

POLI10003:Theories of International Relations

View Online



1.
Brown C, Ainley K. Introduction: Defining International Relations. Understanding international relations. 4th ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2009. p. 1-17.

2.
Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Introduction: Diversity and Disciplinarity in International Relations Theory. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

3.
Adler E, Pouliot V. International practices. International Theory. 2011 Mar;3(01):1-36.

4.
Buzan B, Little R. Why International Relations has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to do About it. Millennium. 2001 Jan;30(1):19-39.

5.
Burchill S, Linklater A. Introduction. Theories of international relations [Internet]. Fifth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

- 6.

Dunne T, Hansen L, Wight C. The end of International Relations theory? *European Journal of International Relations*. 2013 Sep 1;19(3):405–425.

7.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 16 - The future of international relations: Fears and hopes. *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

8.

Jabri V. Reflections on the Study of International Relations. *Issues in international relations*. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 289–313.

9.

Jackson R, Sorensen G. IR as an academic subject. *Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches*. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010. p. 28–57.

10.

Doyle MW, Ikenberry GJ. Chapter 2 - Inventing International Relations: International Relations Theory after 1945'. *New thinking in international relations theory*. Oxford: Westview Press; 1997.

11.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 1 - International Relations and Social Science. *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

12.

NICHOLSON M. What's the use of International Relations? *Review of International Studies*. 2000 Apr;26(2):183–198.

13.

Schroeder PW. History and International Relations Theory: Not Use or Abuse, but Fit or Misfit. *International Security*. 1997 Summer;22(1).

14.

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 1 'On the History and Historiography of International Relations'. *Handbook of international relations*. 2nd ed. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: SAGE; 2013.

15.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S. Chapter 16 - Still a Discipline After All these Debates? *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

16.

Walt SM. *International Relations: One World, Many Theories*. *Foreign Policy*. 1998 Spring;(110).

17.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 18 - All these theories yet the bodies keep piling up: Theory, Theorists, Theorising. *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

18.

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, editors. Chapter 7 - Liberalism. *The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations*. Sixth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

19.

G. John Ikenberry. *Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order*. *Perspectives on Politics* [Internet]. American Political Science Association American Political Science Association; 2009;7(1):71-87. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/40407217?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

20.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 3 - Liberalism. Theories of international relations [Internet]. Fifth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

21.

Charvet J, Kaczynska-Nay E. The Liberal Project and Human Rights: The Theory and Practice of a New World Order [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511755972>

22.

Michael W. Doyle. Three Pillars of the Liberal Peace. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. American Political Science Association American Political Science Association; 2005;99(3):463–466. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30038953>

23.

Franceschet A. The Ethical Foundations of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal. 1999;54(3).

24.

Fukuyama F. The end of history and the last man. Twentieth anniversary edition. London: Penguin Books; 2012.

25.

Gardner RN. The Comeback of Liberal Internationalism. The Washington Quarterly. 1990 Sep;13(3):23–39.

26.

Jean-Yves Haine. The European Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. International Journal [Internet]. Sage Publications, Ltd. Canadian International Council Sage Publications, Ltd.;

2009;64(2):453–479. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40204518>

27.

Hoffmann S. The Crisis of Liberal Internationalism. *Foreign Policy*. 1995 Spring;(98).

28.

Lawson S. Chapter 5 - Liberal International Theory. *Theories of international relations: contending approaches to world politics* [Internet]. Cambridge, England: Polity; 2015. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1956448>

29.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 5 - Liberalism. *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

30.

Axelrod R, Keohane RO. Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions. *World Politics*. 1985 Oct;38(01):226–254.

31.

Campbell JL, Pedersen OK. *The rise of neoliberalism and institutional analysis*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 2001.

32.

G. John Ikenberry. The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. *Foreign Affairs* [Internet]. Council on Foreign Relations; 2011;90(3):56–68. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23039408>

33.

Koivisto M, Dunne T. Crisis, What Crisis? Liberal Order Building and World Order Conventions. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2010 May;38(3):615–640.

34.

Keohane RO, Martin LL. The Promise of Institutional Theory. *International Security*. 1995 Summer;20(1).

