

POLI10003:Theories of International Relations

View Online



1

Brown C, Ainley K. Introduction: Defining International Relations. In: Understanding international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2009. 1-17.

2

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Introduction: Diversity and Disciplinarity in International Relations Theory. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

3

Adler E, Pouliot V. International practices. *International Theory* 2011;**3**:1-36.
doi:10.1017/S175297191000031X

4

Buzan B, Little R. Why International Relations has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to do About it. *Millennium* 2001;**30**:19-39. doi:10.1177/03058298010300010401

5

Burchill S, Linklater A. Introduction. In: Theories of international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

6

Dunne T, Hansen L, Wight C. The end of International Relations theory? *European Journal of International Relations* 2013;**19**:405–25. doi:10.1177/1354066113495485

7

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 16 - The future of international relations: Fears and hopes. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

8

Jabri V. Reflections on the Study of International Relations. In: *Issues in international relations*. London: : Routledge 2000. 289–313.

9

Jackson R, Sorensen G. IR as an academic subject. In: *Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010. 28–57.

10

Doyle MW, Ikenberry GJ. Chapter 2 - Inventing International Relations: International Relations Theory after 1945'. In: *New thinking in international relations theory*. Oxford: : Westview Press 1997.

11

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 1 - International Relations and Social Science. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.

12

NICHOLSON M. What's the use of International Relations? *Review of International Studies* 2000;**26**:183–98. doi:10.1017/S0260210500001832

13

Schroeder PW. History and International Relations Theory: Not Use or Abuse, but Fit or Misfit. *International Security* 1997;**22**. doi:10.2307/2539329

14

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 1 'On the History and Historiography of International Relations'. In: *Handbook of international relations*. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: : SAGE 2013.

15

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 16 - Still a Discipline After All these Debates? In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.

16

Walt SM. *International Relations: One World, Many Theories*. Foreign Policy Published Online First: Spring 1998. doi:10.2307/1149275

17

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 18 - All these theories yet the bodies keep piling up: Theory, Theorists, Theorising. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

18

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, editors. Chapter 7 - Liberalism. In: *The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014.

19

G. John Ikenberry. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics* 2009;**7**:71-87.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40407217?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

20

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 3 - Liberalism. In: Theories of international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

21

Charvet J, Kaczynska-Nay E. The Liberal Project and Human Rights: The Theory and Practice of a New World Order. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511755972>

22

Michael W. Doyle. Three Pillars of the Liberal Peace. The American Political Science Review 2005;**99**:463–6.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/30038953>

23

Franceschet A. The Ethical Foundations of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal 1999;**54**. doi:10.2307/40203406

24

Fukuyama F. The end of history and the last man. Twentieth anniversary edition. London: : Penguin Books 2012.

25

Gardner RN. The Comeback of Liberal Internationalism. The Washington Quarterly 1990;**13**:23–39. doi:10.1080/01636609009445391

26

Jean-Yves Haine. The European Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal 2009;**64**:453–79.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40204518>

27

Hoffmann S. The Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. Foreign Policy Published Online First: Spring 1995. doi:10.2307/1148964

28

Lawson S. Chapter 5 - Liberal International Theory. In: Theories of international relations: contending approaches to world politics. Cambridge, England: : Polity 2015. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1956448>

29

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 5 - Liberalism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

30

Axelrod R, Keohane RO. Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions. World Politics 1985;**38**:226–54. doi:10.2307/2010357

31

Campbell JL, Pedersen OK. The rise of neoliberalism and institutional analysis. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 2001.

