

Michael C. Williams. (1993). Neo-Realism and the Future of Strategy. *Review of International Studies*, 19(2), 103–121.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097326?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Michael W. Doyle. (2005). Three Pillars of the Liberal Peace. *The American Political Science Review*, 99(3), 463–466. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30038953>

MILLIKEN, J. (1999). The Study of Discourse in International Relations: *European Journal of International Relations*, 5(2), 225–254. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066199005002003>

Morgenthau, H. J. (1952). Another "Great Debate": The National Interest of the United States. *American Political Science Review*, 46(04), 961–988.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1952108>

Moss, J. (Ed.). (2015). *Climate Change and Justice*. Cambridge University Press.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316145340>

NICHOLSON, M. (2000). What's the use of International Relations? *Review of International Studies*, 26(2), 183–198. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500001832>

Nye, J. S. (1988). Neorealism and Neoliberalism. *World Politics*, 40(02), 235–251.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2010363>

Onuf, N. G. (2013). Making sense, making worlds: constructivism in social theory and international relations: Vol. The new international relations. Routledge.

Peterson V. S., 'Feminist Theories Within, Invisible To, and Beyond IR' *Brown Journal of World Affairs*, 10(2), 2004, pp. 1-11. (n.d.).
<http://www.u.arizona.edu/~spikep/Publications/VSP%20Fem%20in&bey%20IR%20Brownj%20P&P2004.pdf>

Pinar Bilgin. (2008). Thinking past 'Western' IR? *Third World Quarterly*, 29(1), 5–23.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20455023>

Powell, R. (1994). Anarchy in international relations theory: the neorealist-neoliberal debate. *International Organization*, 48(02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300028204>

R. Charli Carpenter. (2011). Vetting the Advocacy Agenda: Network Centrality and the Paradox of Weapons Norms. *International Organization*, 65(1), 69–102.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/23016104>

Randall D. Germain and Michael Kenny. (1998). Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. *Review of International Studies*, 24(1), 3–21.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097503>

Reus-Smit, C. (2002). Imagining Society: Constructivism and the English School. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 4(3), 487–509.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856X.00091>

Reus-Smit, C., & Snidal, D. (2008). Chapter 11 - Neoliberal Institutionalism. In *The Oxford handbook of international relations: Vol. Oxford handbooks of political science*. Oxford University Press.

Richard K. Ashley. (1984). The Poverty of Neorealism. *International Organization*, 38(2), 225–286. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2706440>

Richard Price. (1995). A Genealogy of the Chemical Weapons Taboo. *International Organization*, 49(1), 73–103.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706867?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Rita Abrahamsen. (2003). African Studies and the Postcolonial Challenge. *African Affairs*, 102(407), 189–210. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3518676>

Robert G. Gilpin. (1984). The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. *International Organization*, 38(2), 287–304. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706441>

Robert Jervis. (1999). Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security*, 24(1), 42–63. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539347>

Roff, H. M. (2016). Gendering a Warbot. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 18(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2015.1094246>

Ronen Palan. (2000). A World of Their Making: An Evaluation of the Constructivist Critique in International Relations. *Review of International Studies*, 26(4), 575–598.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097700>

Rosenau, P. (1990). Once Again Into the Fray: International Relations Confronts the Humanities. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 19(1), 83–110.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298900190010701>

Schroeder, P. W. (1997). History and International Relations Theory: Not Use or Abuse, but Fit or Misfit. *International Security*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539329>

- Seth, S. (Ed.). (2013). *Postcolonial theory and international relations: a critical introduction: Vol. Interventions*. Routledge.
- Seth, Sanjay (2011), 'Postcolonial Theory and the Critique of International Relations,' *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 40 (1), pp. 167-183. (n.d.).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829811412325?journalCode=mila>
- Shapiro, M. (1989). *Textualising Global Politics*. In *International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics: Vol. World Politics Series* (pp. 11–22). Lexington Books.
- Shapiro, M. J., & Der Derian, J. (1989). *International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics: Vol. World Politics Series*. Lexington Books.
- Shepherd, L. J. (Ed.). (2015). *Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations (Second edition)*. Routledge.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315879819>
- Shue, H. (2014). *Climate justice: vulnerability and protection*. Oxford University Press.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1695931>
- Smith, S., Booth, K., & Zalewski, M. (1996a). Chapter 2 - The Timeless Wisdom of Realism. In *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>
- Smith, S., Booth, K., & Zalewski, M. (1996b). 'Chapter 11 - The Achievements of Poststructuralism. In *International theory: positivism and beyond*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054.013>
- Smith, S., Booth, K., & Zalewski, M. (1996c). Chapter 16 - The future of international relations: Fears and hopes. In *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>
- Smith, S., Booth, K., & Zalewski, M. (1996d). Chapter 18 - All these theories yet the bodies keep piling up: Theory, Theorists, Theorising. In *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>
- Steans, J. (2003). Engaging from the Margins: Feminist Encounters with the 'Mainstream' of International Relations. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 5(3), 428–454. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856X.00114>
- Steans, J. (2006). *Gender, Feminism, and International Relations*. In *Gender and international relations: issues, debates and future directions (2nd ed., rev.expanded)*, pp. 7–19). Polity.
- Tan, K.-C. (2004). *Justice without Borders: Cosmopolitanism, Nationalism, and Patriotism: Vol. Contemporary Political Theory*. Cambridge University Press.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511490385>
- TAYLOR, L. (2012). Decolonizing International Relations: Perspectives from Latin America. *International Studies Review*, 14(3), 386–400.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2486.2012.01125.x>

- Terrell Carver, Molly Cochran and Judith Squires. (1998). Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. *Review of International Studies*, 24(2), 283–297.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097523>
- Tickner, A. B. (2013). Core, periphery and (neo)imperialist International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*, 19(3), 627–646.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066113494323>
- Tickner, J. A. (1992). Chapter 1 - Engendered Insecurities: Feminist Perspectives on International Relations. In *Gender in international relations: feminist perspectives on achieving global security: Vol. New directions in world politics*. Columbia University Press.
- Vasilaki, R. (2012). Provincialising IR? Deadlocks and Prospects in Post-Western IR Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 41(1), 3–22.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829812451720>
- Wallerstein, I. (2004). Chapter 2 - The Modern World-System as a Capitalist World-Economy. In *World-systems analysis: an introduction: Vol. A John Hope Franklin Center book* (pp. 23–41). Duke University Press.
- Walt, S. M. (1998). International Relations: One World, Many Theories. *Foreign Policy*, 110.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1149275>
- Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of international politics*. McGraw-Hill.
- WAYLEN, G. (2006). You still don't understand: why troubled engagements continue between feminists and (critical) IPE. *Review of International Studies*, 32(01).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210506006966>
- Weber, C. (1994). Good girls, little girls and bad girls: Male paranoia in Robert Keohane's critique of feminist international relations'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 23, 337–349.
- WEISS, T. G. (2010). How United Nations ideas change history. *Review of International Studies*, 36(S1), 3–23. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S026021051100009X>
- Weldes, J. (1996). Constructing National Interests'. *European Journal of International Relations*, 2, 275–318.
<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1354066196002003001>
- Wheeler, N. J. (1992). Pluralist or Solidarist Conceptions of International Society: Bull and Vincent on Humanitarian Intervention. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 21(3), 463–487. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298920210030201>
- Wheeler, N. J. (2000). *Saving strangers: humanitarian intervention in international society*. Oxford University Press.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=3052740>
- William Bain. (2000). Deconfusing Morgenthau: Moral Inquiry and Classical Realism Reconsidered. *Review of International Studies*, 26(3), 445–464.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097688>