35.

Lisa L. Martin and Beth A. Simmons. Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions. *International Organization* [Internet]. The MIT Press International Organization Foundation; 1998;52(4):729–757. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601356>

36.

Beth A. Simmons, Frank Dobbin and Geoffrey Garrett. Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. *International Organization* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press International Organization Foundation International Organization Foundation; 2006;60(4):781–810. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877847>

37.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 6 - Neoliberalism. *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

38.

Reus-Smit C, Snidal D. Chapter 11 - Neoliberal Institutionalism. *The Oxford handbook of international relations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008.

39.

WEISS TG. How United Nations ideas change history. *Review of International Studies*. 2010 Oct;36(S1):3–23.

40.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 2 - Realism. *Theories of international relations* [Internet]. Fifth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from:

<https://r2.vlereader.com/EpubReader?ean=1781137311368>

41.

Mearsheimer, J., 'Hans Morgenthau and the Iraq War: Realism Versus Neoconservatism.' [Internet]. Available from: https://www.opendemocracy.net/democracy-americanpower/morgenthau_2522.jsp

42.

William Bain. Deconfusing Morgenthau: Moral Inquiry and Classical Realism Reconsidered. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2000;26(3):445-464. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097688>

43.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. Chapter 2 - The Timeless Wisdom of Realism. *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054>

44.

Robert G. Gilpin. The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. *International Organization* [Internet]. The MIT Press; 1984;38(2):287-304. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706441>

45.

Guzzini S. The Enduring Dilemmas of Realism in International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2004 Dec;10(4):533-568.

46.

Jervis, Robert. Hans Morgenthau, Realism, and the Scientific Study of International Politics. *Social Research* [Internet]. 61(4). Available from: https://search.proquest.com/docview/1297235334?accountid=9730&rfr_id=info%3Axi%2Fsid%3Aprimo

47.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 3 - Classical Realism. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

48.

Lebow RN. Tragedy, Politics and Political Science. International Relations. 2005 Sep;19(3):329-336.

49.

Morgenthau HJ. Another "Great Debate": The National Interest of the United States. American Political Science Review. 1952 Dec;46(04):961-988.

50.

Justin Rosenberg. What's the Matter with Realism? Review of International Studies [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1990;16(4):285-303. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20097232>

51.

Richard K. Ashley. The Poverty of Neorealism. International Organization [Internet]. The MIT Press; 1984;38(2):225-286. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2706440>

52.

Guzzini, G., 'Structural Power: The Limits of Neorealist Power Analysis' International Organization, 47(3), 1993, pp. 443-478. Available from: <http://uu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:97877/FULLTEXT02.pdf>

53.

Keohane RO, Waltz KN. Neorealism and its critics. New York: Columbia University Press; 1986.

54.

Booth K, Smith S. Chapter 11 - Neorealism in Theory and In Practice. International relations theory today. Cambridge: Polity Press; 1995.

55.

Mearsheimer JJ. Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. International Security. 1990 Summer;15(1).

56.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 4 - Structural Realism. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

57.

Davis N. A rose by any other name. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine. 2014 Jul;25.

58.

Waltz KN. Theory of international politics. London: McGraw-Hill; 1979.

59.

Kenneth N. Waltz. Structural Realism after the Cold War. International Security [Internet]. The MIT PressThe MIT Press; 2000;25(1):5-41. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2626772>

60.

Michael C. Williams. Neo-Realism and the Future of Strategy. Review of International Studies [Internet]. Cambridge University PressCambridge University Press; 1993;19(2):103-121. Available from:
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097326?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

61.

Baldwin DA. Neorealism and neoliberalism: the contemporary debate. New York: Columbia University Press; 1993.

62.

Joseph M. Grieco. Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. *International Organization* [Internet]. The MIT Press/International Organization Foundation; 1988;42(3):485–507. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706787?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

63.

Robert Jervis. Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security* [Internet]. The MIT Press/The MIT Press; 1999;24(1):42–63. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539347>

64.

Keohane RO. International Institutions: Two Approaches. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1988 Dec;32(4).

65.