32

G. John Ikenberry. The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. Foreign Affairs 2011;**90**:56–68.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/23039408>

33

Koivisto M, Dunne T. Crisis, What Crisis? Liberal Order Building and World Order Conventions. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 2010;**38**:615–40. doi:10.1177/0305829810363509

34

Keohane RO, Martin LL. The Promise of Institutional Theory. *International Security* 1995; **20**. doi:10.2307/2539214

35

Lisa L. Martin and Beth A. Simmons. Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions. *International Organization* 1998;**52**:729–57.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601356>

36

Beth A. Simmons, Frank Dobbin and Geoffrey Garrett. Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. *International Organization* 2006;**60**:781–810.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877847>

37

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 6 - Neoliberalism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

38

Reus-Smit C, Snidal D. Chapter 11 - Neoliberal Institutionalism. In: *The Oxford handbook of international relations*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

39

WEISS TG. How United Nations ideas change history. *Review of International Studies* 2010; **36**:3–23. doi:10.1017/S026021051100009X

40

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 2 - Realism. In: *Theories of international relations*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://r2.vlreader.com/EpubReader?ean=1781137311368>

41

Mearsheimer, J., 'Hans Morgenthau and the Iraq War: Realism Versus Neoconservatism.'
https://www.opendemocracy.net/democracy-americanpower/morgenthau_2522.jsp

42

William Bain. Deconfusing Morgenthau: Moral Inquiry and Classical Realism Reconsidered. *Review of International Studies* 2000;**26**:445–64.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097688>

43

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 2 - The Timeless Wisdom of Realism. In: *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

44

Robert G. Gilpin. The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. *International Organization* 1984;**38**:287–304.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706441>

45

Guzzini S. The Enduring Dilemmas of Realism in International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations* 2004;**10**:533–68. doi:10.1177/1354066104047848

46

Jervis, Robert. Hans Morgenthau, Realism, and the Scientific Study of International Politics. *Social Research*;**61**
[.https://search.proquest.com/docview/1297235334?accountid=9730&rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo](https://search.proquest.com/docview/1297235334?accountid=9730&rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo)

47

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 3 - Classical Realism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

48

Lebow RN. Tragedy, Politics and Political Science. *International Relations* 2005;**19**:329–36. doi:10.1177/0047117805055410

49

Morgenthau HJ. Another "Great Debate": The National Interest of the United States. *American Political Science Review* 1952;**46**:961–88. doi:10.2307/1952108

50

Justin Rosenberg. What's the Matter with Realism? *Review of International Studies* 1990;**16**:285–303. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20097232>

51

Richard K. Ashley. The Poverty of Neorealism. *International Organization* 1984;**38**:225–86. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2706440>

52

Guzzini, G., 'Structural Power: The Limits of Neorealist Power Analysis' *International Organization*, 47(3), 1993, pp. 443-478. <http://uu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:97877/FULLTEXT02.pdf>

53

Keohane RO, Waltz KN. *Neorealism and its critics*. New York: : Columbia University Press 1986.

54

Booth K, Smith S. Chapter 11 - Neorealism in Theory and In Practice. In: *International relations theory today*. Cambridge: : Polity Press 1995.

55

Mearsheimer JJ. Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. *International Security* 1990;**15**. doi:10.2307/2538981

56

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 4 - Structural Realism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

57

Davis N. A rose by any other name. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine 2014;**25**. doi:10.1016/j.jflm.2014.04.021

58

Waltz KN. Theory of international politics. London: : McGraw-Hill 1979.

59

Kenneth N. Waltz. Structural Realism after the Cold War. International Security 2000;**25**:5-41.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2626772>

60

Michael C. Williams. Neo-Realism and the Future of Strategy. Review of International Studies 1993;**19**:103-21.http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097326?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

61

Baldwin DA. Neorealism and neoliberalism: the contemporary debate. New York: : Columbia University Press 1993.

62

Joseph M. Grieco. Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. International Organization 1988;**42**:485-507.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706787?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

63

Robert Jervis. Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security* 1999;**24**:42–63.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539347>

64

Keohane RO. International Institutions: Two Approaches. *International Studies Quarterly* 1988;**32**. doi:10.2307/2600589

65

Nye JS. Neorealism and Neoliberalism. *World Politics* 1988;**40**:235–51. doi:10.2307/2010363

66

Powell R. Anarchy in international relations theory: the neorealist-neoliberal debate. *International Organization* 1994;**48**. doi:10.1017/S0020818300028204

67

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 4 - The English School. In: *Theories of international relations*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013. <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

68

Gallagher AM. A Clash of Responsibilities: Engaging with Realist Critiques of the R2P. *Global Responsibility to Protect* 2012;**4**:334–57. doi:10.1163/1875984X-00403004

69

Bellamy AJ, editor. *International Society and its Critics*. Oxford University Press 2004. doi:10.1093/0199265208.001.0001

70

Bull H. *The anarchical society: a study of order in world politics*. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: : Palgrave 2002.