Nye JS. Neorealism and Neoliberalism. *World Politics*. 1988 Jan;40(02):235–251.

66.

Powell R. Anarchy in international relations theory: the neorealist-neoliberal debate. *International Organization*. 1994 Mar;48(02).

67.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 4 - The English School. *Theories of international relations* [Internet]. Fifth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

68.

Gallagher AM. A Clash of Responsibilities: Engaging with Realist Critiques of the R2P. *Global Responsibility to Protect*. 2012 Jan 1;4(3):334–357.

69.

Bellamy AJ, editor. *International Society and its Critics* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2004. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0199265208.001.0001/acprof-9780199265206>

70.

Bull H. *The anarchical society: a study of order in world politics*. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 2002.

71.

Buzan B. *An introduction to the English school of international relations: the societal approach*. Cambridge: Polity; 2014.

72.

Buzan B. *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616617>

73.

Barry Buzan. *The English School: An Underexploited Resource in IR*. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2001;27(3):471–488. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097749?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

74.

Dunne T. *Inventing international society: a history of the English school*. Basingstoke: Macmillan in association with St Anthony's College, Oxford; 1998.

75.

Martha Finnemore. Exporting the English School? *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2001;27(3):509–513. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097753>

76.

Jackson RH. *The global covenant: human conduct in a world of states*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2000.

77.

Jackson RH, Sørensen G. Chapter 5 - International Society. *Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches*. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

78.

Linklater A. *The Problem of Harm in World Politics: Theoretical Investigations* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2011. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790348>

79.

Linklater A, Suganami H. *The English School of International Relations: A Contemporary Reassessment* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491528>

80.

LITTLE R. The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2000 Sep;6(3):395–422.

81.

Bloomsbury Collections - *International Society and the Development of International Relations Theory* [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/international-society-and-the-development-o>

f-international-relations-theory/

82.

Wheeler NJ. Saving strangers: humanitarian intervention in international society [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2000. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=3052740>

83.

Wheeler NJ. Pluralist or Solidarist Conceptions of International Society: Bull and Vincent on Humanitarian Intervention. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 1992 Dec;21(3):463–487.

84.

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P, editors. Chapter 9 - Marxist Theories of International Relations. *The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations*. Sixth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

85.

Dunn, B., 'Can Marxism Explain the Crisis?' *The Socialist Review*, 363 (November), 2011 [Internet]. Available from: <http://socialistreview.org.uk/363/can-marxism-explain-crisis>

86.

Burnham P. Marx, international political economy and globalisation. *Capital & Class*. 2001 Oct;25(3):103–112.

87.

Bieler A, Morton AD. A critical theory route to hegemony, world order and historical change: neo-Gramscian perspectives in International Relations. *Capital & Class*. 2004 Mar;28(1):85–113.

88.

Callinicos A. Marxism and the International: The Future of the Capitalist State, Historical Materialism and Globalisation. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2004 Aug;6(3):426–433.

89.

Cox RW. Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 1981 Jun;10(2):126–155.

90.

Cox RW. Gramsci, hegemony and international relations: An essay in method. *Millennium: journal of international studies*. London: London School of Economics.; 1983;12(2):162–175.

91.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 7 - Critical Theory. *Theories of international relations* [Internet]. Fifth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

92.

el-Ojeili C. Reflections on Wallerstein: The Modern World-System, Four Decades on. *Critical Sociology*. 2015 Jul;41(4–5):679–700.

93.

Randall D. Germain and Michael Kenny. Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1998;24(1):3–21. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097503>

94.

Gill SR, Law D. Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1989 Dec;33(4).

95.

Gramsci A, Hoare Q, Nowell-Smith G. Selections from the prison notebooks of Antonio Gramsci. London: Lawrence and Wishart; 1971.

96.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 5 - Marx and Marxism. Theories of international relations [Internet]. Fifth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

97.

Maclean J. Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 1988 Jun;17(2):295–319.

98.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 8 - Marxism and Critical Theory. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

99.

Wallerstein I. Chapter 2 - The Modern World-System as a Capitalist World-Economy. World-systems analysis: an introduction. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 2004. p. 23–41.

100.

Immanuel Wallerstein. The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis. Comparative Studies in Society and History [Internet]. Cambridge University Press Society for Comparative Studies in Society and History; 1974;16(4):387–415. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/178015>

101.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. Theories of international relations [Internet]. Fifth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from:

<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Bristol&isbn=9781137311368>

102.

Richard Price. A Genealogy of the Chemical Weapons Taboo. International Organization [Internet]. The MIT Press International Organization Foundation; 1995;49(1):73-103. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706867?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

103.

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 5 - Constructivism in International Relations: Sources, Contributions and Debates. Handbook of international relations. 2nd ed. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: SAGE; 2013.

104.

Björkdahl A. Norms in International Relations: Some Conceptual and Methodological Reflections. Cambridge Review of International Affairs. 2002 Apr;15(1):9-23.

105.

R. Charli Carpenter. Vetting the Advocacy Agenda: Network Centrality and the Paradox of Weapons Norms. International Organization [Internet]. Cambridge University Press International Organization Foundation International Organization Foundation; 2011;65(1):69-102. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23016104>

106.

Checkel JT. The Constructive Turn in International Relations Theory. World Politics. 1998 Jan;50(02):324-348.

107.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 9 - Constructivism. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

108.

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink. International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization* [Internet]. The MIT Press/International Organization Foundation; 1998;52(4):887-917. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601361?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

109.

Finnemore M, Sikkink K. Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2001 Jun;4(1):391-416.

110.

Hopf T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security*. 1998 Summer;23(1).

111.

Carlsnaes W, Risse-Kappen T, Simmons BA. Chapter 3 - Ethics and Norms in International Relations. *Handbook of international relations*. 2nd ed. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: SAGE; 2013.

112.

Della Porta D, Keating M, editors. Chapter 5 - Constructivism: What It Is (Not) and How It Matters. *Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences: a pluralist perspective* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801938>

113.

Onuf NG. *Making sense, making worlds: constructivism in social theory and international relations*. New York: Routledge; 2013.

114.

Ronen Palan. *A World of Their Making: An Evaluation of the Constructivist Critique in International Relations*. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. Cambridge University

PressCambridge University Press; 2000;26(4):575–598. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097700>

115.

Reus-Smit C. Imagining Society: Constructivism and the English School. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2002 Oct;4(3):487–509.

116.

Weldes J. Constructing National Interests'. *European journal of international relations* [Internet]. London: Sage; 1996;2:275–318. Available from:
<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1354066196002003001>

117.

Alexander Wendt. Anarchy is what States Make of it: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization* [Internet]. The MIT PressInternational Organization Foundation; 1992;46(2):391–425. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706858>

118.

Burchill S, Linklater A. Chapter 8 - Post-Structuralism. *Theories of international relations*. Fifth edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

119.

Considine, L., 'Back to the Rough Ground! A Grammatical Approach to Trust and International Relations', *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 44(1)1 (2015), pp. 109-127. Available from:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/86659/1/Laura%20Considsine%20%27Back%20to%20the%20Rough%20Ground%27%20Millennium%20Article%20June%202015.pdf>

120.

Smith S, Booth K, Zalewski M. 'Chapter 11 - The Achievements of Poststructuralism. *International theory: positivism and beyond* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511660054.013>

121.

Callinicos A. Chapter 3 - The Aporias of Poststructuralism. Against postmodernism: a marxist critique. Cambridge: Polity Press; 1989. p. 62-91.

122.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 11 - Poststructuralism. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

123.

David Campbell. Global Inscription: How Foreign Policy Constitutes the United States. Alternatives: Global, Local, Political [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc.Sage Publications, Inc.; 1990;15(3):263-286. Available from:
http://www.jstor.org/stable/40644685?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

124.

Shapiro MJ, Der Derian J. International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics. Lexington, Mass: Lexington Books; 1989.

125.

Doty R. The Logic of Differance in International Relations: US Colonization of the Philippines. In: Beer FA, Hariman R, editors. Post-realism: the rhetorical turn in international relations. East Lansing: Michigan State University press; 1996. p. 331-345.

126.