71

Buzan B. *An introduction to the English school of international relations: the societal approach*. Cambridge: : Polity 2014.

72

Buzan B. *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2004.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616617>

73

Barry Buzan. *The English School: An Underexploited Resource in IR*. *Review of International Studies* 2001;**27**
:471-88.http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097749?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

74

Dunne T. *Inventing international society: a history of the English school*. Basingstoke: : Macmillan in association with St Anthony's College, Oxford 1998.

75

Martha Finnemore. *Exporting the English School?* *Review of International Studies* 2001;**27**
:509-13.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097753>

76

Jackson RH. *The global covenant: human conduct in a world of states*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000.

77

Jackson RH, Sørensen G. Chapter 5 - International Society. In: *Introduction to international*

relations: theories and approaches. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

78

Linklater A. The Problem of Harm in World Politics: Theoretical Investigations. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790348>

79

Linklater A, Suganami H. The English School of International Relations: A Contemporary Reassessment. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491528>

80

LITTLE R. The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations. European Journal of International Relations 2000;**6**:395–422.
[doi:10.1177/1354066100006003004](https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066100006003004)

81

Bloomsbury Collections - International Society and the Development of International Relations Theory.
<https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/international-society-and-the-development-of-international-relations-theory/>

82

Wheeler NJ. Saving strangers: humanitarian intervention in international society. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=3052740>

83

Wheeler NJ. Pluralist or Solidarist Conceptions of International Society: Bull and Vincent on Humanitarian Intervention. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 1992;**21**:463–87.
[doi:10.1177/03058298920210030201](https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298920210030201)

84

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, editors. Chapter 9 - Marxist Theories of International Relations. In: The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014.

85

Dunn, B., 'Can Marxism Explain the Crisis?' The Socialist Review, 363 (November), 2011. <http://socialistreview.org.uk/363/can-marxism-explain-crisis>

86

Burnham P. Marx, international political economy and globalisation. Capital & Class 2001; **25**:103-12. doi:10.1177/030981680107500109

87

Bieler A, Morton AD. A critical theory route to hegemony, world order and historical change: neo-Gramscian perspectives in International Relations. Capital & Class 2004;**28**:85-113. doi:10.1177/030981680408200106

88

Callinicos A. Marxism and the International: The Future of the Capitalist State, Historical Materialism and Globalisation. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations 2004;**6**:426-33. doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2004.00148.x

89

Cox RW. Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 1981;**10**:126-55.

90

Cox RW. Gramsci, hegemony and international relations: An essay in method. Millennium : journal of international studies 1983;**12**:162-75.

91

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 7 - Critical Theory. In: Theories of international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

92

el-Ojeili C. Reflections on Wallerstein: The Modern World-System, Four Decades on. Critical Sociology 2015;**41**:679–700. doi:10.1177/0896920513497377

93

Randall D. Germain and Michael Kenny. Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. Review of International Studies 1998;**24**:3–21.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097503>

94

Gill SR, Law D. Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. International Studies Quarterly 1989;**33**. doi:10.2307/2600523

95

Gramsci A, Hoare Q, Nowell-Smith G. Selections from the prison notebooks of Antonio Gramsci. London: : Lawrence and Wishart 1971.

96

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 5 - Marx and Marxism. In: Theories of international relations . Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

97

Maclean J. Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 1988;**17**:295–319.
doi:10.1177/03058298880170021201

98

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 8 - Marxism and Critical Theory. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

99

Wallerstein I. Chapter 2 - The Modern World-System as a Capitalist World-Economy. In: World-systems analysis: an introduction. Durham, N.C.: : Duke University Press 2004. 23-41.