Edkins J, Pin-Fat V. Through the Wire: Relations of Power and Relations of Violence. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 2005 Aug;34(1):1-24.

127.

Edkins J, Vaughan-Williams N. Critical theorists and international relations [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2009. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=411003>

128.

Hansen L. Discourse Analysis, Identity and Foreign Policy. Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. p. 17–36. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/reader.action?docID=200689&ppg=33>

129.

MILLIKEN J. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: European Journal of International Relations. 1999 Jun;5(2):225–254.

130.

Foucault M, Rabinow P. The Foucault reader. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books; 1986.

131.

Hollinger R. 'Chapter 7 - Modernity, Post-Modernism and International Relations'. Postmodernism and the social sciences: a thematic approach. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage; 1994.

132.

Shapiro M. Textualising Global Politics. International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics. Lexington, Mass: Lexington Books; 1989. p. 11–22.

133.

Rosenau P. Once Again Into the Fray: International Relations Confronts the Humanities. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 1990 Mar;19(1):83–110.

134.

Cynthia Weber. Reconsidering Statehood: Examining the Sovereignty/Intervention Boundary. Review of International Studies [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1992;18(3):199–216. Available from:

http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097298?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

135.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 10 - Feminism. *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

136.

Roff HM. Gendering a Warbot. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 2016 Jan 2;18(1):1-18.

137.

Brooke Ackerly and Jacqui True. Reflexivity in Practice: Power and Ethics in Feminist Research on International Relations. *International Studies Review* [Internet]. WileyThe International Studies AssociationOxford University Press; 2008;10(4):693-707. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25482017>

138.

Bulmer S. Patriarchal Confusion? Making Sense of Gay and Lesbian Military Identity. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 2013 Jun;15(2):137-156.

139.

Enloe CH. Chapter 5 - Gender makes the World Go Round: Where are the Women? Bananas, beaches and bases: making feminist sense of international politics [Internet]. Second edition, completely revised and updated. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1687669>

140.

Carpenter RC. Recognizing Gender-Based Violence Against Civilian Men and Boys in Conflict Situations. *Security Dialogue*. 2006 Mar;37(1):83-103.

141.

Terrell Carver, Molly Cochran and Judith Squires. Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press/Cambridge University Press; 1998;24(2):283–297. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097523>

142.

Hooper C. *Manly states: masculinities, international relations, and gender politics*. New York: Columbia University Press; 2001.

143.

Adam Jones. Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press/Cambridge University Press; 1996;22(4):405–429. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097459>

144.

Peterson V. S., 'Feminist Theories Within, Invisible To, and Beyond IR' *Brown Journal of World Affairs*, 10(2), 2004, pp. 1-11. Available from: <http://www.u.arizona.edu/~spikep/Publications/VSP%20Fem%20in&bey%20IR%20BrownJ%20P&P2004.pdf>

145.

Shepherd LJ, editor. *Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations* [Internet]. Second edition. London: Routledge; 2015. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315879819>

146.

Stears J. Engaging from the Margins: Feminist Encounters with the 'Mainstream' of International Relations. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2003 Aug;5(3):428–454.

147.

Stears J. *Gender, Feminism, and International Relations*. Gender and international relations: issues, debates and future directions. 2nd ed., rev.expanded. Cambridge: Polity;

2006. p. 7-19.

148.

Tickner JA. Chapter 1 - Engendered Insecurities: Feminist Perspectives on International Relations. *Gender in international relations: feminist perspectives on achieving global security*. New York: Columbia University Press; 1992.

149.

J. Ann Tickner. You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. WileyThe International Studies AssociationOxford University Press; 1997;41(4):611-632. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

150.

WAYLEN G. You still don't understand: why troubled engagements continue between feminists and (critical) IPE. *Review of International Studies*. 2006 Feb 27;32(01).

151.

Weber C. Good girls, little girls and bad girls: Male paranoia in Robert Keohane's critique of feminist international relations'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. London: London School of Economics; 1994;23:337-349.

152.

Epstein C. The postcolonial perspective: an introduction. *International Theory*. 2014 Jul;6(02):294-311.

153.