100

Immanuel Wallerstein. The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis. Comparative Studies in Society and History 1974;**16**:387-415.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/178015>

101

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: Theories of international relations. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

102

Richard Price. A Genealogy of the Chemical Weapons Taboo. International Organization 1995;**49**:73-103.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706867?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

103

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 5 - Constructivism in International Relations: Sources, Contributions and Debates. In: Handbook of international relations. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: : SAGE 2013.

104

Björkdahl A. Norms in International Relations: Some Conceptual and Methodological Reflections. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs* 2002;**15**:9–23.
doi:10.1080/09557570220126216

105

R. Charli Carpenter. Vetting the Advocacy Agenda: Network Centrality and the Paradox of Weapons Norms. *International Organization* 2011;**65**
:69–102. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23016104>

106

Checkel JT. The Constructive Turn in International Relations Theory. *World Politics* 1998;**50**
:324–48. doi:10.1017/S0043887100008133

107

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

108

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink. International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization* 1998;**52**
:887–917. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601361?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

109

Finnemore M, Sikkink K. Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2001;**4**
:391–416. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.391

110

Hopf T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security* 1998;**23**. doi:10.2307/2539267

111

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 3 - Ethics and Norms in International Relations. In: Handbook of international relations. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: : SAGE 2013.

112

Della Porta D, Keating M, editors. Chapter 5 - Constructivism: What It Is (Not) and How It Matters. In: Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences: a pluralist perspective. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801938>

113

Onuf NG. Making sense, making worlds: constructivism in social theory and international relations. New York: : Routledge 2013.

114

Ronen Palan. A World of Their Making: An Evaluation of the Constructivist Critique in International Relations. *Review of International Studies* 2000;**26**:575-98.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097700>

115

Reus-Smit C. Imagining Society: Constructivism and the English School. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations* 2002;**4**:487-509. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.00091

116

Weldes J. Constructing National Interests'. *European journal of international relations* 1996;**2**:275-318.
<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1354066196002003001>

117

Alexander Wendt. Anarchy is what States Make of it: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization* 1992;**46**:391-425.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706858>

118

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 8 - Post-Structuralism. In: Theories of international relations . Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

119

Considine, L., 'Back to the Rough Ground! A Grammatical Approach to Trust and International Relations', *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 44(1)1 (2015), pp. 109-127.
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/86659/1/Laura%20Considsine%20%27Back%20to%20the%20Rough%20Ground%27%20Millennium%20Article%20June%202015.pdf>

120

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. 'Chapter 11 - The Achievements of Poststructuralism. In: *International theory: positivism and beyond*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054.013>

121

Callinicos A. Chapter 3 - The Aporias of Poststructuralism. In: *Against postmodernism: a marxist critique*. Cambridge: : Polity Press 1989. 62-91.

122

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 11 - Poststructuralism. In: *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

123

David Campbell. *Global Inscription: How Foreign Policy Constitutes the United States*. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political* 1990; **15**:263-86.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/40644685?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

124

Shapiro MJ, Der Derian J. *International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics*. Lexington, Mass: : Lexington Books 1989.

125

Doty R. The Logic of Differance in International Relations: US Colonization of the Philippines. In: Beer FA, Hariman R, eds. Post-realism: the rhetorical turn in international relations. East Lansing: : Michigan State University press 1996. 331-45.

126

Edkins J, Pin-Fat V. Through the Wire: Relations of Power and Relations of Violence. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 2005;**34**:1-24.
doi:10.1177/03058298050340010101

127

Edkins J, Vaughan-Williams N. Critical theorists and international relations. London: : Routledge 2009. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=411003>

128

Hansen L. Discourse Analysis, Identity and Foreign Policy. In: Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war. London: : Routledge 2006. 17-36.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=200689&ppg=33>

129

MILLIKEN J. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: European Journal of International Relations 1999;**5**:225-54. doi:10.1177/1354066199005002003

130

Foucault M, Rabinow P. The Foucault reader. Harmondsworth: : Penguin Books 1986.

131

Hollinger R. 'Chapter 7 - Modernity, Post-Modernism and International Relations'. In: Postmodernism and the social sciences: a thematic approach. Thousand Oaks, Calif: : Sage 1994.

132

Shapiro M. Textualising Global Politics. In: International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics. Lexington, Mass: : Lexington Books 1989. 11-22.

133

Rosenau P. Once Again Into the Fray: International Relations Confronts the Humanities. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 1990;**19**:83-110.
doi:10.1177/03058298900190010701

134

Cynthia Weber. Reconsidering Statehood: Examining the Sovereignty/Intervention Boundary. Review of International Studies 1992;**18**:199-216.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097298?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

135

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 10 - Feminism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

136

Roff HM. Gendering a Warbot. International Feminist Journal of Politics 2016;**18**:1-18.
doi:10.1080/14616742.2015.1094246

137

Brooke Ackerly and Jacqui True. Reflexivity in Practice: Power and Ethics in Feminist Research on International Relations. International Studies Review 2008;**10**:693-707.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/25482017>

138

Bulmer S. Patriarchal Confusion? Making Sense of Gay and Lesbian Military Identity. International Feminist Journal of Politics 2013;**15**:137-56.
doi:10.1080/14616742.2012.746565

139

Enloe CH. Chapter 5 - Gender makes the World Go Round: Where are the Women? In: Bananas, beaches and bases: making feminist sense of international politics. Berkeley: : University of California Press 2014.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1687669>

140

Carpenter RC. Recognizing Gender-Based Violence Against Civilian Men and Boys in Conflict Situations. Security Dialogue 2006;**37**:83-103. doi:10.1177/0967010606064139

141

Terrell Carver, Molly Cochran and Judith Squires. Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. Review of International Studies 1998;**24**

:283-97.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097523>

142

Hooper C. Manly states: masculinities, international relations, and gender politics. New York: : Columbia University Press 2001.

143

Adam Jones. Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. Review of International Studies 1996;**22**

:405-29.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097459>

144

Peterson V. S., 'Feminist Theories Within, Invisible To, and Beyond IR' Brown Journal of World Affairs, 10(2), 2004, pp. 1-11.

<http://www.u.arizona.edu/~spikep/Publications/VSP%20Fem%20in&bey%20IR%20BrownJ%20P&P2004.pdf>

145

Shepherd LJ, editor. Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. Second edition. London: : Routledge 2015.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315879819>

146

Stears J. Engaging from the Margins: Feminist Encounters with the 'Mainstream' of International Relations. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations* 2003;**5**:428-54. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.00114

147

Stears J. Gender, Feminism, and International Relations. In: *Gender and international relations: issues, debates and future directions*. Cambridge: : Polity 2006. 7-19.

148

Tickner JA. Chapter 1 - Engendered Insecurities: Feminist Perspectives on International Relations. In: *Gender in international relations: feminist perspectives on achieving global security*. New York: : Columbia University Press 1992.

149

J. Ann Tickner. You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly* 1997;**41**:611-32.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

150

WAYLEN G. You still don't understand: why troubled engagements continue between feminists and (critical) IPE. *Review of International Studies* 2006;**32**. doi:10.1017/S0260210506006966

151

Weber C. Good girls, little girls and bad girls: Male paranoia in Robert Keohane's critique of feminist international relations'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 1994;**23**:337-49.

152

Epstein C. The postcolonial perspective: an introduction. *International Theory* 2014;**6**:294-311. doi:10.1017/S1752971914000219

153

Seth, Sanjay (2011), 'Postcolonial Theory and the Critique of International Relations,' *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 40 (1), pp. 167-183. doi:10.1177/0305829811412325?journalCode=mila

154

Rita Abrahamsen. African Studies and the Postcolonial Challenge. *African Affairs* 2003;**102**:189-210. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3518676>

155

Acharya A, Buzan B. *Non-Western international relations theory: perspectives on and beyond Asia*. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2010.