Seth, Sanjay (2011), 'Postcolonial Theory and the Critique of International Relations,' *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 40 (1), pp. 167-183. Available from: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0305829811412325?journalCode=mila>

154.

Rita Abrahamsen. African Studies and the Postcolonial Challenge. African Affairs [Internet]. Oxford University Press/The Royal African Society/The Royal African Society; 2003;102(407):189–210. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3518676>

155.

Acharya A, Buzan B. Non-Western international relations theory: perspectives on and beyond Asia. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2010.

156.

Barkawi T, Laffey M. Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 2002 Jan;31(1):109–127.

157.

Biccum AR. Development and the 'New' Imperialism: a reinvention of colonial discourse in DFID promotional literature. Third World Quarterly. 2005 Sep;26(6):1005–1020.

158.

Pinar Bilgin. Thinking past 'Western' IR? Third World Quarterly [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd. Third World Quarterly; 2008;29(1):5–23. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20455023>

159.

Chowdhury G, Nair S. Introduction: Power in a postcolonial world: race, gender and class in international relations. Power, postcolonialism, and international relations: reading race, gender, and class [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 1–32. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315017181>

160.

Darby P. Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 2004 Jan;33(1):1–32.

161.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. Chapter 12 - Postcolonialism. *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

162.

GROVOGUI SN. Regimes of Sovereignty: International Morality and the African Condition. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2002 Sep;8(3):315–338.

163.

Gruffydd Jones B, editor. *Decolonizing international relations* [Internet]. Lanham, Md: Rowman and Littlefield; 2006. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1343740>

164.

Matin K. Redeeming the universal: Postcolonialism and the inner life of Eurocentrism. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2013 Jun;19(2):353–377.

165.

Seth S, editor. *Postcolonial theory and international relations: a critical introduction*. Abingdon: Routledge; 2013.

166.

TAYLOR L. Decolonizing International Relations: Perspectives from Latin America. *International Studies Review*. 2012 Sep;14(3):386–400.

167.

Tickner AB. Core, periphery and (neo)imperialist International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2013 Sep;19(3):627–646.

168.

Vasilaki R. Provincialising IR? Deadlocks and Prospects in Post-Western IR Theory.

Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 2012 Sep;41(1):3-22.

169.

Brown GW, Held D, editors. Editor's Introduction. The cosmopolitanism reader. Cambridge: Polity; 2010.

170.

Dietzel A. The Paris Agreement - Protecting the Human Right to Health? Global Policy. 2017 Sep;8(3):313-321.

171.

Beardsworth R. Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory. Cambridge: Polity; 2011.

172.

Brock G. Global Justice [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2009. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199230938.001.0001/acprof-9780199230938>

173.

Brown GW, Held D, editors. The cosmopolitanism reader. Cambridge: Polity; 2010.

174.

Brown GW. Grounding cosmopolitanism: from Kant to the idea of a cosmopolitan constitution [Internet]. Edinburgh [Scotland]: Edinburgh University Press; 2009. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3366/j.ctt1r1x04>

175.

Caney S. Climate change and the duties of the advantaged. Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy. 2010 Mar;13(1):203-228.

176.

Dietzel A. Global Justice. *International Relations Theory: A Practical Introduction* [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.e-ir.info/2018/01/02/global-justice-in-international-relations-theory/>

177.

Gardiner SM. *Climate ethics: essential readings* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=547959>

178.

Lawrence P. *Justice for future generations: climate change and international law*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing; 2014.

179.

Hayden P. *Cosmopolitan global politics*. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2005.

180.

Held D. *Cosmopolitanism: ideals and realities* [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1180922>

181.

Held D. *Cosmopolitanism: globalisation tamed?* *Review of International Studies*. 2003 Oct;29(04).

182.

Lu C. *The One and Many Faces of Cosmopolitanism*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2000 Jun;8(2):244-267.

183.

Moss J, editor. Climate Change and Justice [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2015. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316145340>

184.

Shue H. Climate justice: vulnerability and protection [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bristol/detail.action?docID=1695931>

185.

Tan KC. Justice without Borders: Cosmopolitanism, Nationalism, and Patriotism [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511490385>