156

Barkawi T, Laffey M. Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 2002;**31**:109-27. doi:10.1177/03058298020310010601

157

Biccum AR. Development and the 'New' Imperialism: a reinvention of colonial discourse in DFID promotional literature. *Third World Quarterly* 2005;**26**:1005-20. doi:10.1080/01436590500139656

158

Pinar Bilgin. Thinking past 'Western' IR? *Third World Quarterly* 2008;**29**:5-23. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20455023>

159

Chowdhury G, Nair S. Introduction: Power in a postcolonial world: race, gender and class in international relations. In: Power, postcolonialism, and international relations: reading race, gender, and class. London: : Routledge 2002.
1-32.<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315017181>

160

Darby P. Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 2004;**33**:1-32.
doi:10.1177/03058298040330010101

161

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 12 - Postcolonialism. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

162

GROVOGUI SN. Regimes of Sovereignty: International Morality and the African Condition. European Journal of International Relations 2002;**8**:315-38.
doi:10.1177/1354066102008003001

163

Gruffydd Jones B, editor. Decolonizing international relations. Lanham, Md: : Rowman and Littlefield 2006. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1343740>

164

Matin K. Redeeming the universal: Postcolonialism and the inner life of Eurocentrism. European Journal of International Relations 2013;**19**:353-77.
doi:10.1177/1354066111425263

165

Seth S, editor. Postcolonial theory and international relations: a critical introduction. Abingdon: : Routledge 2013.

166

TAYLOR L. Decolonizing International Relations: Perspectives from Latin America. *International Studies Review* 2012;**14**:386–400. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2486.2012.01125.x

167

Tickner AB. Core, periphery and (neo)imperialist International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations* 2013;**19**:627–46. doi:10.1177/1354066113494323

168

Vasilaki R. Provincialising IR? Deadlocks and Prospects in Post-Western IR Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 2012;**41**:3–22. doi:10.1177/0305829812451720

169

Brown GW, Held D, editors. Editor's Introduction. In: *The cosmopolitanism reader*. Cambridge: : Polity 2010.

170

Dietzel A. The Paris Agreement - Protecting the Human Right to Health? *Global Policy* 2017;**8**:313–21. doi:10.1111/1758-5899.12421

171

Beardsworth R. *Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory*. Cambridge: : Polity 2011.

172

Brock G. *Global Justice*. Oxford University Press 2009. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199230938.001.0001

173

Brown GW, Held D, editors. The cosmopolitanism reader. Cambridge: : Polity 2010.

174

Brown GW. Grounding cosmopolitanism: from Kant to the idea of a cosmopolitan constitution. Edinburgh [Scotland]: : Edinburgh University Press 2009.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3366/j.ctt1r1x04>

175

Caney S. Climate change and the duties of the advantaged. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy* 2010;**13**:203–28. doi:10.1080/13698230903326331

176

Dietzel A. Global Justice. In: *International Relations Theory: A Practical Introduction*.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/01/02/global-justice-in-international-relations-theory/>

177

Gardiner SM. *Climate ethics: essential readings*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=547959>

178

Lawrence P. *Justice for future generations: climate change and international law*. Cheltenham: : Edward Elgar Publishing 2014.

179

Hayden P. *Cosmopolitan global politics*. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2005.

180

Held D. *Cosmopolitanism: ideals and realities*. Cambridge: : Polity Press 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1180922>

181

Held D. Cosmopolitanism: globalisation tamed? *Review of International Studies* 2003;**29**.
doi:10.1017/S0260210503004650

182

Lu C. The One and Many Faces of Cosmopolitanism. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2000;**8**
:244-67. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00101

183

Moss J, editor. *Climate Change and Justice*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2015.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316145340>

184

Shue H. *Climate justice: vulnerability and protection*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press
2014. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1695931>

185

Tan K-C. *Justice without Borders: Cosmopolitanism, Nationalism, and Patriotism*.
Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2004.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511490385>